

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –89)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,414 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Apr 22, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-89 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
MAINE			
ME	1	19-00144	WALDO COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al ^{Opposed 4/19/19}
ME	2	19-00143	CITY OF SACO v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al ^{Opposed 4/19/19}
ME	2	19-00146	CITY OF SANFORD v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al ^{Opposed 4/19/19}
NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE			
NCM	1	19-00383	CITY OF GREENSBORO v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
NCM	1	19-00384	LEE COUNTY v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
NCM	1	19-00385	SCOTLAND COUNTY v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
VIRGINIA EASTERN			
VAE	2	19-00183	City of Chesapeake, Virginia v. Actavis, LLC et al ^{Opposed 4/19/19}
VAE	2	19-00184	Accomack County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al ^{Opposed 4/19/19}
VAE	3	19-00242	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al ^{Opposed 4/19/19}
VAE	3	19-00246	Northumberland County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al ^{Opposed 4/19/19}