



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel.,)
MIKE HUNTER,)
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
)
(1) PURDUE PHARMA L.P.;)
(2) PURDUE PHARMA, INC.;)
(3) THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY;)
(4) TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;)
(5) CEPHALON, INC.;)
(6) JOHNSON & JOHNSON;)
(7) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC:)
(8) ORTHO-McNEIL-JANSSEN)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., n/k/a)
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS)
(9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.,)
n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(10) ALLERGAN, PLC, f/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,)
f/k/a ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON)
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;)
(11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;)
(12) ACTAVIS LLC; and)
(13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.,)
f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No.: CJ-2017-816
Judge Thad Balkman

STATE OF OKLAHOMA } S.S.
CLEVELAND COUNTY }
FILED

APR 25 2019

In the office of the
Court Clerk MARILYN WILLIAMS

**ORDER REGARDING
CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO THE PURDUE DEFENDANTS
AND DENYING
CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY’S, CITY OF LAWTON’S, CITY OF ENID’S,
CITY OF MIDWEST CITY’S AND CITY OF BROKEN ARROW’S
AMENDED JOINT MOTION TO INTERVENE AS MOOT**

This matter comes before the Court upon the “City of Oklahoma City’s, City of Lawton’s, City of Enid’s, City of Midwest City’s and City of Broken Arrow’s Amended Joint Motion to Intervene” filed April 2, 2019¹ (“Oklahoma City Motion to Intervene”). Upon

¹ The cities of Enid, Lawton, Midwest City and Oklahoma City filed an initial “Joint Motion to Intervene” on April 1, 2019.

review of the Oklahoma City Motion to Intervene and the responses in opposition filed by (a) Plaintiff, State of Oklahoma, ex rel., Mike Hunter, Attorney General of Oklahoma, on April 17, 2019 (“Plaintiff State Response”), and (b) the Defendants, Purdue Pharma, L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., and The Purdue Frederick Company Inc., on April 18, 2019 (“Purdue Defendants Response”), and pursuant to Rule 4(h) of the Rules for the District Courts of Oklahoma, the Court finds and holds as follows:²

1. The cities of Broken Arrow, Enid, Lawton, Midwest City and Oklahoma City, whether individually or collectively (the “Putative Intervenor”), are not parties to, bound by, or otherwise subject to the terms of the “Consent Judgment as to the Purdue Defendants” entered by this Court on March 26, 2019.

2. A Putative Intervenor – or any other Oklahoma political subdivision – is not a party to, bound by, or otherwise subject to the terms of the March 26, 2019, Consent Judgment unless it elects, for itself, to opt-in to the March 26, 2019, Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms prescribed by the March 26, 2019, Consent Judgment.³ The Oklahoma City Motion to Intervene is denied as moot.

² The Court would note that no other named Defendants filed a response to the Oklahoma City Motion to Intervene.

³ The Court would note that neither the Plaintiff State Response nor the Purdue Defendants Response advocates for a contrary reading of the March 26, 2019, Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 25th day of April, 2019


THAD BALKMAN, District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 25th day of April, 2019, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument was emailed to the following:

Michael Burrage
Reggie Whitten

Mike Hunter
Attorney General for State of OK
Abby Dillsaver
Ethan Shaner

Bradley Beckworth
Jeffrey Angelovich

Glenn Coffee

Attorneys for Plaintiff

John H. Sparks
Benjamin H. Odom

Charles C. Lifland
Jennifer Cardelus

Stephen Brody

Attorneys for Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Janssen

Sanford C. Coats

Sheila Birnbaum
Mark S. Cheffo
Hayden A. Coleman
Paul LaFata

Patrick J. Fitzgerald
R. Ryan Stoll

Attorneys for Defendants Purdue Pharma

Robert G. McCampbell
Travis V. Jett

Steven A. Reed
Harvey Bartle IV
Jeremy A. Menkowitz


Brian Ercole

Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals

Todd Court

Matthew Sill

Attorneys for Movants



Jami Welbourne, Secretary/Bailiff