BartlitBeck_{llP}

Kaspar J. Stoffelmayr Kaspar.Stoffelmayr@BartlitBeck.com

December 5, 2018

Courthouse Place 54 West Hubbard Street Chicago, IL 60654 main: (312) 494-4400 direct: (312) 494-4434

BartlitBeck.com

The Honorable Dan Aaron Polster Carl B. Stokes United States Court House 801 West Superior Avenue, Courtroom 18B Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1837

Re: In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation (17-md-2804)

Dear Judge Polster:

Walgreens submits this letter to correct the record from Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Walgreens' Objection to Discovery Ruling No. 9 (Doc. 1151), ordering Walgreens to produce the custodial file for Kermit Crawford.

While we did not have an opportunity to submit a reply brief to the Court, we feel that it is nevertheless important to correct some of the most significant misstatements in Plaintiffs' filing.

For example:

- Walgreens is not, by any stretch of the imagination, "the third largest distributor
 of prescription opioids into Cuyahoga County...". Resp. Br. 2. The confidential
 ARCOS data available to all parties show that this statement is not even close to
 being correct. Moreover, Walgreens completely ceased distributing prescription
 opioids many years ago and only ever distributed prescription opioids to its own
 stores.
- Walgreens did not enter into any \$80 million settlement for "two out of three"
 Schedule II distribution centers. Resp. Br. 1. The settlement related to a distribution center in Florida outside the Track One jurisdictions.
- Walgreens' Pharmaceutical Integrity Department was not staffed with "employees who were predominantly either new to Walgreens or new to this duty." Resp. Br.
 While this specific function was new in 2012 and 2013, the six document custodians Plaintiffs have requested from the Pharmaceutical Integrity Department have a combined total of approximately 100 years of experience at Walgreens. Each brought special skills—in pharmacy operations, data analytics,

BartlitBeck_{llP}

December 5, 2018 Page 2 of 2

and loss prevention, among others—that made them uniquely qualified for the Department.

- Plaintiffs mispresent, without any citation, the testimony of Walgreens witnesses on due diligence. Resp. Br. 2. The Walgreens Pharmaceutical Integrity Department manager to which Plaintiffs refer provided concrete testimony on due diligence prior to 2013. *See* Stahmann 10/16/18 Dep. Tr. 156:14-18.
- Walgreens does not "wholly own[]" Rite Aid. Resp. Br. 5. n.5. Although Walgreens has acquired certain Rite Aid stores, Rite Aid is an entirely independent company with publicly traded stock.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Kaspar J. Stoffelmayr