

SEALED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., MIKE HUNTER. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOM

Plaintiff.

vs.

(1) PURDUE PHARMA L.P.:

(9) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC., n/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;

(10) ALLERGAN, PLC, f/k/a ACTAVIS PLC,

f/k/a ACTAVIS, INC., f/k/a WATSON

PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.:

(11) WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.;

(12) ACTAVIS LLC; and

(13) ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC.,

f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.,

(6) JOHNSON & JOHNSON;
(7) JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC, COURT Clerk MARILYN WILLIAMS
(8) ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. ""

Case No. CJ-2017-816 Honorable Thad Balkman

William C. Hetherington Special Discovery Master

Defenda SEALED

DEFENDANTS TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., CEPHALON, INC., WATSON LABORATORIES, INC., ACTAVIS LLC. AND ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC., f/k/a WATSON PHARMA, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT

EXHIBIT 49 FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 49

0/0/201	•	rage. zoc
1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR CLEVELAND COUNTY	
2	STATE OF OKLAHOMA	
3	STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex. rel.,)	
4	MIKE HUNTER, ATTORNEY GENERAL) OF OKLAHOMA,	
5	Plaintiff,)	
6	-vs-) No. CJ-2017-816	
7	PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., et al.,	
8	Defendants.)	
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10		
11		
12	VOLUME II	
13	DEPOSITION OF ANDREW KOLODNY, M.D.	
14	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS	
15	ON MARCH 8, 2019	
16	IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	REPORTED BY: KIMI GEORGE, CSR	

- preparing for today's deposition.
- Q. So you don't know?
- 3 A. I believe --
- 4 MR. PATE: Object to form, misstates his
- 5 testimony --
- 6 Q. Correct? Do you know?
- 7 MR. PATE: Object to form, misstates his
- 8 testimony, asked and answered.
- 9 BY MR. BARTLE:
- 10 Q. Do you know? Can you tell me today as you
- 11 sit here, not -- which Teva entity manufactured which
- 12 pharmaceutical on that list?
- 13 A. I believe --
- MR. PATE: Object to the form, asked and
- 15 answered.
- 16 Q. Can you do it today?
- 17 A. I believe --
- 18 Q. Can you tell --
- MR. PATE: Object to form.
- 20 **Q.** -- me today?
- MR. PATE: Can you ask the question just
- once, Harvey, and let me object and then see if he
- 23 can answer it?
- MR. BARTLE: Sure.
- MR. PATE: You just keep asking it over and

- 1 over.
- MR. BARTLE: Because he doesn't answer it.
- MR. PATE: You're not even giving him a
- 4 chance to answer. Every time I object, you just ask
- 5 it again.
- 6 MR. BARTLE: Do you want me to ask it again?
- 7 THE WITNESS: You --
- 8 MR. PATE: Let me ask the question. Let's
- 9 get a clean record.
- 10 BY MR. BARTLE:
- 11 Q. Can you, sitting here today as the corporate
- 12 rep of Oklahoma, identify on that list which Teva
- entity manufactured which product?
- MR. PATE: Object to form, asked and
- 15 answered. Go ahead, Doctor, one more time.
- 16 A. The distinction between the different Teva
- 17 entities that manufacture different products, that's
- 18 a legal distinction. I'm -- I'm not a lawyer.
- I believe that the answer to your question,
- 20 the -- the facts that can answer your question, the
- 21 sources are in Exhibit 3. There's quite a bit in
- 22 Exhibit 3, as you know. I have not memorized
- everything in Exhibit 3, so, no, I am not able to
- 24 point to a specific opioid on this list and tell you
- which Teva entity manufactured it.

- 1 Q. That's all you had to tell me five minutes
- 2 ago, and we'd be done.
- MR. PATE: Well, let's just move on.
- 4 BY MR. BARTLE:
- 5 Q. Do you have Exhibit 12, Doctor, in front of
- 6 you?
- 7 A. I don't. Just give me a second. These are
- 8 a little bit out of order -- maybe not.
- 9 MR. PATE: They should be in order.
- THE WITNESS: I think they are in order.
- 11 Thank you. Okay, that's good. Yes, I -- I do have
- 12 that. Let me just put this back in order, though.
- 13 Hold on a sec. Just a second. Okay, I'm ready.
- 14 Q. Okay. Was this doc -- was this document
- ever received by the State of Oklahoma?
- 16 **A.** If you're asking me whether or not this
- document was sent to an Oklahoma government agency,
- 18 I'm not -- I -- I don't know. It's possible that
- within the many documents that we've received from
- you, it's possible somewhere in Exhibit 3, we could
- 21 find evidence that this was, in fact, sent to an
- Oklahoma government agency, but I'm -- I can't point
- 23 to a specific example where your client sent this to
- 24 the -- the State of Oklahoma.
- Q. And when you're talking about my client,

- influenced by a multifaceted campaign, and I --
- 2 Q. I'm only asking about Actavis Pharma.
- 3 A. -- I under -- I'm answering your question
- 4 about Actavis.
- 5 Q. No. Actavis Pharma. Actavis Pharma,
- 6 Doctor. If you don't know what Actavis Pharma did,
- 7 just say you don't know and we'll move on.
- 8 A. I --
- 9 Q. But if you know --
- 10 MR. PATE: That's not what he's saying.
- 11 Q. -- what Actavis Pharma did, not Actavis
- 12 Inc., not Actavis LLC, not Actavis PLC, not Allergan.
- 13 What -- I'm asking you about Actavis Pharma. So, if
- 14 you don't know about Actavis Pharma, just say, "I
- don't know," and we'll move on.
- 16 A. So --
- MR. PATE: Object to -- Hold on. That's not
- 18 a question. Let him ask his questions.
- 19 Q. Between 2007 and 2016, what facts does the
- 20 State of Oklahoma have that Actavis Pharma caused to
- 21 be submitted the 245 prescriptions for reimbursement
- 22 to the Oklahoma Health Care Authority identified in
- 23 paragraph 37 of the petition?
- MR. PATE: Object to form, asked and
- 25 answered. Go ahead.

- 1 A. So, on Exhibit 3 are the sources for the
- facts that you're asking for.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And there's quite a lot that goes into
- 5 Exhibit 3, and within Exhibit 3, within all of these
- 6 sources, are -- are facts that I believe can answer
- 7 your question. I have not memorized all of these
- 8 sources. I don't have all of these facts.
- 9 And I've described for you one example today
- of marketing by Actavis that could have influenced
- 11 the prescribing of a Cephalon product in 2007 -- or
- between 2007 and 2016, and I've explained that the
- 13 prescribing of opioids in the state of Oklahoma, that
- 14 the -- the change in the culture of prescribing that
- would lead a doctor to prescribe a dangerous
- immediate-release transmucosal fentanyl product to
- 17 someone much more likely to be harmed by the product
- 18 than helped by it, that change in the culture of
- 19 prescribing was not about the specific actions of a
- 20 specific drug company promoting a specific product.
- 21 It was a multifaceted campaign that would influence
- that prescribing. And it really isn't possible to
- point to what it was that got a doctor to
- inappropriately prescribe your client's product.
- The fact is, that most of those patients who

- were prescribed transmucosal immediate-release
- 2 fentanyl products were not people with -- who were
- 3 opioid-tolerant, with breakthrough cancer pain. And
- 4 so, we know that the prescribing was inappropriate.
- 5 So the question is, Why did these doctors prescribe
- 6 inappropriately? Why did they do that?
- 7 And I don't think the answer to that
- 8 question boils down to a specific false promotion by
- 9 Cephalon for that specific product. I think the
- 10 reason these doctors who wanted to help their
- 11 patients actually harmed them is because they were
- influenced by a multifaceted campaign.
- MR. BARTLE: Got it. So --
- MR. HARDY: Objection, nonresponsive.
- MR. BARTLE: -- I'm going to object to that,
- 16 too, but let's go back to my question.
- 17 BY MR. BARTLE:
- 18 Q. As you sit here today, say for the documents
- 19 listed in Exhibit 3, which you say contain the facts,
- 20 are you aware of any facts -- State of Oklahoma aware
- of any facts that Actavis Pharma took any action that
- caused to be submitted, to the Oklahoma Health Care
- 23 Authority, the 245 prescriptions listed in paragraph
- 24 37?
- MR. PATE: Object to form, asked and

- 1 answered. He just answered the question.
- MR. BARTLE: He didn't answer it. He
- 3 didn't.
- 4 MR. PATE: You guys don't like the answer.
- 5 You can say nonresponsive --
- 6 MR. BARTLE: It's not that I don't like the
- 7 answer --
- 8 MR. PATE: -- all you want but --
- 9 MR. BARTLE: I'm telling you, we could get
- 10 through this very quickly.
- MR. PATE: I'm not going to get into it with
- 12 you guys. Just ask your questions; I'll make
- objections, all right? Asked and answered.
- 14 BY MR. BARTLE:
- 15 Q. Prior to 2016, as you sit here today, can
- 16 you identify for me specific facts that support the
- 17 assertion that Actavis Pharma caused to be submitted
- 18 approximately 245 prescriptions for reimbursement
- 19 identified through the Oklahoma Health Care Authority
- 20 that are identified in paragraph 37?
- MR. PATE: Object to form, asked and
- 22 answered.
- 23 A. So, I think the question that you're asking,
- 24 for me to answer it -- For example, if I were to say
- 25 no, which I'm not saying. But the -- the answer to

- influenced, and caused to be submitted to the
- 2 Oklahoma Health Care Authority, the 245 prescriptions
- 3 for reimbursement?
- 4 A. No, that is not --
- MR. PATE: Object to form, misstates his
- 6 testimony. Go ahead, Doctor.
- 7 A. That is not what I'm saying. I'm saying
- 8 I've got facts, lots of facts, and they're in
- 9 Exhibit 3, and I have not memorized all of these
- 10 facts. So, I believe that, in giving you Exhibit 3,
- 11 I'm giving you these facts. If the -- you're asking
- me whether I have memorized a fact that can respond
- to this question, the answer is no.
- 14 O. Are there facts outside of Exhibit 3?
- 15 A. Yes, I believe there are facts outside of
- 16 Exhibit 3.

25

- 17 Q. What facts are those?
- 18 A. I think that there are actions that have
- 19 been taken by your client and your clients and -- and
- 20 by other opioid manufacturers that may not yet have
- 21 been provided to the State of Oklahoma, or that are
- 22 not -- were not discovered by the State of Oklahoma.
- 23 Q. Can you turn to paragraph 40 on page 10?
- 24 A. (Witness complies.) Yes.
 - Q. What conduct by -- When did Watson cause

- 1 Oklahoma private insurers, businesses, and consumers
- 2 to pay millions of dollars for unnecessary and
- 3 excessive opioid prescriptions?
- 4 MR. PATE: Object to form, asked and
- 5 answered.
- 6 A. I'm -- I'm sorry. Were -- did you ask
- 7 about, specifically about, Watson?
- 8 Q. Yep. When did Watson's conduct cause
- 9 Oklahoma private insurers, businesses, and consumers
- to pay millions of dollars for unnecessary or
- 11 excessive opioid prescriptions?
- MR. PATE: Object to form, asked and
- 13 answered.
- 14 A. So, to answer about a question about the
- 15 role that a specific drug maker, the role that it may
- have played in influencing opioid prescribing or in
- 17 causing Oklahoma private insurers, businesses and
- consumers to pay millions of dollars for unnecessary
- 19 and -- or excessive opioid prescriptions, to answer
- 20 the role -- to answer the question about the role
- 21 that a particular drug maker may have played, the
- 22 answer to that question would be misleading to
- 23 people.
- It would mislead a jury because the
- excessive opioid prescribing, the millions that were

- 1 paid by private insurers and businesses and consumers
- 2 in the state of Oklahoma, they were not a response to
- 3 a specific action by a specific drug maker. They
- 4 were a response to a multifaceted campaign that went
- beyond the actions taken by a specific drug company.
- 6 MR. HARDY: Objection, nonresponsive.
- 7 Q. Can you tell me when Watson Laboratories
- 8 conduct caused Oklahoma private insurers, businesses,
- 9 or consumers to pay millions of dollars for
- unnecessary or excessive opioid prescriptions?
- MR. PATE: Objection, asked and answered.
- 12 BY MR. BARTLE:
- 13 Q. No, you didn't. I'm asking you, Can you
- 14 tell me when?
- MR. PATE: Objection, asked and answered --
- 16 A. Tell you when. You would like --
- MR. PATE: -- outside the scope.
- 18 A. Are you asking me to give you a specific
- date and time when Watson engaged in an action that
- led to excessive prescribing of opioids in the state
- 21 of Oklahoma?
- 22 Q. No. I'm asking you to tell me a specific
- 23 instance of Watson Laboratories' conduct causing
- Oklahoma private insurers, businesses, and/or
- consumers to pay million of dollars for unnecessary

- opioid prescriptions.
- 2 A. You're asking about a specific conduct --
- Q. Yes.
- 4 A. -- taken by -- by Watson.
- I'm not able to point to more examples than
- 6 I've already provided about the actions that Watson
- 7 may have taken or that about Watson's contribution to
- 8 this multifaceted campaign. I -- so, I -- I don't
- 9 have more examples to share with you about Watson's
- 10 specific role. I do believe that the guestion you're
- 11 asking, the answer to it would mislead people.
- 12 Q. Well, that's -- The question of whether or
- not it'll mislead people is -- is -- is not for you
- 14 to decide. You just have to answer my question.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 Q. So, can you point to me, as you sit here
- 17 today, to the date of specific conduct by Watson
- 18 Laboratories that caused Oklahoma private insurers,
- businesses and consumers to pay millions of dollars
- 20 for unnecessary or excessive opioid prescriptions?
- MR. PATE: Object, asked and answered.
- 22 A. I don't believe that excessive opioid
- 23 prescribing was caused by a single company promoting
- 24 a single product. I think it was caused by multiple
- opioid makers participating in a campaign to change

- 1 the culture of a prescribing.
- Q. Have you -- In preparation for your
- 3 deposition today, did you talk to any representatives
- 4 from a private insurer in Oklahoma?
- 5 A. To prepare for today's deposition, I did not
- 6 speak with any private insurers about the excessive
- 7 opioid prescribing that they paid for.
- 8 Q. Did the State of Oklahoma talk to private
- 9 insurers in connection with conduct by Watson that
- 10 caused those private insurers to pay millions of
- 11 dollars for unnecessary or excessive opioid
- 12 prescriptions?
- MR. PATE: Object to form, calls for
- 14 speculation. That's outside the scope of this
- deposition.
- MR. BARTLE: It's not outside the scope.
- 17 I'm allowed to ask about the factual basis of the
- 18 claims my clients that are in the petition.
- MR. PATE: That's not what you asked him,
- though, so same objection.
- 21 A. I don't know whether or not anyone from the
- 22 State of Oklahoma asked a private insurer about the
- 23 role that Watson played in the campaign to change the
- 24 culture of opioid prescribing in the United States.
- 25 BY MR. BARTLE:

- 1 O. Is the -- is that -- is that the same answer
- you would give if I asked you about the other four
- 3 Teva entities?
- 4 MR. PATE: Same objection. It's outside the
- 5 scope.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And when you say inappropriate medical --
- 8 You said the word -- You used the phrase
- 9 inappropriate opioid prescriptions throughout today,
- 10 haven't you, Doctor?
- 11 **A.** I have.
- 12 Q. And we talked yesterday, off-label
- 13 prescribing is not illegal, correct?
- 14 A. The prescribing of opioids is not illegal.
- 15 Q. Well, off-label -- You know what off-label
- 16 prescribing is, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, I know what off-label prescribing is.
- 18 Q. And a doctor is legally allowed, under the
- 19 law of Oklahoma, to prescribe an opioid off-label,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. A doctor in the state of Oklahoma is allowed
- 22 to prescribe a medication off-label.
- 23 Q. And a doctor should only do so if he or she
- 24 believes that it's an appropriate prescription,
- 25 correct?

- 1 criminally promoting Actiq.
- Q. Are you aware of any -- Exhibit 33, are you
- 3 aware of any doctor in the state of Oklahoma who's
- 4 seen that document?
- 5 A. So, this is a document that describes a way
- in which your client wanted to illegally promote its
- 7 product, and so I highly doubt your client would have
- 8 wanted to -- a doctor to -- to see this.
- 9 Q. So answer my question.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Are you aware of any doctor who's seen that
- 12 document?
- 13 A. No, I don't know of any doctor in the state
- 14 of Oklahoma who has seen this internal communication.
- 15 Q. Are you aware of any --
- MR. PATE: Are you talking about the email
- or the attachment?
- MR. BARTLE: Both.
- 19 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I can't speak to whether or
- 20 not a doctor would have seen the attachment to this
- 21 email. I'd -- So, it is -- it is certainly possible
- 22 that this email was shared -- Oh, and I believe,
- 23 actually, it may have been shared with doctors in the
- 24 state of Oklahoma.
- 25 Q. Has any doctor told you that they've seen

- the second page of Exhibit 33?
- 2 A. No doctor in the state of Oklahoma called me
- 3 in 2005 and said, "Dr. Kolodny, I'm looking at this
- 4 deceptive information disseminated by Cephalon."
- 5 That -- I never got a call like that, as far as I can
- 6 tell, in 2005. I can't see why any doctor in
- 7 Oklahoma would have thought to give me a call to tell
- 8 me they were looking at this.
- 9 O. Well --
- 10 A. But the answer --
- 11 Q. -- in preparation --
- 12 A. -- to your question is no.
- 13 Q. Well, in preparation for your deposition,
- 14 did you talk to any doctor who's seen the pamphlet
- 15 that's attached to Exhibit 33?
- 16 A. In preparation for this deposition, I didn't
- 17 contact any doctors and ask them whether or not they
- 18 had seen that document before.
- 19 Q. And what about patients? Did any patient --
- 20 In preparation for your deposition today, did you
- 21 talk to any patients who've seen the documents
- 22 attached to Exhibit 33?
- 23 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. In preparation for your deposition today,
- 25 did you speak to any patient who told you they saw

- 1 the document attached to Exhibit 33?
- 2 A. No, I have not talked with any patients who
- 3 have seen that document.
- 4 Q. And Exhibit 34, are you aware of any doctor
- in the state of Oklahoma that's seen that document?
- 6 A. Exhibit 34 is an internal communication
- 7 outlining the way in which your sales force was
- 8 encouraged to encourage prescribers to prescribe its
- 9 products aggressively, and so this was not intended
- 10 for -- for doctors in Oklahoma to see.
- But, no, no doctor in the state of Oklahoma
- 12 has told me that they saw an internal email from
- 13 Cephalon outlining how the sales force would be
- 14 compensated. And I suspect that many doctors in
- Oklahoma would be surprised to learn that they were
- 16 targeted, and that the sales reps who were visiting
- 17 them were receiving a commission based on their
- 18 prescriptions. I think it would have surprised them
- 19 to see this. But, no, no doctor in the state of
- Oklahoma has ever told me that they saw this internal
- 21 communication.
- 22 Q. Has any patient --
- MR. PATE: Here's copies for you now.
- Q. -- any patient in the state of Oklahoma --
- 25 A. I --