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(1) PROCEEDINGS
(2) $10: 50$ a.m. [3) MARTIN H TEICHER, M.D., PH.D., to having been first duly sworn on oath, isl was examined and testified as follows:

## (6) EXAMINATION

(7) BY MS, GUSSACK:
(8) Q: Doctor, 1 am Nina Gussack; 1 represent (9) Ely Lilly. I understand that you have been deposed no before. Correct? (11) A: Yes.
[12) $Q$ : How many times?
(13) A: One event in three sessions.
[14] Q: Is that the Falk case that you're [15] referring to?
116; A: Oh, no, that was - The Fall case was, 117 I guess, sworn testimony for recording It was [1B) recorded to showat the trinl, a deposition, $|19|$ something like that, testimony.
[201 Q: What was the case in which you were |21] deposed three times?
[22] A : It was my malpractice case.
(23) Q : And that case is concluded?
[2a) A: Yes.
Pag* 4
(I) Q: You have in your expert report identified $[2]$ some prior testimony. One was the Falk case that [31 I just men-
tioned.
[+1 A: Yes.
159 Q: Where else have you -
66 MR. GREENWALD:Can we take a look at - that?
: MS. GUSSACK:Sure.
क. BY MS, GUSSACK:
Ino Q: I have had previously marked as Teicher 2 nit your expert report, and 1 believe on page 1 under 12 the heading Prior Testimony there is a reference to ti3l cases in which you have previously testified.
itil $A$ : Yes.
(13s) Q: We have mentioned Falk. Now, the other nif two -
IIT) A: The other two are criminal cases. That fisp was State of California v.Mildred Johnson and t191 State of Callfornia v, Gail Ann Ransom.
[20) Q: Have you testified in any other case 24 cither at trial or by deposition otherthan those 122 listed in your expert report, Teicher 2?
${ }_{231}$ A: No.
Dit Q: Have you reviewed the transeripes of this
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(1) testimony?

2: A. No. I have for.
131 Q : Was the Falk case a case in which the use (1) of Prozac was at issue?
(5) A: Prozac was involved but the issue was (6) medical malpractice regarding adequate attention to $\pi 1$ a patient who was suicidal, and Prozac wasn't the ref specific issue at all.
191 Q: And you were an expert witness for the trof plaintiff in that case?
tiil A: Yes.
II2 Q: Now, the otherrwo cases Johnson and in3 Ransom you say are crimina! cases. Those were [14] murder cases: Correct?
IIS: A: Yes.
[15) Q: And you were testifying on behalf of the [i7] defendant in both those cases? i1s A: Correct
(1) $Q$ : And the defense for which you were rol offering supportive iestimony was that Prozac had [211 induced-the defendant to act in a violent way. Is [22] that right?
[233 A: Prozac had diminished their capaciry and [24] their judgment, yes.

Page 6
III Q: Doctor, you have brought with you today 121 four boxes of documents. Can you describe (3) generally what you have brought with you, what 141 categories of documents you have brought with you?
(s) A : Right. In response to the request for ${ }^{161}$ documents I produced, I chink. pretty much neverything you asked fo: Two boxes contain :ol abstract books for: conferencesthat T've beento in lapwhich Prozac wis discussed and that's a tot o: se: bulk but not a lot of materiat,
a) Q: When you say in response to out request ita for documents, are yout referring to requests that |131 were attached to your notice of deposition?
( 14 A: A: Yes.
'15: Q: I'm showing you what's been marked as 160 Teicher 1 , which is the notice of deposition with a Im list of documents that we have requested you to (tis) bring with you today. So my understanding is that ti9 a substantial portion of the documents you have [20] brought with you today fall into these categorics. [2i] Is that right?
[22] A: Exactly. yes.
123) Q: Is there any particular category in this izi| request for documents that you have not brought
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If materials that you have?
(2) A: No.
B) Q: Isthere any category of documents that $[4]$ is listed here for which you have no responsive [s] documents?
[6] A: Yes.
(7) Q: Can you tell me which those are?
[8] A: I think I have a list. (Pause) Yes. So (9) 22 and 23.
(tio) Q: Number 22 requested any documents, 114 advertisements or communications regarding your [13] availability as a consultant or expert witness. [131 Have you ever advertised your availability as a t1+1 consultant or expert witness in litigation?
[15: A: No.
[15] Q : And I take it that is why you have no (17) such documents?
(13) A: Right.
[19] Q: Okay.And with respect to number 23. the $[201$ request was documents which reflect your pil relationship with any exper consulting or withess 123 referral service. I take it you have no documents l23) because you do not have such a relationship?
[24] A: Exactly.
Page 8
(1) MS, GUSSACK:Let's have marked as [21 Teicher 6 the document that Dr Teicher has i3 provided responsive to the request for production [f| appended to the notice.
IsI MR. GREENWALD: What are we calling (6) that document ${ }^{2}$ 'Statement of what I didn't bring'?
$\square$ MS, GUSSACK: We can call it that, we isi) can call it that.
191 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 6 marked
(10) for identification.)

## 11) BYMS. GUSSACK:

is Q: Doctor, have you prepared any notes or (19) documents for today's deposition?
itif A: Yes. 1 have some notes. These are all tus the notes I prepared. They're mostly just [16 handwritten notes to myself as I was reading the 17 material. Itw Q : And when did you prepare these. sir: tet :to me change that to say: Do : understand you lan correctly to say that these are notes that you made anlas you reviewed documents or material that had been 123 provided to you by plaintiff's counsel?
:29: A: Yes.
(24) Q : Has that been an ongoing process overa
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til period of weeks and months?
12) A: I'd say over maybe the last week or two.
(s) Q: And that would coincide, wouldn't it, i4) with the production of an extensive set of is documents provided to you by plaintiff's counsel. |6 Correct?
mA: Correct
is) Q : And if I can tell from the records you gis have produced today, Mr. Greenwald's office has lug provided you around October 16 with a series of (1) documents and deposition transcripts. Correct, 1 2 2 sir?
1131 A: He did provide documents on or about that (is) date. He's also provided documents before that 1151 date and after that date.
[16] Q: Have you made any notes during the course 117 of your role as an exper witness in this matter [18) that you have not brought with you?
(เ9) A: No.
1201 Q: Have you made any notes during the course [2n of your role as an exper witness in this matter [22] which you have disposed of?
[25) A: No.
124) Q: Did you have any materials in your Page 10
(11) passession responsive to the request for production tal attached to Teicher 1 which you disposed of
(13) A: No. Well, a couple of other things that :4,Amorney Greenwaid had sent me were duplicates and tsi I did dispose of some duplicates.
16) Q: What kinds of things were dup"sies?
[7) A: They were $-\lambda$ number of the |m
correspondences regarding peopic at Lilly, they on were kind of e-mails. For some reason I bad four thor or five of those that were duplicates. Thereare [mi probably even more duplicates, but 1 noticed those :2 as duplicates.
(1) Q: Youse referring to internal Lilly: is decuments and memoranda?
145. A: Yes. yes.
(1) $Q$ : Where would you have obtained the first ir set of documents that you had?
(is A:They were all from Arome: Giternwald.
five $\mathrm{Q}: 1 \mathrm{sec}$. So to the extent that you have [201 any internal Lilly documents. memoranda or the ran like, you received those from Mr . Greenwald?
(21) A: Yes.

1231 MR. GREENWALD: Or my office.
1241 MS. GUSSACK:Yes.
Page 11

## 41: BY MS. GUSSACK:

(2) Q: Doctor, you have brought with you a (3) folder that is labeled Billing. Is that your it handwriting on the folder?
(5) A: Yes.

19 MR. GREENWALD: Can we have this (7) marked as Teicher 7.
is) (Teicher Deposition Exhlbit 7 marked (s) for identification.)

H10) BY MS, GUSSACK:
till Q : Does Teicher 7 include all of your (12) records regarding billing in the Rosenbloom matter, t131 Doctor?
nal A: I believe so.
[13] Q: Now, sir, when were you first retained by (16) Mr. Greenwald with respect to this matter?
(im A: $\ln 1991$.
I2s Q: And what were you retained at that time ney for?
120) A: To review material.
[22] Q : What kind of material?
[22) A: To review material relating to the death 1231 of Mr. Rosenbloom and to render an opinion.
12, Q : And at that time did you believe that you
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[1) were evaluating the role of Prozac in 121 Mr. Rosenbloom's death?
(B) A: Yes.
14) Q: Did you issue a report at that time?
tsi A: Yes.
10 Q : And is that report one that was used in m litigation in which Lilly was involved?
In A: Yes.
ig Q: Did you also understand that you
were nop being retained to offer an opinion with respect to [14) obuaining insurance proceeds for life insurance?
t19. A: I believe -
$\because$ MR. GREENWALD:Ohiectint.

- BYMS, GUSSACK.
:= Q: Is that familat to suuz
is, A: Not really, no.
I7 Q: Sir, do the billing records conrained in |18| Teicher- conatain your time going back to 1991 when lis you were first reained in this matter?
ar A: Yes.
,211 Q: In Teicher 7 is a bill dated April 26. (22) 1991, for three hours' time and it refers to two izy hours for time spent reviewing medical records.
2ti A: Yes.


## Page 13

In Q: Whose medical records did you review?
I2 A: Dr.Sandler's.And I guess in terms of is) that, it also included the police reports, some (4) other information that was available about the is accident, about the suicide.
(1) Q: $\ln 1991$, sir,other than the police m reportand Dr.Sandler's records, what do you ist believe you reviewed?
B9 A: Those are the two things.
now Q: Was there any other bill at or around the inf time of 1991 that you are familiar with?
112 A: Not that I'm aware of.
(13) Q: I want to show you rwo small blue sheets, (if) and in fact perthaps we could have these marked as 125 7/A and 7-B.7.A would be something labeled (16) "Prozac time. " Sir, does that say "me" in the 1 IT corner?
(tes) A: Yes, and that's probably Cynthia (19) MeGreenery. This refers to Cynthia McGreenery; she 1201 wrote that.
[2] MS. GUSSACK:Let's have that marked 122] 7.A.
129 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 7-A [24] marked for identification.)

Fage 14
(i) BY MS. GUSSACK:

I2 Q: Sir, I have had maried as Teicher7: A a bis sheet that is labeied "Prozac time" with the H 1 h handwritren notation "me" in the left-hand corner iss reciting dares from June 5, 96 , through June 20, 16 '96, with time recordings. I understand from your miestimony that this refers to time incurred by tm Ms. McGreenery?
m $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Y}$ 'es.
(10) Q: Who is Ms. McGreenery?
[113 A: She is my clinical research [12] administrator.
${ }_{13} 1 \mathrm{Q}$ : And how long has she been your clinical thi| research administrator?
[151 A: Oh, about two years; and she's been with 161 me for abour eight years.
IT $Q$ : What was she prior to her role as ts: administrator?
tr: A: Secrerary basically:
$3<0$ : Now: sir. does ${ }^{-}-\lambda$ which vou have a copypulor before you refer to time that Ms. MeGreenery 221 spent in responding to the subpoena that was a3s directed to you?
2. $A$ : Yes.
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11. (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 7.B 17 marked for identification.)
B1 Q: Teicher 7.B is a paper that is labeled is "Prozac time" and in the lefthand corner has ist "Marty" written in it.
10| A: Yes.
MO: Does this refer to time that you spent, is) sir, responding to the subpoena?
i9: A: Yes
(110) MS, GUSSACK:MayI have marked as [11] 7.C this document labeled Greer billing ar $\$ 400$ per 122 hour.
(13) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 7-C [14] marked for identification.)
IISI BY MS, GUSSACK:
ish Q: Dr. Teicher, 7 -C is a document that is ith labeled "Greer billing at $\$ 400$ per hour" with (13) categories of date, time, muinutes and hours. First 1191 of all, can you tell me what year does that refer $120 \mid$ to? [21) A: 1996.
[22] Q: So that as recently as the past two weeks 231 of Ocrober you have incurred thisamount of time as 24 ) reflected in $7 . \mathrm{C}$ in reviewing materials for this
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(i) case?

121 A: Yes.
I31 Q: Have you submitred a bill to Attorney (4) Greenwald for this time?
(s) A: No.
(6) Q: So, Doctor, is this time that you r7) personally incurred in reviewing muterial?
is A: Correct.
19 Q:And when I use the word material, are you thol referring to the documents. transcripts, and other inf material that Attorney Greenwald or his office has u2! provided to you?
(13) A: Yes.
[14) Q : is there anything cise that you have IIs reviewed that is reflected in this billing (15s statement other than what 1 juse described?
4il A: Nothing else that 1 reviewed.
[18] Q: So this time, for instance, does not (1) reflect your going and doing a literaruresearch orpmy reviewing articles in the library or from your [an personal files?
I2: A: Well there are articles that were 3y brought and so some of the time was spent teviewing [2e: articles that were in mit files. 1 did do a couple

Page 17 It of literature searches for a few minutes which are [A in here.
(3) $\mathbf{Q}$ : And reflected in the billing starement ${ }^{2}$
4) $A$ : Yes.
(1) Q: Is there any narrative that goes along |s with $7-\mathrm{C}$ which describes ex actly what you wete m looking at and what you were doing during these m time periods?
Im A: No, there is not.
not MS, GUSSACK: 7-D, please,
III) Teicher Deposition Exhibit 7.D |12] marked for identification.)
IB BY MS. GUSSACK:
(14) Q: I have had marked as 7.D a bill dated us August 12, 1996, submitted to Mr. Pavsner which 1 ig refleets five hours of time spent reviewing and ti7 preparing counterarguments to motion to compel.
tin A: Yes.
ns) Q: Can you tell me exacly what you were poo doing that resulted in this bill identified as $7 \cdot \mathrm{D}$ ?
(21) A: I reviewed the motion to compel and wrote im a list of counterarguments to that motion.
131 Q : Now, sir, does this bill reflect time [24) that you spent in drafting an affidavit to counter
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(1) the motion to compe?
[2] A: Yes.
©I Q: Did you draft that affidavit?
If) A: Yes. Or I drafted the material for it.
is1 Q: Well, what do you mean by that?
199 MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
If A: What I meant is that I wrote a Iengthy |si letter to Mr. Pavsner that had all my reasons and in from that he drafted the motion.
thon $Q$ : And that letter would be contained in [11] your correspondence file with counsel that you've (1a) brought bere today?
(13) A: It should be, yes.
[14] Q : You've given me a notebook of notes that (15i you have taken. Is there a separate file of $(16)$ correspondence with counsel?
II7) A: Yes, I had given that to you. That's
[1es right here.
tI9: MS. GUSSACK: Mr. Greenwald has it. (3) May I have it?

2i) MR. GREENWALD:1 would tike to hold :2, offon this for a minute because: haven't tinished zlooking through it, is vou could come back to it. 2ts Okay?

Fage $1 \%$
11. MS. GUSSACK: Sir. Iam going to have 121 marked as Exhibit 8 a five-page document labeled is) Ducuments Reviewed.
1+1 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 8 markied N: for identification.)
(i) BY MS. GUSSACK:

II Q: Doctor, did you prepare this document (s) and, if so, when?
ITI A: I prepared this document. I prepared tion this document partially last night and partially in this morning.
(12) Q: Now, sir, from the title of Exhibit (13) No. 8, Documents Reviewed, is it fair to assume [14) that this is a list of materials that you have [1s] reviewed prior to today's deposition?
${ }^{1161}$ A: Yes. Unfortunately, a partial list.
(17) Q: What is it that didn't find its way into tiss this lis?
n91 A: A number of documents that 1 didn't have poot time to list.
(21) Q:I'm not asking you ro do it, but can you l231 by looking at these cartons of materials that you 23 ) have brought here today identify which materials (24) you reviewed but did not make their way into this
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ta list?
AI A: Yes.
B! Q: Which box would they be in?
A) A: A lot of those are right there ist (indicating).
(0) MR. GREENWALD: By "right there," 71 1 just think the record ought to reflect that in 181 front of Ms. Gussack are five stacks of documents. in That's what you're referring to?
(10) THE WITNESS: Yes.
fil MR. GREENWALD:All right.
(12) BY MS. GUSSACK:
[13) Q: Doctor, have you ever spoken with $\mathrm{T}+1 \mathrm{D}$ D. Sandler about this matter?
fis) A: Yes, I have.
:10. Q : When did you do that?
min A: He called me shorly afier Mr. Rosenbloom [18] had comminted suicide. 1 don't have the exact date [19) but I would say it was approximately within a month 120 of the suicide. He called me. very distressed, and |z1) asked if he could talk to me about a patient of his 121 that had recently committed suicide.

1231 Q: And at this time you had not been 124) retained by counsel with regard to this marter?
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(i) A: Correct.
: Q: Tell me evernthing ; ou can reas: about is the conversation.
*) A: He called. He asked if he cuuld speak to Bi me. He cold me he's a $^{\text {me }}$ psychiatrist in Washington. 161 He told the that he knows Fred Goodwin well. who was 77 the head of the National Institute of Mental Health py at the time. He told me thar he had been in o) practice fo: many years. thirty, thirry five comes its. to mind. I'm not sure if that's exactly correct.in Hesaid that he hadnever had in his practice a [ta] patient commit suicide. He had his first patient IIs, commit suicide. He felt that this was one of if $11+1$ not the least likely patients that he was treating [ts) to commit suicide. He was totally taken by [16] surprise by the casc, and he asked if he could ir: describe it to me and ifl might think that Prozac (inf had some involvement.
1191 He proceeded to explain that he was a lan prominent attorncy in Washington, D.C., that he had 221 in fact - I think he told me he bad won the [27] largest sertlementagainst the FCC or something [23) like that, and that he had been in treatment for [24] approximately sis vears, most of which was.
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(1) psychothempy for depressive newrosis, that only 24 very recently had he put him on medication; that 131 there were a number of everns going on in his life id that had made him clinically depressed but he did isi not think that he was at all suicidal, not at all a 161 suicida! risk, and less than a week, maybe five, m six days after starting Prozac he jumped off a is bridge. It was a horrible accident. a horrible is event. He fell a great distance and it was really pop devastating. And that he was totally taken by [iI] surprise. He was genuinely very upset. [1a) And that's basically what he told me. (13) Q: And what did you say to him?
(14) A: I told him that I was sorty to hear what [13] happened and sort of offered my condolences and |16; concerns, that it's a hormble event for a t17 psychiatrist to have a patient commit suicide. And 118 I told hima limte bit about what we had recently |19) reported in terms of Prozac and suicide. His r201 particular question that he had was, can it occur la11 carly in the course of treatment ${ }^{2}$ And 1 bellicve my $[221$ response to him was that the carliest that we're 231 aware of or wert aware of at the time was three rad days ifiet starting medication. And 1 think I also
(1) indicared-I believe I also indicated to bim [2] that the first two wecks are a particularly is morrisome time in antidepressant treatment. So , ef early events an occur:
夫, Q: Why are the first two weeks particularly to troublesome in antsdegressant treatment?

- A: Antidepressants take time to work. They ;ido not workimmediately. Theres usually a lig and is the lag can be two wecks the lag can bea month for even in a patient who is eventually going to :1: zespoud to the medication. During that time the Ital antidepressant can create side effects which could t191 acrually exacerbate a patient'srisk forsuicide. 114 They may make them anxious, restless, akathisic [t5] They may produce insomnia. They canall exacerbate notheir symptoms of their depression during that [r] period of time, which would increase risk and (ss) vulnerability.
14 Q: Did you tell that to Dr. Sandler or did moyou just make the general statement that the first [21] two weeks are particularly troublesome?
[2, A: To the best of my recollection, 1 told [zy him that the first rwo weeks were particularly [24] troublesome and did not get into the details.
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(1) Q: Now, str, how is it that you have this [2] recollection of this conversation six vears later?
3: How do I have the memory? I guess therefiare two factors. One isthar it was actually a is) very poignant phone call. The person who called 1611 perceived was in genuine distress and I think pr that that made an impact on me. The second reason ip was that later I was approached over the phone by in Attorney Grecnwald, who asked me if I would nof eraluate a case, and he started to des cribe the [11] case and 1 said Wait a second, I know about this [12] casc," so there was that coincidence that kept it is: fresh.
14: Q: When Amorncy Grecnwald contacted you [15] about this case bad he alreadytalked to Dr. Cole ilsi as far asyou know?
at A: I do not believe so.
is: Q : Were you involved in any way in haring t1s: Dr. Cole retained as an expert in this case?
IN MR. GREENWALD:Objection. What do 311 you mean, involved?
汭 MS. GUSSACK:Participate in any way: I2\% call him; talk to him about the case. Involved.
124: A: I believe 1 may have had some
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 It involvement. I certainly believe I had discussed ia; with Atrormey Greenwald the fact that I was one of i3i the authors of the article and that Dr. Cole was te anstherone of che authors on the article and that * Dr. Cole is a very senior and highis regarded o psychatris:- Q: Now, did you call Dr Cole and tell him si about the case and urge him to become involved in ? the case?
(10, A: No. I did not.
11: Q: Did Dr. Sandler describe to you the type ' 42 of patient practice that he was engegedt in is. keneratty?
11+i A: No, he did not.
(1s) Q: Do you have any knowledge, sir, as to 110 whether Dr.Sandier treats patients that are $11^{-} 1$ similar to the type of patients sou have treated at 1 is; McLean?
(19) A: 1 really dun't know the answer about the 1201 practice.
[a1) Q: Do you believe it to be similar to the $12 \pi$ kind of patients that you sec at McLean?
I23 MR. GREENWALD:Objection. He said put he doesn't know what kind of practice he has.
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(1) A: I really don't know,
[ग] Q: Sir, have you ever provided psychotherapy is for patients for six ycars without prescribing ( +1 medications?
15) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
16) A: Not to my knowiedge.
m O : What is the longest that you have ever ar treared a patient with depression before you [9] prescribed medication, antidepressant medication?
(toj MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
(11) Q: Ormedication to treat depression. [12] MR. GREENWALD:Objection. I didn't ( 131 know whether you were done. You can just record 1141 one objection to the end of the whole question.
Ifs: A: The longest T've provided psychotherapy 166 in lieu of antidepressant medicarion? That's a [17] difficult question. I can't directly answer that. [18] I would guess years.
(t) O : Why is it difficult to anstwer?
[20] A: It's difficult to answer because I have ra1s provided another form of somatic treatment.
[22] Q : And what is that?
1231 A: Light therapy.
[24) MS. GUSSACK:I would like to have -at
Page 27
II marked as Exhibit 9 a one-page handwaitren |a document.
B1 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 9 marked (4) for identification.)

## (5) BY MS. GUSSACK:

${ }^{16} \mathrm{Q}$ : Exhibit 9 is a document dared August 2, (1) 1990, and it bears the numbering in the bottom 187 right-hand corner MHTOOO21. Is this your is handuriting sir, on Exhibit 9?

- $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{A}$ : Yes
(11: Q : Are these the notes that you made during 113 the phone call that you received from Dr. Sandler?
(13) A: Yes.
ife: Q: Would you please read them?
in A. It starss out "Colleague of and it's ano blank and this is swere it was Fred Goodwin, tim " 49 -year-old male, no previous history of major thet mood disorder," MMD abbreviated. "Occasional (19) adjustment disorder. Artorney. Sig. nificant 120 professional difficulty.Breakup parnership. [21 malpractice suit, all came together. Developed iz21 MMD," major mood disorder, "started Prozac, five py days later committed suicide. Left with real lat concern" -
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in) Q: Let me ask you to stop there for 2 [2 second, sir, to ask who was left with real concern m that you're referring to there. if you know?
(4) A: Dr. Sandier.
is Q : Thank you. Please continue.
(6) A: "Noadverse effect during five days. Did [n seem to be doing better. Day before suit received, to malpractice" It should be "Day before received 191 malpractice suit."
(10) Q : Day before what?
(1) A: Before he commined suicide. Then it 112 goes Jumped off bridge. He had some suicidal l131 thinking, no plan and no attempts. Really denied fos intent. He was quite resistant to meds. Was !15! hard-driving type A attorney with compulsive [16] traits. Day started, didn't feel at all suicidal, it7 didn't want to go." I think that meant didn't want (18) to go on medication. "He had discussed adverse [19] reactions with patient. Had open relationship with 120 his wife, who was sery psychologically minded."
121) Q: Dr Teicher -
[29 MR. GREENWALD: And the "he" in that [231 sentence meaning?
[24] THE WITNESS: Michael
Rosenbloom.
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(1) MS, GUSSACK:Thank you, Attorney (2) Greenwald.

## (3) BY MS. GUSSACK:

(4) Q: Dr. Teicher, did De.Sandlertell you (5) what adverse reactions he had discussed with the 10 patient?
DIA: Yes, he did.
(3) Q: Can you tell us?

91 A: I am not entirely clear on all be (10) enumerated at the time. I think he enumerated [11] anxiety, sleep distubance. nausea.
12, Q: Is that your recollection thinking backis3) to what Dr.Sandlerioldyou or is that something ite) you read in transcripts since this conversation?
1t5; A: No. that's my recollection trying to (16) think back to the phone conversation.
177 Q: Now,sir.at the time that you stote your :1s: opinion of Aprid 1991, which I will put before you 1191 in a littie bit, did you have in mind this 200 conversation that you had had with Dr. Sandler?
1211 A: Yes.
122; Q: So at the time that you wrote that 1231 opinion you had Dr . Sandler's records, the police [24] report that you referred to previously, and your

Page 30
ii) knowledge of this conversation with Dr. Sander as in reflected in Exhibit 9?
(3) A: Correct.
(14) Q: Have you ever spoken with Ms. Greer?
Ist A: Yes, I have.
IO Q : When did you do that?
IT1 A: I believe I spoke with Ms, Greer shortly 181 before I wrote my initial opinion.
19) Q: Your initial opinion meaning in April [10 1991?
[11] A: Yes.
it2| Q : And what did you speak with her about?
[I3) A: I spoke with her about her recollections [14] about Michaci Rosenbloomand the changes thar she [15] had observed in the last several months before the 136 suicide.
t177 Q: And what did she tell you?
|15; A: As best I recollect she told me that he $[191$ was depressed, that he was sad, that he was under 129 considerable stress, that he wasn't happy. I asked inn if there were any thoughts or indications about mat suicide or that be had wanted to kill himself, stop tasi living, andshe said no. She said that he loved [34] his new adopted son, that they were just in the
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(1) process of renovating $a$ house, that they were [2] really looking forward to the future and that they 31 were thinking about the future. That the day that $[9]$ he committed suicide she had absolutely no hint and ist was completely taken by surprise. That from her 161 knowledge of him, he was a very respousible man or with a lot of obligations and would just
not bave |81 left her with a new baby.
ig) Q: Now, sir, at the time that you hat this $\{10]$ conversarion with Ms, Greer you had already [ti] reviewed Dr. Sandlers records?
12. A: i beiieve su.
15. Q: 50 was it surprising to you, sir, tha: it 4 ) Ms. Greer didnt know about he: husband's suicidal (1s) ideation although you knew that it had appeared in !(6) Dr Sandler's record?

## ! 7 MA. GREENWALD: Objection.

(ts) A: It was not surprising.
(19) Q: Patients dont aiways tell thei: family (20) members about their feeling of suicidallity, [21] Right?
[23) A: Correct.
123 Q: In fact, patients frequently don't tell [24) family and friends abour their feclings of
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(1) suicidality?
(2) A: Patients often do. What you find is some BI patients do and some patients don't. I wouldn't (4) want to say the majority do this or the majority do (5) that. Most patients who commit suicide, from what [6] I understand, do inform people. But it also is not muncommon for paticnts not to inform people.
(8) Q : Sir, was there anyone else in that 191 conversation, participating in the conversation 110 J that you had with Ms. Grect?
i1i) A: No.
113 Q: Have you spoken with her since that time (13) that you referred to shortly before your expert in opinion in Apri '91?
(15) A: No, nor to my recollection.
116) Q: Let me go back to the conversation that [17] you had with Dr. Sandler when he asked you whether [18] you could see an effect from Prozac in such a short 191 period of time and you said that you had r20 information at that time of an event within three f21] days. Is that right?
122) A: Yes.
[29) Q: Who were you referring to?
(27) A: One of the patients in the case report
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[1] scries we had published.
[2] Q: By simply referring to the patient number (3) in your article, do you know which patient you're 141 referring to?
[5] A: I would have to look through it, I have la a copy here, (Pause)
[7] No, I'm sorry. The cases here are [日] onset of twelve to fiffeendays. The threeday (9) framework must be another case I had been provided rios some information

## about．

（III Q：None of the six patients reported on in［12 your 1990 article have onset of any symptoms［13！related to the use of fluoxetine within three fitl days．Cor－ tect：
35）A：That＇s not true．They did not develop by ：t6 our observation ob－ sessive suicidal preoccupation．｜ry They had other symptoms．
is） Q ：And what other symptoms？
（19）A：（Pause）They＇re not described in the $12 m$ report．
：\％M5．GUSSACK：Sit．when you say in iztherepor，why don＇t we marknow as Exhibit 10 the ga3 1990 article entitled Emergence Of Intense Suicidal i2d Pre－ occupation During Fluoxetine Treatm－ ent ．
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（1）（Teicher Deposition Exhibit 10 mar－ ked［2 for identification．）

## ［s）BY MS，GUSSACK：

in Q：So the patient to which you were is） referring when you spoke with Dr． Sandier about an［6］onset within three days，were you referring to an monset of obsessive preoccupation with suicide？
｜101 A：Or some suicidal activiry．
191 Q：Or some suicidal activity？
， 1 e $A$ ：Yes．
Iti Q ：You don＇t know which rightnow？ （12）A：No．
（13） Q ：And this patient that＇s not in－ cluded in 1141 your report，Teicher 10, where would you have（15）received informution about this patient？Was it $n$ na somene you treated？
$117 \mathrm{~A}:$ No．
［18］Q：Where did you get the informar－ ion？
（19）A：From a colleague．
［20） $\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{A}$ colleague at Mclean？
［2］$A: N o, 1$ don＇t think so．
［22］Q：Who was the colleague？
［231 A：To the best of my recollection，the 13＋1 colleague was Tom Wehr at NTMH．
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If［1］Q：Dr．Teicher，do patients who are［2］ depressed and prescribed medication always take is their medication？
（4） $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{No}$ ．
（5） Q ：What is the incidence or per－ centage of 16 patients who are nop－ compliant in taking in antidepressant medication？Do you know？
（B）A：Completely or partially？
（9） Q ：Let＇s surr with completely．
1：A： 1 don＇t know．
（iti Q：Fartially？

112：A： 30 percent．
nim Q：As you said．Doctor，Mr．Rose－ nbloom was（14）very resistant to taking． medications．Correct？
（15． A ：Yes．
（is）Q：What do you understand was his reason for at being resistant to taking medication？
（：6i A：It would be a sign of weakness．
！29 Q：Now，sir，you would agree，woul－ in＇t you，120；that many patients have a tremendous reluctance to an；talk abour their suicidaliry？
二䒑：A：Correct．
［23）MR．GREENWALD：Objection．
［24）BY MS．GUSSACK：
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if $\mathrm{Q}:$ And some patients may feel very guilry，［2 very ashamed that they have suicidal feelings？
B：A：Yes．
｜t｜Q：And that would cause them not to share is：that with their family or friends？ （ A A：Y＇es．
（7）Q：Have you ever published on the patient in that Dr．Wehr from NDMH reported to you？
이 $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{No}, \mathrm{I}$ haven＇t．
trop Q：So classifying this kind of piece of tuit tiformation as，what，an unpublished case report？tas That＇s the bucket we would put that in？
1131 A：Yes．
［14］Q：Did De Wehrsend youany records on this risi patient who had experienced some symptoms after 116 three days？
in $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{No}$ ．
［18］Q：And you don＇t know what sym－ proms they tivt experienced after three days？
［20］A：This was a person who developed an t2y intense desire to cut themself and felt suicidal．
［22］Q：Do you know anything else about the l2s1 patient？
［20 A：He actually had treated this pari－ ent over
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II a long period of time and this was a patient who in 122 his experience deve－ loped the suicidal response to 33 Prozac both initially and on subsequent in rechallenge．And that＇s the case he described to $1 s \mathrm{me}$ ．
is $Q$ ：He described this to you over the phone？
T1 A：Described it to me in person．
is）Q：Do you know anything about whe－ ther the plotient had any other con－ ditions for which he was noi being treated？

## （11）MR．GREENWALD：Objection．

เม）A：I don＇t know what other -1 know ［13）depression was the major condition． ri＋1 Q：What about personality dis－ orders？
25．A：Nut as far as Iam aware．
， 6 Q：Any neurulogic abnormalitics：
1－A：Not 15 far as I know
its Q：Other medications he was taking？
ition A：Prozac was the main－twas the $1 \times 8$ medication．
I）Q：Was there any other medication：
2：A：Not that lana aware or．Noras 233 ： recollect．
［20 Q ：Any history of suicidality in that
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11：patient？
（2）A：No．
Is $\mathbf{Q}$ ：And this is all information that you it received from Dr．Wehr？
in A：Yes．
ef O：How do you spell Wehr？
（ग）A：W－e－h．r．
i日l Q：Sir，have you spoken with Dr，Alan Brown｜91 with regard to this case？
（10）A：No，t have not．
（111 Q ：What about D \＆Eth？
mı：A：Yes．
${ }_{131} \mathbf{Q}$ ：When did you do that？
（14）A：I spoke with De．Eth on two occasions，（15）One was－Im rusty on dates－I believe in May［16）of 1996 and it was in conjunction with a mecting tir that I was attending，I believe at the American（ts）Psychiatric Association， and I met for lunch with 191 Dr．Eth and Artorncy Greenwald and we discussed the poy case as a whole．
i211 Q：What do you mean by that？
［27］A：I don＇t think we spent very much time［23］discussing Mr．Rosenbloom．I think we discussed（24）more the vo！－ uminous amounts of material that we had
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（1）to review．
（2）Q：Did you discuss your impressions upon isl your review of any of that material？
IH）A：We talked about the BGA data and how we tsi wecre all surprised by the BGA data．
（6）Q：When you say BGA data，what are you $m$ referring to？
［8］$A$ ：The information that is in these records 91 thar indicates that the BGA， the German equivalent $[10 \mid$ of the Food and Drug Administration，had initially！ disapproved Prozac and had，among other things，112 pointed out a lack of
efficacy and high incidence (13) of suicide anempts during the trial period.
[14] Q: What else did you discuss with Dr. Eth in l151 your May meeting?
tas MR. GREENWALD:Objection. Main
i- meeting:
(1) MS. GUSSACK:Mtay mecting
12. MR. GREENWALD:Oh. Mav meeting I misul sorty.
721 A. The other thing I discussed with Dr. Eth iz was the fact that I had received a call from the 1231 chairmun of my department. Dr. Joseph Cosle. IJ4 Shortly before that meeting and Dr Covle had asked
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(1) me to come to his office at the earliest point in (as time that I could to meet with him. And Dr. Coyle m brought me into his office and had one of his tal colleagues from down the hall join us in his ist office. He said -
(6) Q: Who was that?

I7. A: I don't recall his name. Hadn't met him ${ }^{2}$ is before. He said that he wanted to have this or meeting witnessed so there'd be no confusion as to tiof what was being discussed.
[13) He told me thar he had heard that (12) I hadagreed to serve as an expert in onc of the 131 Prozaclitigation cases and that he wanted to [14] express his concern. He said that psychiatrists 151 who were on faculty of Harvard Medical School and [16] who are on faculty of Mclean Hospital are prominent in individuals who are likely to be called as expert tis! witnesses:and for the reputation of the deparment (19) and the hospial, he wants to make sure that people 120 who are restifying are testifying based on science 121 as opposed to anecdote or conjecture; and that from [22] his understanding of the literature he could 1231 certainly understand why I published the original pat series of case reports bur it was his impression,
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III not being an expert in this particular area, that 12 the scientific evidence that had arisen since was ty not supportive of the hypothesis that Prozac in induced suicidal ideation.
(5) At that point I discussed with him |6| the published material on Prozac, referring to [7] Dr. Fischer's studies, referring to my 1993 drug [8] safery paper, referring to the Fava and Rosenbaum (9) work. And he then concluded that, okay. there was trof substantial scientific basis for your opinion and in! that it was okay to testify: And when 1 discussed 1121 it with Dr. Eth he indicated that he too had II31 received a call from I believe the chairman of his tai deparmentat UCLA. tis) Q : Who is the chairman there?
116) A: I donit know.

II] Q: And whar had Dr. Eth been told?
Its) A; I think it was a-I don't recall whar isy he said.
20 Q : What did sou think the sienif. icance was the of being called by Dr. Coyle?
I22 A: I found it -
[23: MR. GPEENWALD: Objection.
[2+| A: I found it to be very curious. I wouid
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1. be very surprized if to Corle had called anybody $[21$ else in who's ever done forensic testimony even in is cases such as allegations of child sexual abuse, (4) repressed memories, steroids and psychosis, any of (s) the other things that a number of people at Stctean 69 provide expert testimony in. 1 suspect that this mis probablyone of the only times, if ever, that im he's done this before, and wondered where he had or gained the information and why he was pursuing it. tuen Q: And did you ask him?
(1) A: No.

112 Q: Did Dr. Coyle write you about the subject [13) matter of your conversation with him?
[14) A: No, he did not.
Its) Q : What is Dr. Coyle an expert in?
${ }^{1160}$ A: Dr, Coyle is one of the world's foremost [17) authorities on brain neurotransmitter systems, (18) particularly excitatory amino acids, development of tis! the brain in general. He's a very prominent 120 neuroscientist who had been president at the 121 Sociery for Neuroscientists. Hie has also done some [22) research in child psychiatry.
I; Q: Are you a member of the American Society laf of Neuroscientists?
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(1) A: Sociery for Neuroscientiss.
i2) Q : You are a member of that society? Bi A: Yes.
i+1 Q: Did Dr. Coyle tell you that it was his (5) opinion that there was no basis in science to (6) support the views that you were expressing in this in case?
IB MR. GREENWALD:Objection. .-
pos A: Dr. Coyle had said that he had heard; he fil had not reviewed the material. And 1 had the [iI] distinct impression that the person who had called t12 him to tell him that I was testifying had told him fiss that there was no scientific basis. When I surted nut explaining the scientific basis he pretry quickly 119 called the conversation off and said that it was ne fine for me to continue.
in7 Q : And who is it that you believe
called its Dr, Coyle?
the: A: I don't know.
120) Q: Now, sir. De Coyle is the chairman of i21j the psychiatric deparment at Harvard?
$\therefore$ A: fes
2\% Q: And vou are on the tacuity there Leq $A$ : Yes.
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1) O : You teach at Harvard?

121 A: Yes.
II Q : What do you teach?
101 A: I teach a lot of different things. MS Is main responsibility for teaching is to mentor |6| postdoctoral fellows and । presently have three 01 postdoctorat fellows in my laboratory. Harvard is: postdocroral fellows. I also supervise and teach m residents, PGY 2 and PGY 3 residents, and I provide nof generally one lecture per quarter for the Harvard in! medical students.
IIn Q : The postdoctoral fellows in your lab are 113 research scientist fellows?
[14] A: Yes.
(1s) $\mathbf{Q}$ : And your supervision of residents is in [16 psychiatry?
(in) A: Yes.
(18) Q: Any particular arca?

IT川 A: Psychopharmacology.
[20) Q : What are your lectures on a quar. terly [21 basis about?
122 A: 1 lecture to the medical students on (23) anxiery disorders. 1 also lecture the residents on $[24]$ borderline persomality disorder, dissociative
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III disorders, effects of childhood abuse. Have been (a) lecturing to the residents on attention deficit is) hyperactivity disorder and childhood depression.
(4) Q: You said that in your conversation with (51 Dr.Coyle you mentioned Dr.Fava and Rosenbaum's 19 work?
(0) A: Yes.
m Q: Dr. Rosenbaum is a colleague of yours at ig Harvard?
(10) A: Y̌es.
in Q:A well-respected psycho pharmacologist?
112 A: Yes.
131 $Q$ : An excellent clinician?
[in) A: Yes.
(15) MR. GREENWALD:I object.
${ }^{[16] ~ B Y ~ M S . ~ G U S S A C K: ~}$
(in) Q: And you were referring to their published ney paper on fluoxetine compared to tricyclics?
t 5 M A: Yes.
pm Q : And what were you referring to
fromizil Dr．Fischer？
I2a）A：His postmarketing surveillance data．
1231 Q：You also said you mentioned your 1993 s2e drug safery article．Correct？
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3 A：Yes．
$\therefore$ Q：Did vou mention any other science to Is Dr．Coyle？
1）A：I think that was it．
＊）Q：Now，you said you spoke with Dr Eth on ：S two occasions and you have described one was in May：－of this year．
3：A：Correct．
（9）Q：When was the other？
［10）A：There was also a mecting of the New York $(11)$ Acadermy of Science，they had a special conference［13 on post－ traumatic stress disorder that was in 113： September of 1996．Dr．Eth and I were both in $[14]$ artendance and we spent maybe two to five minutes $[151$ in the hatlway at one of the breaks saying hl．
［16］Q：Have you told me now everything you can［17recallabout the conversation you had with Dr．Eth［is）in May of 1996 about this casc，I think you said 1191 overall was bow you described it？
［201 A：Yes．
｜21）Q：You＇ve now told me everything jou can（22）recall？
［23）A：That I can recall，yes．
${ }_{124} \mathrm{Q}$ ：Did you discuss with Dr．Eth the other
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［1］experts who had been identified by Artorney［2 Greenwald in this matter？
（3）A：I don＇t think we discussed all of the （4）experts．I think one of them was mentioned．
151 Q：Who was that？
（6）A：Charlie Nemeroff．
II Q ：And what did you discuss about Charlie is Nemeroff？
19）A：That we both know him．
1301 Q：And what about him？
$n 11 \mathrm{~A}$ ：He is prominent，well－respected．
［12］ Q ：You are a member of the Amer－ sican College 1351 of Neurop sychopharmacologists．Correct？
（14）A：No． 1 am not．
［15］Q：Oh，you＇re not．Do you have any （16）affiliation with the organization？
A：Well，I usually artend their meet－ ith and n8，I won one of their awards． （19） Q ：Is there a reason you＇re not a membe？
I3G A：Ive rever applied for mem－ to－thip．
1211 Q．sce，Is that where you know 122

Dr．Nemeroff from？
［23）A：I＇ve met Dr．Nemeroff a couple of times．i2⿻ I met him once at that meeting． I＇ve met hirn at

Pags $\pm 3$
a：Society forNew．Science meetings．Ite beea on 2：grant committees that have revicued his work：
（3）Q：And a prominent psycho－ pharmacologist？
© A：İes．
13． Q ：Well－regarded in the field？
A．A：Ves
；Q：Have you ever spoken with Dr． Lord，sir？
（8）A：No．
I5 Q：Have you spoken with Dr．Cole about this［to］case since the initial discussion you liad with him［in after you had been contacted by Attorney Greenwald？
［ta｜A：I did not have a discussion with him ing after I was contacted by Mr． Greenwald．
｜14｜Q：I don＇t mean to mischaracterize your 115 testimony，sir．I thought you told me you spoke［19］with Dr．Cole and spoke with him about the case．
（17）A：No，no．
（Im）MR．GREENWALD：Objection．You asked ing him whether or not he had， after be＇d spoken with $[20 \mathrm{me}$ ，tatked with Dr．Cole and he said he had not［a］ talked with Dr．Cole．
［22］BY MS，GUSSACK：
［23］Q：I think I misunderstood you．You told me［24］you spoke with Attorney Greenmald about Dr．Cole？
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i1）A：Correct．
［2］MR．GREENWALD：That＇s exactly what BI be said．
（4）MS，GUSSACK：Thank you．Appreci－ ate is the clarification．

## （6）BY MS，GUSSACK：

ITQ：Have you ever spoken with Dr
Cole about is this case？
191 A：I＇es．
rot Q：And when was that？
［11］A：There was a meeting that－rook place in my［2］office with Dr．Colc， mysclf and Artomey Steve［153 Pavsner and during that period of time we met ［14］together to put together our statemr－ ent．
［15：Q：Expert report？
［16）A：Expert report．
in Q：And did you actually draft the report lis while Dr．Cole and Artorney Pavsner were in your［19］office？
120）A：Dr．Cole and I dictated it to Artor－
ney 1211 Pavsuce，who had a laptop on his hp and typed ；z\％away：
123；Q：I see．And were you planning on ise preparing a joint report？

Fago 30
A：Yes．
$\geq$ Q：Who did most of the dicuting to Artorney 73 Pavinct？
－A： 1 believe it was a mutual effort．
＝Q：What was the process by which you $|6|$ generated the report？Attomey Pavsner typed it $t 7$ into his taptop somputer and then what happened？
क．A：Then he sent us copies and we reviewed 191 them individually．
1101 Q：Didyou make changes in the copy he sent［II］you？
［1z：A：Some minor changes，yes．
1134 Q：Edirorial changes？
It＋1 A：Editorial？Meaning？
119 Q：Grammatical；stylistic，What kind of tis）changes？
：17）A：They were mostly rephrasing some［1s）sentences to be more scien－ tifically accurate．
แッ Q：So，sir，do you have the original draft［20）that Attorney Pavsner sent you in the folder that［21］you brought with you today？
izy A：Probably．
［231 Q：And do you have a copy of the notes that［20］you made on the draft？
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（1）A：It＇s likely that they＇re here．
12： Q ：Then you sent your copy with the notes on at it back to Attorney Pavsner？ ［1］A：I＇m trying to remember ifl did that or ist if－I know he had also sent a disk and we may 6 明 have made the changes directly on it and sent him m back the final version．
im O：So he sent you a computer disk with what is he had ryped when he met with you？
110，A：Yes．
［11］Q：And you believe you made chan－ ges directly 1121 on the disk？
11s A：Right，printed them out．yes．
It 4 Q：So you believe you have both the original［isl hardcopy version of the report and your revisions［16 to it？ ir：A：Yes．
Ine：$Q$ ：And that would be in the folder that IE you＇ve brought with you today？ Iar A：Yes．
18॥Q：What was the process，if you know，that［2］Dr．Cole followed with respect to his report？
I2）A：I don＇t know．
I24，Q：Now，sir，do you assume that your
report
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[1] is identical to Dr, Cole'5?
121 A: No.
19: Q: In what way is it different?
(+1 A: Ijust don't assume. Ihaven'tread 's) Dr. Cole's.
161 (A woman emtered the room.)
TI Q: Did you discuss with Dr, Cole any (ist revisions that you were making to your report?
in A: No. I haven't discussed it with Dr: Cole:10 2t all.
i11) Q: So the last time that you and Dr. Cole -
I13 MR. GREENWALD: I'm sorry, Could you ly tell us who this person is?
(14) MS. GUSSACK: As soon as 1 finish my [15) question, yes.
(16) BY MS. GUSSACK:
${ }^{\text {II7 }} \mathrm{Q}$ : So the last time that you spoke with IIs Dr. Cole about your report was in the course of 119 preparing the report with Artorney Pavsner in your (20) office? [2! A: Yes.
(22) MR. GREENWALD: Off the record.
[23] (Discussion off the record.)
[24] BY MS, GUSSACK:
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[1) Q: Dr. Teicher, I have had marked as Exhibit 1213 a C.V, dated July '95. Do you have a C.V. that I31 is more current than that?
(4) A: Y'es, 1 do

1s1 Q: Do you have it with you?
(6) A: Y'es, I do.
m MS. GUSSACK:Ler's have marked as (\$) Exhibit 11 the October '96 C.V. of Dr. Teicher.
(9) Creicher Deposition Exhibit 11 marked |nol for identification.)
(11) BY MS, GUSSACK:
[12) Q: Dr. Teicher, are there any additions on 1131 this C.V.that relate to Prozac from the C.V. that [14] I have had marked as Teicher 2?
(15) MS. GUSSACK: This is an extra copy aseof it. Why don't you use that to testify fromand im then when we're done, we
can make other copies of $\{18\}$ it.
1191 MS. GUSSACK:I'm sorry. Teicher 3. 120) I misspoke.
i21) MR. GREENWALD: Wait a second now, [2m You're asking him differences berween 3 and 11 ?
[23) MS, GUSSACK:Yes.
[24) MR. GREENWALD:Okay.
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(1) A: I would guess that one significant [2] difference was that reference 74 of
the original i31 papers in the "95 which was in press has come out 141 and it's now listed is reference 73 .
151 Q : What is the title, sir?
761 A: It is Development Of An Animal Model Of - Fluoxetine-Induced Akathisin.
18: It appears that specifically in regarding Prozac that's the only difference.
Ifor Q : What about any additions on your current [1! C.V. with respect to SSRIs generally?
'I: A: That sould be it tus.
13 Q: Excuse me?
Itt| A: No other additions with regard to SSRIs.
(Is) Q: What about any additions with respect to 1161 the treatment of depression?
(17) A: Yes, there are.
(18) In the 1995 C.V., reference 72 had (t9) Cortisol Regulation In Post-Traumatic Stress nan Disorder: A Chronobiological Analysis. When that [21] was revised and accepted in Biological Psychiatry, [2] it came out in Biological Psychiatry and also [231 included major depression, so the tille is now [24] Cortisol Regulation In Post-Traumatic Stress
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(1) Disorder And Major Depression:A Chronobiological 12 Analysis.
[3] The article Motor Activity And [4] Severity Of Depression In Hospitalized Prepuberal 151 Children has appeared. The reference 78 that was
161 A: J. Allen, A Controlled Trial Of Light Therapy [7 For The Treatment Of Pediatric Seasonal Affective is Disorder, is now in press in the Journal of The is American Acaderny of Child and Adolescent [no Psychiatry.
111) The article that was 84 in the 1995 [12] C.V.on Circadian Rest-Activity Rhythms In Seasonal (131Affective Disorder, which was submitted to the [14] Archives of General Psychiatry, is now in press in [15! the Archives of General Psychiatry is reference 80.
116) Unlisted in 1995 was an articleon (17) Circadian Rest-Activity Disturbances Ia Children [1s With Seasonal Affective Disorder, which is now in nerpress in the Journal of The American Academy of ram Child and Adolescent Psychiarty
121) Q: Doctor, is that-a particular area of [21 interest that you have?
n231 A: Seasonal depression?
[24) Q: Yes.
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II A: Yes
[21 Q: And child psychiatry as well?
(3) A: Y'es.
it) Q: Are you board-certified in child (s: psychiatry?
(6) A: Adolescent, not child.
$\Pi$ Q: Adolescent psychiatry?
s. A: Yes.
? O: And circadian rhythms, that is another :tol area of interest:
(11) A: Y̌Cs.
(13) Q: And in basic research in looking at those t13 issues? Is that what you spend time doing?
(1+1) A: Well. research in that area is clinical [1sy research.
(16) Q: Do you do any basic research in that (17) area?
Its A: Yes, I do, I do basic research but that 191 research is clinical research.
(2v) Q: What are your areas of basic research?
[21] A: Basic research areas are in receptor $[22]$ development, generally monoamine receptors, 1231 particularly dopamine.
[2v) Q: Anything clse?
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(1) A: We look at dopamine receptors and we also [a] look at serotonin receptors and seratonin [i) transmission receptors.
(1) Q: And who is "wve"?
[5] A: My lab group. 1 am the head of the 16) laboratory; Dr. Andersen is an instructor in the [7] laboratory also.
(6) Q: My question really about your C.V. was is isf there anything new, not now whether it has been now for formally published or submitted in manuscript or [11] the like, bur is there anything new in your most 121 current C.V. related to the treatment of (13) depression?
[2-1 A: We submitted a work on Hemispheric EEG [151 Asymmetries In Seasonal Depression Before And After (16] Light Therapy and also presented that data and our fir functional MRI data on scasonal degression, which tisi hadn't been previously u9i $Q$ : Whar percentage ght of time do
 ressurcti. Tn A: 40 p21 Q: Wha
Would yo teaching respiongonilifisteming gir? you have previously destriack
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II) A: That's tied in with the laboratory time.
[2] Q : That is part of the 40 percent?
(3) A: What I enumerated before interms of it teaching is because a lot of my reaching is 15 i mentoring postdoctoral
fellows and the postdoctoral 6 fellows are involved in the research so the का mentoring centers on the research．So they＇re part pand parcel of that sume 40 percent．
19 Q：What do you spend the other 60 percent of tue your time doing？
tin A：Forty percent is basic research which is 11 in a a basic taboratory looking at brain development 113 and these receptor systems．I guess another［14］ 40 percent of my time is clinical research and the tist main focus is on locomotor activity，circadian（：G thythms，seasomal depression，attention deficit try disor： der，and childhood abuse．
［ 1 s $Q$ ：Do you maintain a private clinical t191 practice？
［20）A：Yes， 1 do．
［21］Q：How many patients are currently being 129 seen in your private practice？
$1231 \mathrm{~A}:$ My average biting for a month
＂would $|2+|$ involve forty patients．
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（I）Q：Now，are those patients that you see［2］through the McLean ctinic？
is）A：No，they are private practice．
14）Q：So they come to see you？
（s）A：Yes．
（6）Q：They are ongoing patients of jours？
MI A：Yes．
［8］ Q ：And of those forty patients how many of in them suffer from major depression？
naif A：Probably thirry．
（11）Q：Are any of them taking Prozac，sir？ 1121 $A$ ：Yes．
（131 Q：How many？
（i4）A：Two or three．
（1s）Q：You have prescribed Prozac to your na patient population since it first became available，It haven＇t you？
［18］A：Yes．
［191 Q：You have preseribed it for your－ self？
［20］MR．GREENWALD：Objection．
（21） $\mathrm{A}: 1$ took it on one or two occasions．
t27）Q：Do you mean one or two days？
$123 \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes．
124 Q：And then stopped it？
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（1）A：Yes．
12：Q：Because why？
B3 A：Developed arthralgia．
（1）Q：WFI？indications do you use Pro－ zac for， $15,5=$ ）
1 A：What indications？
ก1Q：ว ンes．
［8］A：Depression，panic disorder．
is Q ：Anything else？
（tor）A：OCD．That＇s about it．
（11）Q：And have you used it continuously since tian it was first available to you？
却：A：Used it or prescribed it？
2：© Q：Prescribed it．
its $\mathrm{A}:$ Yes．
tth Q：Are there certain patients，sis，for whom 117 you do not prescribe Prozac？ isis）A：The way that 1 treat patients is I follow is，a procedure of informed consent in which I discuss $120 \mid$ with the patient their diagnosis and their an prognosis and their recommendations for treatment，$[2 y$ and $I$ enumerate the options so that in discussing a［23 pat－ ient＇soptions I would include．where it＇s （2v）indicated，you know Prozac is one of the options．
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12： I would also indicate many of the in other anvidepressants or other modes of therapy and os talk therapy，all of the approved，recommended， 14 approp－ riate modes of treatment．And I would is？ discuss with the patient the pros and cons，risks ！aland benefits of the different treatments and work［｜with the patient to reach a decision．And largely wi $^{2}$ the choice of the drug reflects the patient＇s wish．
19）Q：Sir，of the forry patients that youare 1101 presently secing in your private practice，how many $t 11$ of them are you administering talk therapy to？
$112]$ A：All of them get some．
［13］Q：What＇s＂some＂？
［16）A：Whenever I seethem fora session， part nist of the session is talk therapy．
［16］Q：How much of the session，what percentage 137 of the time that you spend with them？
［as A：That depends on the patient．
1199 $Q$ ：What does it range from？
［20］A：It ranges from twenty minutes to $2 n$ hour
i2n $Q$ ：And of these forty patients how many are IP you secing on a regular basis to monitor their［29］medications and make adjustments in theis ize－med－ ication treatment？
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IH A：I would say that the vast majority are on 121 medication and that＇s part of their treament．For py some it is a major part．For some it is a minor 14 part．
is： Q ：Which percentage is it a major part for 161 of the forty we＇re talking about？
$\Pi$ A：Probably about a third．
［6］$Q$ ：You are licensed to practice med－ icine in th Massachuserss？
（10）A：Yes．
（iil）Q：Anywhere eise？
（2） $\mathrm{A}:$ No．
a31 Q：Has your license ever been sus－ pended：
a．，A：No．
is，Q：Have vour privileges ever been！16｜ restricted？
${ }^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{No}$ ．
：trs Q ：You are not trained as a neu－ rologist？
ทต A：No．I am not．
120，Q：You are not an expert in epide－ miology，［2I are you？
（27）MR．GREENWALD：Objection．What do［23 you mean by expert？
［24］MS．GUSSACK：What I asked．
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（11）BY MS．GUSSACK：
（2）Q：Are you an expert in epide－ miology？
131 MR．GREENWALD：Same objection．
（1）A：I would say no，I am not．
（3）Q：And are you an expert in suic－ idology？
t⿴囗 A：Expert in suicide，yes．
in $Q$ ：In the study of suicide？
ts A：Yes．
iv Q：You recognize the field of suic－ idology？
1101 A：Yes．
tin Q：Who would you say were leaders in that 112 field？
（13）A：Jan Fawcett．
（t＋）Q：Anyone else，sir？
（15）A：Cynthia Pfeffer．
119 Q：And you believe that you are one of their rinp peers in the study of suicide？ inel A：Yes．
II9：MR．GREENWALD：Objection．
［20）BY MS，GUSSACK：
［21）$Q$ ：Are you a member of the Amer－ ican 122 Association of Suicidology？
［23）A：No．
13＋1 Q：Do you have any training in
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in biostatistics？
12）A：Yes．
（3）$Q$ ：What is it？
［4］A：In the course of my Ph．D．I have is $:=$
extensive training in statistics．
．．．．．．．．．．
19．Q：Now，sir，in your 1990 article that has 17 been marked as Exhibit 10 you have an incidence｜a｜rate that you have defined．Correct？
Fi：A：Yes．
ftel Q ：And do you have a confidence


#### Abstract

interval ttmidentified in there? 11:1 A: Yes \{14 O: Didany statisticianassist youinilu) armving at that incidence rate? 15. A: No.

1* Q: Or contilence intersal? z A $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{No}$ 3. O: Did you do any statistical analysis to :an derive that incidence mre? su A-Yes I did 211 Q: Has that statistical analysis been 22: produced to us in the course of the frsponse to the - subpoena* 32•) A: Yes, it has.
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(1) Q: Can you, sir, referring to the folder vou in brought with you, identify the initial draft report is that you prepared by dictating to Attorney Pavsner is! and the document evidencing your changes to it?
IN MR. GREENWALD:I am going to obfect. ive not to him doing that, but only because I thought of he said that he was sent a disk and he had the disk [al typed out at his office.
M MS, GUSSACK:I believe the testimony fiof was that he dictated with Dr. Cole his expert [in! report to Attorney Pavsner, who sat there with his 112 | laptop. That he subsequently received from ins Attorncy Pavsner a disk which he believed contained thta a draft.printed it out in hard copy, made (1s) additions and revisions to the draft report on his (16) computer but also maintained a hard copy of those 127 revisions.
[4] MR. GREENWALD:I don't rememr ber, but (t9) I'm not sure he testified that he printed it out 120 and made copies. I don't know whether he said that pun or he made changes on the computer. I don't know. [22) He would obviously know the answer to that.
[234 THE WITNESS: Yes, bere it is.
[24| (Pause)
Page 66
(i) MR. GREENWALD: As I understand the 121 question, she wants to know the report that was 31 submitred to Ely Lilly that was part of your what's tal called $26(3)$ statement that was dictated to 151 Atrorney Pavsner. That's what the question is.
(6) THE WITNESS: Okay.

In MR. GREENWALD:She's asking whether isi or not you have a draft of this report that you 99 made changes on. Isn't that what you're asking (ap) him?
(11) MS. GUSSACK: I believe that was it. (12) MR. GREENWALD:That's the question. I13 This is not - This is your affidavit. This is $\mid t+1$ not what she's asking you for.

## (15: BY MS. GUSSACK:

as: Q: Dr, Teicher. jus to clarify, you in understood earlier, didn't you, when I asked you l2s; about the process by which your expert report was ris prepared and sou described sitting in your office 1ser with Dr. Cole and dicating to Amorney Pavsner 'all who had his laptop out and was ryping what you latsaid. that we were referring to the expert report 121 at that time Correct?
i24) A: I'm clearer on that now:
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t: O. Well is that in fact what happened? You on were talking about the exper report.
(3) A: Will you give me a couple of minutes to (4) try to figure this out?
In O : Yes. But let me just distinguish your (1) other testimony. You also testified that you in prepared a letter which you sent to Attorney (i) Pavsner from which he generated your affidavit. So mi to me we're talking about two totally different (the) pieces of paper.
iii) A: Yes.

IIz1 Q: One, you have deseribed a process where tum you wrote a letter to Attorncy Pavsner and he the turned it into an affidavit. The other, we're t19 talking about a meeting you had in which you ried dictated a report to Mr. Paysner.
(17) A: Right And Ineed to make sure that (18) affidavits and reporss are going in the right 1 is direction.
1301 Q: Take your time.
[2] MR. GREENWALD: What she's asking for i21/ is a draft of this report, if there is one, where $[231$ you dictated with Dr Cole in the presence of 120 Atromey Pavsner.

## Pag* 6 a

## (11 (Discussion off the record.)

(2) A: I wonder ifl can clarify this I was 31 confused about the report and the affidivit The in iffidavit wasthe oncthat I was sent a disk and a is hard copy and made changes. The report wasthe one 161 that was dictated in the office with Mr. Pavsnet m taking notes on the laptop. What happened with res that in terms of revision was he read it to me pver gis the phone and I made changes over the phone and thof then he sent the final report. I don't have a und draft of thatove. เมม Q : He didn't give you a draft from his iss laptop before he left your office?
[16] A: No.
11s) Q: Well, sir, which document was it that you 116 sent Mr. Pavsner a letter about from which he tir generated a document?
[18) A: The letter that I sent him was for
the 199 response to the motion to compel.
fau Q: Can you pull from your file now those in documents? I would like to see the document that i2: you sent 1 : Pavsner from which he prepared the :" response to the motion to compel. an: documents the rebating to swur atfida... and any documents
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(1) relating to your expen report. Then we can la identify them accordingly.
ts MR. GREENWALD: Can we hold this till $: \%$ after lunch so I can have a chance to look through is this? It's already 12:20. We'tl just keep it everything in the file and we can -
I7 MS, GUSSACK: Sure. We can comte back (a) to that then right after lunch.
19) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(10) Q: Doctor, in order to talk about your 1990 (1u article with you, I feel like Ineed you to clarify tin some terms with me because I suspect that T'm not $113!$ understanding them as vou might have meant them.
Itil A: Okay.
(13) Q: In your article, Exhibit 10 , sir, you use Ih the term, I believe, de novo suicidal thoughts.
[17) A: Yes.
(1s) Q : Can youtell me what you mean b, that?
(19) A: It means new.
[20) $Q$ : And when you say it means new, do you [2] mean to convey that a patient who has de novo ma suicidal thoughts had no sulicidal thoughts ta3 previously? 124: A: No.
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${ }^{11} \mathrm{Q}$ : What do you mean?
121 A: I mean that at the time, say at the star BI of observation, there were no suicidal thoughts; [4] then at a subsequent point in time suicidal |ss thoug. his emerged would be de novo suicidal thoughts [ब even if months, years, decades earlier the patient in had had suicidal thoughts. So they're not the ist first in their lifetime but they are the first in (9) this time period.
(1), Q: Now, Doctor, you would agree with me that an depression as a disease waxes and wanes. Correct?
II2 A: Correct.
${ }_{113}$ Q: So you can have a patient who had [14 suicidal thoughts whose depression improves and in tisl the course of their disease their depression may [1G worsen and their suicidal thinking may return. 113 Correct?
IIs) $A$ : Yes.
tig Q : And you would agree that suicic a
[20] thinking is a symptom of depression?
(21) A: Among other things, but yes.
(22) Q: It is a diagnostic criteria for 1231 depression, isn't it?
A+i: A: Part of the diagnostic criteria.
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:3: Q: What percentage of depressed patients [2 have suicidal thinking?
31: A: 30 percent or so.
1+1 Q: Not as many as 80 percent?
(4) A: Well. that depends on whether you took at wis the whole pieture or whethe: you look at a slice in rit time. So the point incidence of suicidal ideation 5 may be 40 percent. The morbid risk of suicidal 19 ideation would be closer to 80 percent. (10) Q: And what point in time are you looking at ini) when you take a slice and say it is 40 percent?
(12) A : If at any moment you surveyed somebody 1 , who was in the middle ofa major depression, you [24! would find that about 40 percent of them had n15: suicidal thinking-
${ }_{116} \mathrm{Q}$ : And over the lifetime of their depressive an disease, as many as 80 percent experience suicidal thet fideation?
(19) A: Right.

1201 Q: And somewhere berween 40 and 60 percent 211 over the lifetime of a depressed person's disease iz2 may attempt suicide?
125) A: That seems a bit high.
(2a) Q: Do you have any data that contradicts
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(1) that?
(2) A: It's much higher than my clinical is) experience.
(4) Q : And you treat very seriously ill patients is at Mclean, don't you?
(6) A: Yes.
[7] Q : And seriousty ill patients meaning ${ }^{[8]}$ psychiatrically seriously ill patients. Correct?
(9) A: Yes.
[10) Q: And those are the highest risk for (111 suicide, aren't they?
(121 A: Yes.
(13) Q : What is chronically suicidal?
(11) A: It refers to a state of real persistent (1s) feelings and thoughts about suicide:
(1G Q: When you say reat persistent do you mean'tu day to day to day they're feeling suicidal?
(1s) A: Yes.
19) Q: So that they are more often suiclal than tas not?

## A: Right.

1221 Q: What is mild suicidal ideation? 1231 A: Passive thoughts of suicide with no [24] intent or no desire. Basically flecting thoughts.
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13. Q: Can you give tie an example of a flecting :2 thought:
It A: Sure. Somebody's had a rough dy at it work, they're driving home, they see a bridge, they (s) think for half a second what it would be like. you in know, would it solve their problems to drive into - the bridge: they immediately dismiss it go home and aive it no further thought.
เv Q : So mild and passive are the same kind of non ideation to you?
(11) A: Passive specifically means with no In intent.
t13: Q: What does no intent mean?
1241 A: That the person does not wish they were lis dead; the person does not feel that they would be 116 better off if they weren't alive. They have the in thought but there is really no desire to act on it.
(1) Q: Now,Doctor, do youknowabouta tispatient's intent fromany source other than the [pop patient?
[21) A: Mostly you know from the patient. There [23 are other clues.
(2) Q: What are the other clues?
[26 A: Depends on what the patient is doing.
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(1) For insuance, a patient who intends to commit an suicide may pur their affairs in order. A patient 139 who intends to commit suicide may be giving away iff possessions and valuables A patient who intends 151 to commit suicide may be leaving imporant clues. 16 So you can sometimes tell from their behavior that IT their intention is serious.
I8 Q Q: What clues would that be other than what isy you've described?
[10] A: They'll make passing comments to people. [il They may go out and purchase a gun. They may 112 sockpile and hoard medications.
(13) Q: Sirs, do patients commút suicide who have [i4] nor previously expressed an intent?
(15) A: Yes.

HIG Q: Do patients commit suicide without giving uiclues 2bout their intent 3 to cómmir suicide?
IIs A: On occasion, yes.
เty Q: What are mild suicidal gesrures?
[20) A: A mild suicidal gesture is often a i21] nonserious self destructive act that if it were [23 more serious could be associzted with suicide. r231 A very mild,

Say, scratching of the wrist [24] superficial curs with a then expectation of
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IN attention. support, help. assistance. That would a be a suicidi zesture.
: O: If a patient that you were treating with . depression has a hustors or mild suicidal gestures, "s do vot watch that patient more closely for being at 161 risk for suicide?
in A: Yes.
© $Q$ : Is there a difference between self. \% destructive thoughrs and passive suicidal ideation:
(1w) A: Yes.
(ti) $Q$ : What is the difference?
IIIA A: A self-destructive thought may not be [issuicidal. A self-destructive thought may be a thif person who wants to, say, burn themselves with a un cigarette. They may want to hurt themselves in 166 some way but clearly not to commit suicide but to (I7) feel pain.
Itas Q: Do self-destructive thoughts put you as |19 the clinician on notice that thereis a risk of pop suicide inthe patient? (21) A: Yes.

122 Q: So even though it is not nece. ssarily 231 intended to bea life-ending act but more one nas designed to elicit pain. that puts you on notice
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(1) that the patient may be at risk for suicide?
(2) A: It means it may be progressing in that BI direction, yes.
I+1 $Q$ : And active suicidality is what?
151 A: Somebody who has intent, a plan, strong 16 wish.
DI Q: How is that different than chronically (B) suicidal?
I91 A: There are patients who are chronically (10) suicidal but aren't active in their intent. A ItI patient, for instance, can think about committing in suicide every day when she wakes up and struggle 1131 with feelings of suicide, decide very clearly that Int she's committed to live, she's committed to working Its and treatment and that she would have no intention (16) and no plan to commit suicide. So that person the could be chronically suicidal but not active in fis their intent.
Tiviनftsof they-were going to commit suicide that suicide was 2 II inevitable; that they really wanted to be dead, 22 that would then become active.
I2s Q: So someone who is actively suicidal is 12 s someone who is literally on the brink of attempting
th or committing suicide?
[21 A : It doesn't have to be that way There 131 are patients who are actively suicidal who really $|t|$ want to be dead. who have the intent to be dead. ss but they also could have a reasonably long time (6) frame. 1 have had patients who will be actively 17 suicidal, who want to be dead, who will say, have isp a goal that if they re not better bysix months. by 911 year they'll commit suicide. And so they can tow really be actively thinking about suicide but the: It also can be deferring it. They can give the 112 medication a chance or something like that,
$113 \mathrm{Q}: 1 \mathrm{sec}$. What is intermittent suicidal (54) ideation?

155: A : Intermittent means that it occurs 136! sporadically. So a patient currently in a 117 depressive episode may have suicidal thoughts once 1181 a week, twice a week, and on the other days not. (t91 So probably less likely than more.
(zo) Q: Any patient who presents with a history [2] of being chronically suicidal or intermittent r22 suicidal thoughts or mild suicide gestures are all [23] patients at increased risk for suicide. Correct? (24) A: Yes.
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(1) Q: Evena patient with passive suicida! (2) ideation you would say was at increased risk for (3) suicide?
(1) A: Compared to somebody with no suicidal is: thoughts or compared to sumebody who was completely $[6]$ normal, yes.
[7) Q: How would you describe Michael (8) Rosenbloom's suicidality in the last
 prescribed Prozac?
frof MR. GREENWALD:Objection to the form [in of the question.
122 A : Passive and intermittent.
${ }_{1331} \mathrm{Q}$ : So by passive you mean no intent or plan?
[17) A: Right.
i151 Q: And intermitrent, meaning that it sor of 116 waxed and waned from day to day?
|171 A: Yes. Well, in his case even less IIS| frequently than that; present on pare occasions.
[191 Q: And what is the evidence that you are 220 relying on when you say present on rare occasions?
121| A: Dr. Sandler's notes and my conversation [2य with Dr. Sandler.
123) Q: How many times, do you know, does [24) Dr , Sandler refer to Mr . Rosenbioom's suicide
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IIt ideation in his notes?
i2 A: I would have to count.
13: Q: I will put the records before you in a li: minute because I have some specific questions for $; 9$ you.

- A: 1 could eventookat my notes on his H records. It might be in there.
游MS, GUSSACK: Tell you what. Can we i9: have marked as Exhibit 12 Dr . Teicher's handwritten nop notes and miscellaneous documents contained in his at folder
(:2) MR. GREENWALD:This is the light (13) blue folder, for the record.
[14] (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 12 marked Its) for identification.)
ItG BY MS, GUSSACK:
(12) Q: Handing you what has been marked as |t8| Exhibit 12 , sir, can you identify for me the notes $t 19$ you took of Dr. Sandler's records?
1201 A: Theseare my notes of Dr Sandler's i211 treatment notes.
izi MS, GUSSACK:Can we have them marked [23) as 12 - A through E , five pages. (23) (Teicher Deposition Exhibits 12-A
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(II) through 12.E marked for Identification.)
ia) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(3) Q: Doctor, just showing you what's been (1) marked as Exhibit 12 A , there is a notation in the is left-hand corner I think of Ocrober 25 . is that 16 right?
mA: Yes.
30) Q: Are these notes that you made October |9| 25th of this month?
Ino A: Yes.
[II] Q : And that refers to the 12 A through $\mathrm{E}^{2}$ ?
IIA A: Yes.
(13) Q: Could you direct my attention, sir, to [14] the place where you believe you've made notes about [15] Dr. Sandier's records of suicidal ideation?
${ }^{[16] ~ A: ~(P a u s e) ~ W e l l, ~ t h e r e ' s ~ o n e ~ o n ~ M a y ~}$ 31.
in Q : And what is your note for that?
21en A: My note for that whiole session: Claims तिध sicep is' normal now but otherwise has many symptoms 120 of depression; feeling depressed, low selfesteem, [21] feeling weak, defensive, feels unworthy of Joan's [az] support, though this is variable, high anxiety:a3s thoughts of being street person; thoughts of [24) suicide, though low intensity, difficulties in
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II concentrating, very tense; five-pound weight loss, 12 decreased appetite. Prim-
ary concern is with Texas [3] Lowsuit including hisrageat client Phil who he, feels set him up and now denies. Unable to 15 effectively process the anger, as he is dependent 66 on this client. Will see this client over next week. He is accommodating to weekty sessions an..
A furdher evaluation of medications.
5. Then on $6 / 4$ there is mention of surcidal feelings and specifically there. suicidal [1t! feelings pursued and are mild and low risk now:
II : That's all I see in my notes.
13: Q: Doctor had Michael Rosenblens. been your int patient, when would you have first prescribed an t151 anti. depressant for him?
(176) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(1-) A: Probably around the same time that (181 Dr.Sandler did. Mlaybe a little bitProbably (199) more likely at the time when Dr Sandler started (20) first broaching the topic.
[21) Q: There are several times where Dr, Sandler 1231 mentions the possibility of using antidepressants 223 , but the patient is resistant. Correct?
pit A: Correct.
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(t) Q : And you believe at one of those earlier [2] times several weeks before he actually prescribes?
(s) A: Well, I think I would have also broached (4) the topic; and again, it is the patient's choice.
[5] Q: Doctor, referring back to 7-C, your (61 billing record, it reflects that as of today, in October 29, you've spent four hours on this case?
${ }_{[8]} \mathrm{A}$ : Yes.
(9) Q: There's commitment for you, 5:20 to 5:40 (10) this morning. What were you doing at that time?
(in) A: Trying to get all this stuff organized 1121 and trying to prepare that -
(13) Q: List of materials reviewed?
[14] A: - list of materials reviewed, and trying 115 to put together the billing sheet.
(18) Q: And. sir, this record, Teicher $7-\mathrm{C}$ also [IT reflects that on becoutemativ

 meet." Were you mectingigntalatom
Greenwald?
oreerwad
er A: Yes.
In $Q$ : You had dinner with him?
I2 A: Yes.
[23 Q: Doctor, what did you discuss with ind Attorney Greenwald last night for which you are
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In billing him three and a half hours of
time?
12: MR. GREENWALD: Objection. First of 131 all. he didn't say he was going to bill me three it and a half hours for dinner Second of all -
s. MS. GUSSACK:--C refers so three and s: a half hours.

- MA. GREENWALD: Feah, but that a doesn't mean he's necessarily going to send me a pill for that. Maybe he is: I don't know:


## in BY MS. GUSSACK:

in Q. The document is labeled "Grees billing at is $5+00$ an hour Bur aside from whether the bill ;13; actually issues this document reflects a three-iti| andahalf hour meeting with Atrorney Greenwald. Iss Can you tell me what you discussed?
IHG A: Well, we first discussed where we were [m going to go eat and decided that we would go to |tb] Legal Seafood. Then we discussed how we were going |19 to get to LegalSeafood, and we walked - we were tap horrible at it. We had to ask two peoplefor ar instructions, but we finatly got there, and then [23 there was a long wait so we discussed whether we b29 were going to waitor notiandalthough it was [24] about a half hour, we chose to wait. Then we sat
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ah at a bench nearby and discussed the upcoming [2] deposition.
13. I'm trying to think about what we (f) specifically diseussed. Basically he said "Relax, islanswer the questions honestly. Make sure that you [6] understand the question. He told me an anecdote m about an individuat he had recently done a $18 /$ deposition with and after they broke for lunch he |g1 asked the person if he'd discussed the deposition uro with counsel and he caid yes and told him he didn't (11) understand a single question he had asked him. So 1121 he encouraged me to make sure I understand what (13) you're asking.
[14] Talked a lot about his daughter being (151 in taw school for the first year and how she has no (16) life and she's going to Georgetown.
1171 MR. GREENWALD: Don't put that on the tisi record.
(19) MS. GUSSACK:I'm not going to show [20) it to her.
(2) BY MS. GUSSACK:

121 Q: Other discussions with respect to the (3) case, sir?
124: A: Basically Attorncy Greenwald as ked mea
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7 number of questions and they seemed be questions in that struck me as they re points of clarification is about
things that had gone on in Dr. Eth's 74 deposition.
15: Q: Such as what ${ }^{3}$
15) A: We discussed Dr. Eth's statement that he $t 7$ was not an exper in psy: chopharmacolugy and as I expressed that when 1 had read it that $I$ was m: surprised that i mould have considered somebody 10 , who was a board-certified psychiatrist who had tit1 conducted clinical trials in psychopharmacology to ial be by rights an expert in psycho-pharmacology-And isithenImentioned to him that I was surprised that the' in De Cole's deposition, that De. Cole did not ats sute that he was an expert on ecothesia when [19 I would conisider Dr. Cole to be one of the foremost 177 authorities on ecothesia.
t1e: So we discussed that and had a 119 general discussion about what one would construe as ras an expert in the legal sense versus how academics pat view themselves and came to the conclusion that [2] when academics are asked if they're an expert, they 124 basically interpret it to mean are they an [12) authority as opposed to whether they're an expert.
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(1) And it seemed like that was a significant part of 12 it.
is Q: What other points of clarification did rel you discuss with Aromey Greenwald from Dr. Eth's Is deposition?
(बA) Well, we talkedabout the BGA data and in T m not exactly sure what the connection was, but in Imentioned that had run a statistical amalysis of on the BGA data and that the difference berween trof number of suicide actions on Prozac versus placebo [t1] was significant and mentioned that point. I also |121 mentioned that I had gone through all of the [133 voluminous adverse reports that were avzilable (ty) uncoded, looking to see when they had replied to 133 the BGA, and indicated that on August 31st of 1986 t15 there were sixty-rwo suicidal actions on IT1 fluoxetine, that that was an underestimate; that [13 there were more. That there were in fact at least 199 sixty-nine suicidal actions, And so discussed that tyor there was some underreporting that appeared to be 1211 going on.
I23 Q: When did you perform your stariscical pa3 analysis?
[24 A. This morning No, I perfortined one this
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(1) morning, performed one yesterday.

In Q: What did you perform a statistical i3 analysis of this morning?
[1) A: There was the 1986 data that I performed is this morning, which was
the fluoxetine safery $[6]$ update $6 / 20186$.
i7 Q: is that a document you've generated. sir?
*: A:Yes

* Q: Leave it: we'll get to it. Is that folder labeled that you re referring :0?
:1: A: Ves. Exhibit 12.
12: Q: That is the foider labeled Extabit 122
I18 A: Yes.
(1.) Q: What statistical analysis had you isi performed the morning before orthe dav before tre I think you said?
IIT A: That's the one I performed today. The (tol one I performed the day before swas on the BGA data.
เ19 Q: Sir, you are not an authority as 120 academics use the term in foreign tegularory tan tabeling requirements, are you?
[23] A: No.
[231 Q: Have you ever consulted with any foreign |zu regulatoryagency on labeling requirements for any
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til pharmaceutical?
in A: No.
Bi Q: Do you know what the lebeling history was (1) with respect to fluoxetine in Germany?
(5) A: Oh, I've seen many documents on it.
(6) Q: Litly documents, sir?
m A: Yes.
in Q: Provided to you by plaintiff's counsel?
in A: Yes.
(10) Q: Have you ever consulted with FDA on (ill labeling issues?
[12 A: No. Well -
I13 Q: Iamfamiliar with your role as a[14] consulant to PDAC. Is that what you're wanting to tis offer?
[10] A: Right. And I guess part of that was, one 117 of the concerns was product labeling.
as Q: Aside from that?
Iเs $A$ : No.
7201 Q: What else' did you discuss with Artorncy [21) Greenwaid about Dr, Eth's .testimony other than what 122 you've described?
I23! A: I think that was it for Dr. Eth's matisuthes. testimony.
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in Q : What else did you discuss with Attomey [2] Greenwald about the Rosenbloom case?
(3) $A$ : I don't think we discussed the Rosenbloom (s) case particularly at all.

We did discuss more 151 about the deposition, if you want to consider that IG: part of it.
IT Q: Other than pointers on how to be deposed, [8] did you discuss issues related to Prozac such as 191 BGA but other than BGA issues or with respect to 100 Mr Rosenbloom?
III] A: Yes, I did discuss or we did discuss an taz e-mail from Leigh Thompson that was discussing the 11315 milligram strength Prozac in which Leigh Thompson [it) indicated that the data was massaged to make it tre: look like the $₹$ milligrams was not as effective (10) while 5 milligrams actually had a faster onset and 117 didn't differ in terms of final endpoint versus 20 (ts) milligrams. Discussed that, and discussed my ti9 impression that it was very important that they 120 maintain that illusion in terms of rapid approval [21] of Prozac.
${ }^{[22]} \mathrm{Q}$ : First of all. sir, when you say"they" (23) who are you referring to?

24, A: Lilly.
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(I) Q: And I don't understand your comment. It t24 was important to Lilly to maintain what?
[31 A: That illusion that the 5 milligrams was iff much less effective than the 20 milligrams.
(5) Q: For rapid approval?
(6) A: Yes.
$\Pi$ Q: Rapid approval of what?
(8) A: Of Prozac by the FDA.
|91 Q: What do you mean by that statement. sir?
t10: A: What I mean is that when a drug becomes 111 available clinically you want to know the lowest [12] effective dose. You want to know the optimal 113 effective dose as best you can in the course of a [11] clinical trial, and a drug company will do (15] dose-seeking finding studies where they test (16) patients on a variety of doses to establish $2[17]$ therapeutic range for the medication. And they 1281 generally want to find in doing this 2 dose that is 192 subtherapeutic. That way they can give good l:00: guidance as to what the appropriate dosage is.
[211 They also want to conduct the [22] majority of their tests at the best therapeutic [231 dose or at the safest, lowest. safest, most [24] effective dose. And if they were showing that 5
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(i) milligrams was actually equivaient to or better i21 than 20 milligrams, that would put them in the 131 position of having to do further testing of doses 44 even lower than 5 milligrams and more data on [s] low-dose range since the
trajority of their studies 16 were ar greater than 20 milligrams.
(7) Q: That is the explanation for why it is (13) essential for Lilly to maintain the illusion of -
19. A: That the 5 milligrams is not effective.
ito; Q:I see, Now, Doctor have you reviewed IIII Lilly's clinical trial data regarding the low-dose tiz study?
(13) A: No.
the Q: Have you reviewed the final reports of t1s the low-dose studies?
itc, A: No. I have not.
in Q: Have you reviewed the final reports of $1 \mathbf{1 8}$ the fixed-dose studies?
(is) A: Which finat reports?
ron Q: Clinical trial final reports submitted to (21) FDA.
[2] MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to object I23 only because I'm not sure. Are you talking about $12+1$ all of the trials that were done by Lilly?
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(1) MS. GUSSACK:No, the fixed-dose [2] clinical trial final report submitted to EDA.
(3) MA. GREENWALD: You're tallcing about (f) all the fixed-dose studies?
ssi MS. GUSSACK:The fixed-dose final, (i) Im asking the doctor whether he has reviewed that in data.
(is MR. GREENWALD: The reason for my (9) objection is, as you know, Lilly consistently thol during the MDL argued against producing all their rill data, and in fact Judge Dillon in his order 112 indicated that the plaintiffs would not get all of (13) the data. And the plaintiffs only got, as $[141$ recall, four pivotal studies.
[151 So Ijust want to beclear that when|tel questions are asked about reviewing, they may [17] relate to things that Lilly fought not to provide [18] during the MDL. And in fact at one of the hearings t191 before the judge we were told by Lilly's counsel [20) that it is unnecessary to see everything, that no [2m one does a sampling or a survey: that in fact they 129 are done by doing some spot, random checks, And 1231 that was argued to the court, that that's the way [24) it should be done.
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[11 So I just want to be clear when you id ask for information to be seen that we're not at talking about things that Lilly fought against ill providing.
(5) BY MS, GUSSACK:

461 Q: Doctor, have you reviewed the final $\bar{n}$ report of the clinical trial regarding the fixed tg dose study that Lilly submitted to the FDA?

क्रा A: No, I have not.
io: Q: Fiave you -
:u; MR. GREENWALD: Perhizs if you have a 121 copy of that the doctor would be happy to take a a3, look at it.
\#+ 日Y MS, GUSSACK:
30, Q: Doctor, have you reviewed the seventeen [10 clinical trials from whict. data was presented at $[17$ the Psychopharmacology Drug Advisory Committee (ts) meeting that Lilly provided?
120) A: I reviewed the material that was iov: presented to the people who were on the drug :ar advisory panel.
[21] Q: What material was that?
[231 A: It's a brown folder. Is that it?
124) Q: You brought it with you today?
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## il A: Yes.

(2) MR. GREENWALD: Maybe we could find ( 3 ) it at lunch.
14) A: And 1 carefully reviewed the med analysis is) which Beasley had published at that time, which 161 I guess involved the seventeen studies.
DI Q: What data did you review from which you [B] performed your statistical analysis of this is morning?
t10) A : There should be a folder that has a lot [it) of red paper clips that we produced this morning.
[12) MR. GREENWALD:Red paper clips? ti31 MS, GUSSACK:This requires us to [17] look through the documents you've brought?
ILsI THE WITNESS: Yes.
[16] MS. GUSSACK:All right, I'Il suspend infthe question for the moment until we get a chance [ts; to look through during the lunch break for some [19] organizarional purposes.
[20] BY MS. GUSSACK:
[211 Q: Doctor, has there been any particular (22) data that you have wanted to see thar you have [23] requested from Attorney Greenwald?
[2]] A: Yes.
Page 95
in Q : And what is that?
121 A: I had asked if I conid get all of the [13] daca from the Beasley med analysis and spoke with, [4] I guess, the keeper of the records from the 55 multidistrict litigation.
(ti) Q : Who is that?
(T) A: It was Nancy-oh, Ican'tremember her |at last name.
is Q: When did you do that, sir?
itiof $A$ : About a week ago.
in! Q : And when did you speak with Mr. Greenwald tiat about asking for the

## clinical trial data?

IIs A: Within the last two weeks.
ital $Q$ : What else have you asked Mr. Greenwald iss for?
to A:I asked if we could get a comp puterized , 1, database with all the data.
Isf Q : And he cold you what?
15: A: What he told me wasthat Lilly had not top made that available ind indicated that it would rat onty run on sofraze that was available within the iap comr pany and that one could not export it.
20 Q: What did Nancy the keeper af the MDL iza documents, teil sou?
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(iI) A: That the vast majority of data that would 12 go into the Beasley med analysis is redacted and is nor unmasked and that you can't find out the true 14 incidence of suicide attempts in that data; that (s) you can't track it.
16 Q: Did you make any effort to do so? 7 MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to object is: again because Lilly filed a motion for a protective morder in the XIDL mith respect to providing any of ula the computerized data. In fact, at the first [11! hearing that we had Litly's counsel got up and told |12 the judge that Lilly may not have any compurerized us3 data. At which time Iasked the judge if we could :14: have however they do it, whether they write it in a 15 logbook and take it off a shelf when they get Hef information or if they have it computerized.
in Lilly's counsel, which I believe was tis) Mr. Stanley but I'm not sure if it was Mr. Stanley, $t$ tit but that firm, indicated to the judge at that toon hearing that they didn't know, something to the pap effect that Lilly wasn't that forward of a company p22 in computers. And the judge ordered them to check.
[23] Subsequent to that they fied a [20] motion for protective order with respect to any of
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in the computerized material, arguing that the folks Ia in the MDL even if they got it wouldn't be ableto (3) understand it and wouldn' beable to support it +1 and didn't have the ability to do that. That Ist material was never provided by Lilly And if you t6: want, although I'm sure you have it, there are a 77 number of orders that were passed in the MDL with [3] regard to that.
19) SO my objection is that I think it is [19) inconsistent to ask an expert if he has
$\because-3$ seen things nit1 that you tave refused to provide. That's my ri3 objection, but go on.
113 MS. GUSSACK:Can you read back the [1f1 question, please.
t1s! (The reporter read the question.)

## [16] BY MS, GUSSACK:

[I] Q : Did you makeany effort to review the Itsi data that Nancy told you she had to determine [19] whether you could track the patients?
2. A: No. She told me it was impossible. ia:) Q: My question is, did you make any effor zz to do so yourself?
I23 A: No. Well, actuatly, 1 did the next best 3 e thing. I went and tracked the data that I had that
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WIs not coded or unnusked and I tracked that to ,A check on the accuracy of their statement to the BGA 31 about the incidence. So I didn't have that data to is: check but I checked on the accuracy of the data ts that they were submitting.
16 Q : You checked on the accuracy of data that on you believed was submitted to the BGA. Is that in what you're saying? 15. A: Y'es.

10: Q: Sir.have youevermadea Freedom of on Information Act request to FDA for any data related (13 to Prozac?
[12] A: No.
[nt Q: Did you discuss with Mr. Greenwald last usi evening when you met your consulting generally in the Prozac litigation?
in A: No.
IIs) Q: Are you presently involved in any other 199 case involving Prozac?
[30] A: No.
[21] Q: Doctor, are you presently conducting any (22) clinical trials for any pharmaceutical company?
I23: MR. GREENWALD:Im sorry. I mis sed pal the question.
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## (1) BY MS. GUSSACK:

121 Q: Are you presently conducting any clinical is) trials for any pharmaceutical company?
H1 A: No.
is) Q: Have you ever done so?
(16) A: Jes.
mQ: For whom?
(3) A: I conducted a trial of thioridazine in 199 borderline personality disorder for, oh, boy, top I think it's Hoffmann-LaRoche.
(iii) Q: Sounds like Sandoz to me.

II2 A: You're right.
1131 Q: Okay. Any others, sip : 2 :
( $1+1$ A: Can you repeat the question?
(1s Q: Have you ever performed a clinical trial t161 for any pharmaceutical company other than the one uT that you're just mentionedabout Sandoz and
(13: thioridazine? Have you been involved in any other as; clinical trial?
:200 A: I tested light devices for light therapy $22:$ companies but they re not pharmucestical companies.
$\because Q$ : And when sou were inoking at thioridazine is vou uere evaluating sifety and efficacy for sundoz:
12+t A: Yes.
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iii Q: Did you draft the protocol in that (2) clinical trial?
, A: lies.
iti Q: Who else participated in that?
is A: Dr. Cole and Dr. Schatzberg.
(a) Q: You used the Hamilton depression nting m scate in that triat?
(n) A: Yes, 1 believe so.
19) Q: What is Sepracor, sir?
nor A: Sepracor is a chemical manuracturing itul company.
11:) Q : What is your involvement with them?
(131 A: I have done some basic research for them |hi| regarding the enantiomers of fluoxetine.
(15) Q: Can you explain what the enantiomers of (18 fluoxetine are?
(17) A: Fluoxetine is a racemic mixture. It (th) means that there are left-handed and right-handed 119 crystal forms of fluoxetine. They are what we call por stereoisomers. And Prozac is marketed as a [21) racemic, that is, D and $L$, dextro and levo, 122 although it is accurately designated R and S azs fluoxetine. And Sepracor has a method and 1 guess |24| patent rights or some kind of production rights to
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(1) manufacture the enantiomers of fluoxetine and they $[2]$ were in the process, they had applied for a use Bi patent for the Senantiomer and they wanted me to |t evaluate in animals the pharmacological actions of is the Senantiomer and the R-enantiomer of (6) fluoxetine.
$\sqcap$ Q: Have you performed any animal research $[8]$ with fluoxetine?
ISI A: Yes.
tron Q: Now, you mentioned the addition to your in C.V.; I forget the title of the article.
inf A: Development of An Animal Model Or (11) Fluoxetine-Induced Akathisia "iifa: is that the research you're referring |1sp to? Is that the basic research you're doing $[16]$ involving fluoxetine that you've been involved in?
in A: That was part of it We have done a (13) number of other studies on brain
metabolism, n91 receptor binding, pharmacokinetics.
1301 Q : That is rat research, basically?
121 A : Yes.
122) MS. GUSSACK:Off the record

23 (Discussion off the record.)
(2) BY MS. GUSSACK:
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III Q: Doctor. Attorney Greenwald has put before in you some papers with red paper clips. Is this the is) data that you believe you referred to in conducting el sour statisticat analysis?
(s) A : Yes.
(G) MS, GUSSACK:I think now would be a M good time to break.
(s) (Luncheon recess at $1: 12$ p.m.)
19) AFTERNOON SESSION

H00 2:20 p.m.
(ii) BY MS, GUSSACK:

112 Q: Doctor, I have marked as Teicher 13 a i19 booklet labeled Psychopharmacological Drugs thit Advisory Committec. September 20, 1991, and 1 am (tsy just asking you: Are these the materials that you [16 stated previously you reviewed from PDAC when you (17) said that youreviewed the materials that were sent (1)s to the attendees at the meeting?
IIM A: I understand the question. These are r20 part of the material 1 reviewed. 1 seem to recall [21 that maybe there was some more information that was [22 part of this, another booklet.
${ }_{1231}$ Q: Another booklet that looked tike the one [24 you're holding?
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(1) A: Yes. 1 know it's not in the boxes. I 12 ] don't know where it is.
(3) Q: What kind of material did that booklet if have in it, if you recall?
(s) A: It had more drug company data. 1 mean, $6 \mid$ there was both data that I believe was from Lilly m and there was data that I believe was on trarodone m from, Ithink, MeadJohnson. So therewas more 19 tables and data.
(10) Q: I have had marked as Evhibit $12 \cdot F$ a (in) handwritten note from your folder of handwritten [12] notes that we previously marked as Teicher 12. 1131 This document has at the top the notation -2:45 to |14) 9:45, October 13," and I am purting it before you [15] and I would point out that your billing record has the an entry of seven hours for October 13 . Are those $[17$ notes made by you on October 13? $-1.2=-\infty$ (1s) $A$ : I believe so, yes.
(19) Q: And did you in fact spend rwelve hours on 1201 October 13 reviewing materials?
[21] A: 2:45 to $9: 45$ is seven hours.
I2 Q : What did I sey?
123 MR, GREENWALD:You said twelve. [2+] MS, GUSSACK:I meant seven hours.
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1) Excuse me.
(2) BY MS. GUSSACK:
mQ: But. Doctor, you did in fact spend seven let hours on October 13?
$18 \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes.
(6) Q: Doctor can you tell me, the notes that in have been marked as $12 \cdot \mathrm{E}$ are those notes of a is telephone conversation that you had with somebody? (91 A: No. These are notes that I made to tiol myself while I was in the process of reading till depositions.
(14) Q: And do you recall on October 13 whose (13) depositions you were reviewing?
[14) A: I think I reviewed a number of them on [15] that date. It looks like from the notes, and this tie is an extrapotation from the notes, that Dr. Cole's (m) deposition and Dr. Johnstone's deposition and maybe tus part of Dr. Eth's deposition.
(19 Q: Now, sir, did you take any of your [20) handwritten notes with youto dinner last night [2] when you met with Mr Greenwald?
I2 A: 1 did bring the blue books. They were in (23) my computer pouch.
(24) Q: And the blue books refer to what?
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IITeicher ${ }^{12}$ ?
[2] A: Yes.
(3) MR. GREENWALD:I think that goes in (1) the blue book, the exhibit you just stuck in the is file.
10 MS, GUSSACK: Thank you.
П BY MS. GUSSACK:
is $\mathrm{Q}:$ And another blue book?
(19) A: Yeah, which has miscellaneous.
tio MS, GUSSACK: Why don't we have
that [II] marked now as Teicher 15.
(12) MR. GREENWALD: Off the record 2 (13) second.
(14) (Discussion off the record.)
(15) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 15 marked IbG for identification.)
II BYMS, GUSSACK:
(1ts Q: Dr. Teicher, you said that you took t19] Teicher 12 and Teicher 15 with you to dinner last raot night, and what else?
I21 A: What I was saying is they were both in my [2] computer case which 1 brought with me because 1231 I didn't want to leave it in the car.
[24] MR. GREENWALD:I'msorry. Was the
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3: first thing in the second blue book the deposition 12, notice?
i3 MS. GUSSACK: Yes.
if MR. GREENWALD:I just want to ruake $1:=$ note of that. Thank sou
6. BY MS, GUSSACK:

- Q:And. Dr. Teicher, do vou have ant: data, |et notes or information on any computer disk with is repard to this case?
thol MR. GREENWALD: Objection. I don's titl understand the question.
п1 BY MS. GUSSACK:
(13) Q: Do you have a computer disk that contains (24) notes or -
tis MR. GREENWALD:I'm sorry. I didn't (tit) know whose computer disk you were reterring to.
III) A: There are probably some numbers I used to Ins do the statistics and I made the graphs on (19r computer. They're not on the floppy disk. On my hard drive.
214 Q: Have you printed out hard copies of what [2 is on there?
[23: A: Yes.
1201 Q: And you have brought those with you
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In today?
[2] A: Yes.
(19) Q: Do you have any floppy disks of nf information data relating to this case or to Prozac [s] generally?
(ब) A: No.
IT Q: Were the items that are contained in (si Teicher 15, which are the miscellancous collection ig) of documents, ones that you specifically selected 100 to review with Mc. Greenwald last night?
(II) A: No, not at all. And I'm not actually [12] sure that those were the documents that were in the ris blue folder last night. I'm sure it's gotten [14] rearranged this morning when I was going over (15) material.
(10 Q: Do you recall specific documents that [1] were reviewed last night with Mr. Greenwald?
(ns: $A$ : We didn't review any documents last il9 night.
tion Q: When you met with Mr, Greenwald last [21! night, did you discuss your prior testimony in the $[23$ criminal matters that you mentioned today?
I231 A: Last night?
[24] Q: Yes.
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II: A: No.
(2) Q: Did you discuss your testimony is

## thec3 Falk case?

[+1 A: No.
151 Q: Did you discuss your malpractice suit?
$\Rightarrow$ A: No.

- Q: Have you been a pproached by any uther as plaintiff s counsel with regard 5.) a Prozac case:
i9 MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(1) A: Yes.
nu Q: And have you agreed to testify in any 'I: Prozac case other than this one?
$\therefore$ A: No aholutely not.
If: Q: Do you have any intention to do so?
(19) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
(1ด A : No.
(177) Q: And, sir, is that because you don't find usp the particular case that you were approached on to 49 be meritorious?
1301 MR. GREENWALD: Objection.I don't (21) really think that it is appropriate to ask him c2a about other cases that he may or may not have t2m looked ac. I cerainly don't know what he's looked ist|at, but I think it is inappropriate to ask this
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(1) witness because that information in another case [2 would certainly not be discoverable if, for 131 example. he looked at a case and determined he was ie! not going to testify in it. That would not be is discoverable information in another case, so 1 just 109 think it is an inappropriate question.
17) MS. GUSSACK:Andy, the line that 181 I worked out with Steve in this regard previously ir was that, without identifying the case or counsel, 120 the general subject and general reasons therefor tall are not problematic. And while I don't necessarily un agree with your objection or Steve's, I think for 1133 these purposes thar's the scope of my question.
[14] MR. GREENWALD: 1 just think it is 145 inappropriate to get into specifics.
${ }^{[16]}$ BY MS, GUSSACK:
117) Q: Sir, why is it that you have not agreed [1s) to get involved in other litigation?
(113) A: It's not how I want to spend my time.
[20) Q: Do you have any other experience than 121 what you have previously described as an expert in $122 \mid$ litigation?
[23] A: There's one case that I don't think T241 you've gotten that I had agreed to testify in, it's
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It not a Prozac case, and I think it's obably in one $[2]$ of those blue books.
(Pause)
I3 It's some where here. The name I can itf remember. It's john A costa versus the State of |s California. It's a criminal case. S) Q : And what is the issue in that case? - A: It's another psychotropic drug and it *as is some criminal behavior that took placeonit.It's; notan SSRI. It's not an antidepressant.
Hof O: Doctor, I guess I anticipated you. (11. Teicher Exhibit 14-
(i2) A : Oh, there it is.
11: $\mathrm{Q}:-$ is documents labeled Notice Of
t. Deposition, and I see at the bottoma reference to nsithe Acosta case that you fust mentioned.
116 $A$ : Yes.
Ir7 Q: So does Teicher Exhibit 14 fully detail (tsi those cases in which you have acted as an expert or (19) consultant in Litigation?
(10) A: As you spelled out the questions in the [21 notice of deposition, there's a four-vear time [2] frame on some of these that's true.
$124 \mathrm{Q}:$ You have "Fentress case, question mark' 124 on Teicher 14?
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i1) A: Yes.
[2) Q: Were you recained as an expert in the BI Fentress case?
It A: I'es, 1 was.
1s) Q: And you reviewed medical records in that 19 case?
Tr A: Yes.
Is: Q: And did you provide an opinion in that 19 case?
nio A: Yes, I did.
im Q: A written opinion?
[12] A: Yes, I did.
t131 Q: And what was your opinion?
[14] MR. GREENWALD:Objection. If he was us/ 2 witness who was not called to testify, then [16] I think whatever opinions he had in the Fentress in case are not discoverable.
(19) MS. GUSSACK:I don't believe that's [19) true. And in the context of this case I am rop entitled to find out what opinions he came to with 1211 regard to Prozac in other litigation.
122 MR. GREENWALD:I don't thinik you are [231 entitled necessarily to find our about 2 witness, [24 an expert who was revained and not used in
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III Fitigation as to what his opinions were in another izi case. But if you want. Tet's put that aside and 31 we'll think about it and you can come back to it. 14 We can talk about it at a break or something.
is MS. GUSSACK:Let's mark this 19 EX -
hibit 16.
T: (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 16 marked 3s, for identification.)
わ BY MS. GUSSACK:
Q Q: Doctor. I pur before you whar has theen :: identifiedas Teicher 10 . Would sou confirm for me as that these are copies of stides for presentations 'r you ve made tegarding sour article. The Emergence ta! Of Intense Suicidal Preoccupation During Fluoxetine is, Treatment?
Ief A: Yes, they appear to be,

- Q: You have mude preventations with regard (13) to your findings from your 1990 article, correct, [19] to your colleagues at Mclean?


## [3] A: Yes.

'24) Q: And did ynu make a presentation to the [2\# ACMP?
129 A: Yes.
[2v) Q : Where else have you done that, sir?
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in A: The American Suicide Foundation.

## 121 Q: Where else?

B3 A: I think I gave two at Mclean; one was to if) the manic-depressive and depressive association. Is It's a patient support group, actually, patient 16 advocate group. I think that's it.
17 O: Have you always used the Teicher 16 set [8] of slides in making those presentations?
19) A: As far as I can tell. This seems to be (tor pretry much all of the slides that 1 had made. tm I don't know that I necessarily used every one in nay every talk.
t131 Q: Sir, let me direct your attention to page (14) MHTO00644 of Teicher 16. Are you there?

## [15) A: Yes.

nG Q: And at the bottom half of the page where 167 ir says "Prozac and self-mutilation, TW patient," the is that Dr. Wehr's patient that you referred to II9 this morning?
[20) A: Yes.
i210 Q: Can you tell me where in that description [23] it describes that after three days' use of Prozac 123 the patient developed symptoms regarding pat suicidality?
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III A: If doesn't say that.
12 Q: Now, sir, that summary does say, bowever, isi that the patient was on a. fluoxetine with a good thantideprestant tations. response at 80 milligrams a day. |51 Correct?
(10) A: Yes.
${ }^{171} \mathrm{Q}$ : And that the patient was in fact
started Isi on Prozac at a subsequent time and did well for ig another four months and then relapsed into -
${ }_{[10]}$ A: No, no, after treatment with Prozac, Ir In decided to go off medication, did well another four :12\% months off medication.
its Q : And then after four months. restarted the [tit Prozac?
|19 A: Right.
t16 Q: And that was at 80 milligrams over one to :17 two weeks, sir. Correct?
is: $A$ : Yes.
(19) Q: So that would be inconsistent with 1201 somebody experiencing symptoms at three days?
[21) A: It occurred within the first two weeks 22 but it doesn't sound tike that was three days.
[23) Q: Can 1 direct your artention to MHIO00647 rzel of Teicher 16. You see at the top of that page
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(I) where it says "Prozac and aggression towards [2 others, Joseph Wesbecker?
(3) A: Yes.
14) Q: Mr. Wesbecker was the individual involved (5) whose action was at the center of the Fentress [6] case. Is that right?
MA: Yes
(*9) Q: Did you in your slide presentation 19) identify to the groups to which you presented that nol you had been consuked as an expert in the in1 Wesbecker case?
(121 A: 1 don't think 1 made any mention of being [13] consulted as an expert.
(14) $Q$ : Did you think that was relevant, sir?
[1s] A: No, I don't think it was relevant.
(16) Q : You had obtained information about 117 Mr . Wesbecker from plaintiff's counsel in that [18] case. Correct?
119 A: Yes.
1201 Q : And that would have been at the time [21 Mr. Finz?
[22) A: Yes.
1231 Q: That was not your first contact with (204 Mr. Finz, was it, sir?
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(1) A: Which?
${ }_{12} \mathrm{Q}$ : When he provided you information about BI Wesbecker.
(4) A: It may well have been. I can't
(3) Q: Can I direct your attention to MHT000643 161 of Teicher 16, and you see the entry regarding in Rhonda Hala? (B) A: Yes.
19) $\mathbf{Q}$ : Is the information that is presented here noj obtained from plaintiff's cour-
sel in that case?
[11] A: I spoke with Rhonda Hala directly. It21 Q: Did you also speak with ber counsel?
(13) A: I think at the time that I got the (14) material I had talked with her directly and I later t 15 received material. But I can trecall. Mry guess riel is that actually I received material on Wesbecker itt before I got the Rhonda Hala material. itaf Q: So your first contact with Mr. Finz-
th MR. GREENWALD: Excuse me. Is there 120 another copy of that I could have? thought you tav had three copies. [2 MS, GUSSACK: Yes. I handed you one. [23) Didn't P?
[24 MR. GREENWALD: No.
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(11) (Discussion off the record.)
[2] BY MS, GUSSACK:
B1 Q: Sir, when did you first have contacr with 141 Mr . Finz?
Is A: Mr. Finzz called me on the phone and 1 had lel contact with him probably sometime late in the $m$ course of 1990,1 would guess. I really can't give myou an exact date. The first contact wasabout on Wesbecker and it was not about Hala at all.
not Q:So Mr. Finz represented Wesbecker and you tul spoke with him with regard to that matter?
(II) A: Yes.
(13) Q : And then he also represented Ms. Hala and tit you spoke with him about her case as well. |151 Correct?
(16) A: Yes.
(1) $\mathrm{Q}:$ I am going to renew my question of [18] carlicr, which is: What is the opinion that you 19 offered Mr. Finz with respect to your evaluation of (2op the records pertaining to Joseph Wesbecker?
[21) MR. GREENWALD: Same objection. [22] I thought we were going to talk about that at a l231 break?
[24) MS. GUSSACK: Off the record.
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III (Discussion off the record.)
[2) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(\$) Q: Dr. Teicher,referring to Teicher 16 , It page 000644 , who provided you the information about is the case that you have described as the Michigan in case?
[न A: IfI recall, that was both a letter and a al phone conversation and that wasthe patient's is family.
nol Q : The patient's family member? Im A: Yes.
(13) Q:And the same question as to 000645 , the 131 Connecticut case on the
next page, who provided you [tti information about that case?
tis) A: I honestly don't recall.
i:6t Q: You don't recall? Is that what sou said. In sir?
te $A$ : les.
ty Q: And, sir the MHT case on the bottom of :240006 +5 , is that one of vou: patients, sir?
(21) A: Yes.
f2 2 Q: Is this a patient that you have published 123 on anywhere:
24: A: No.
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III Q: Have you ever in any of the presentations 12 you've made to any of the groups that you have [3| described this afternoon ever presented Michael in: Rosenbluom's case?
(s) A: No.
(6) a : Doctor, let me return to something we in were discussing this morning which is the sources |s) of informationon which you can assess a patient's to suicidality. You had told me you largely have to "ha rely on the patient and then there are some clues nil as well.
[12] A: Yes.
(13) Q: Do you in the course of treating patients [14) everadminister any scales to them. tests?
(15) A:Sure.

เ1G Q: What do you use?
(17) A: I use a whole variety of them. But one (18) of the ones I use most is the Kelliner symptom 119 questionnaire, which is a 92 -tem self-report $t 20$ inventory. When it is a matter of suicide 1 tend [21] to use the suicide ideation questionnaire, that $[2 \geqslant$ being Reynolds.
(123) Q: Excuse me?
[24] A: Reynolds is the author of that.And
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[1] there are adult versions and adolescent and child (2) versions. I use the Hamilton, and I use the is structured interview guide for the Hamilton with if the eight-item addendum most of the time. I use ts the mania rating scale. I use structured 16 diagnostic interviews, the DISC and the Kiddie OI SADS. I use a number of rating scales that I've is: created. I use the limbicsystem checklist 33.
vi) Q: Do you use the Hopkins symptom cheeklist?
[101 A: Rarely. I have used it in research (ii) studies. I think the Kellner questionnaire is 112 better.
i131 Q : But, if I understand you, some of these 114 scales are ones that you administer and some are 1181 ones that the patient self-reports?
${ }^{126 j}$ A: Self-reports, yes.
II7 Q: Butyou wouldagree, sit, that there is (11s) no objective measure that one can use? You can't (I9) look at someone's blood cells and -
2. A: For suicide?
(2) $Q$ : Yes, for sucide
$\therefore 2$ A: Right.
[23] Q:So you have to rely on your clinical :2+ judgment and the information that you elicit from
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the patient directly and through the use of those i2 kinds of questionnatres and scales?
131 A: Yes.
(4) Q: And you recognize that there are risk 15 f factors that put somebody at greater risk for 761 suicide?
(7) A: Yes.
(8) Q : And you evaluate those risk factors when (9) you evaluate the patient for suicidality?
IIol A: Yes.
111 Q: Now, can we agree that, for instance, [12] borderline personalities are at greater risk for (13) suicide?
(14) A: Yes.
[1s] Q: Patients with epilepsy are at greater 160 risk?
117 A: Yes.
Is Q: Patients with hisrory of child abuse are [199 at greater risk for suicide?
1201 A: For atremprs.
(2ı) Q: For atzempts?
(22) A: For anempts, yes.
${ }^{1231}$ Q: History of stricide attempts in the family [24! put you at greater risk for suicide yourself?
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(1) A: History of completed suicide in the [2] farnily.
B1 $Q$ : What about attempted suicide?
[4] A: 1 am not aware of the data.
(5) Q : You are not familiar with that data?
(6) A: Right.

MI Q: But if there is such data you would find [5] that of interest in evaluating the risk?
(э) A: Sure
t10: Q: We have already talked about obviously [11] parients with depression are at greater risk for [12] suicide.
[13) A: Yes.
(ti) Q:And in fact paticnts with-depression are |isl at greater risk than with other psychiatric [16] disorders, aren't hey?
7 A: That is not actually completely
c. (13) For instance, Dr. Fawcett bas
found that panic [191 attacks are an equal long-term risk and greater [20] short-term risk for suicide, and that has been 121 confirmed by I believe Weisman's study and also it r22n is consistent with Beck's observations.
i23 Q: I thought Dr. Fawcett said that depressed i2e: patients with anxiery are at greatest shorterm

Pago 123
(11 risk
\%A: Tell, ycah, but if yous want to compare is depression alone versus panicamacksalone then fil panicartacks ire a greater short-term risk than 551 depression alone.
(6) Q: But certainly patients with depression 77 with anxiety areat greater risk that just patients 晎 with depression for suicide?
i9: A: If you have depression plusanxiety It ${ }^{\text {you're at greater risk than if you have }}$ depression fiti alone. How that compares with panic attacks (122 I don't know: bur panic artacks are a major I13 factor. That's all less than dysphoric mania.
[16) Q: Are patients with multiple personality f1si disorders at greater risk for suicide than just t10 patients who are depressed?
III A: They'te certainly at high risk for Ite| suicide antempts. I'm nor sure what the dara is on 1191 completed suicide in multiple personality disorder.
t2o) Q: Patients with alcohol abuse problems or [21] drug abuse problems are also ar increased risk for 222 suicide? I231 A: Yics.
[241 Q: Now, Doctor, what about simply life
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11 stressors? As opposed to what we've been 121 describing, people who have suffered financial ( 3 ) reversals or depressed individuals who have [6] suffered financial reversals are at greater risk 151 for suicide?
(6) MR. GREENWALD: Objection. What do $r$ sou mean by financial reversals?
291 MS. GUSSACK:Financial problems.
191. A: Stress increases risk. To the extent It0: that one of these is perceived asstress it can [11] increase risk. Loss increases risk.
I12] Q: Feclings of loss?
[131 A: Yes.
114) Q; Feclings of hopelessness increase: risk?
115: A: Yes.
[16: Q: 1 think you used the phrase in your 1990 marticle feclings of abandonment or concerns about [18] abandonment?
in A: Yes.
iov Q : What were you referring to?
?il A: That's interpersonal; rejection. loss of in social support divorce. breakup of the marriage.
$\approx$ Q: What about change in job satus. 302z20i-2, jub: Would that bea loss that-
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(1) MR. GREENWALD: Objection. Hold on.
[21 MS, GUSSACK:Can I finish my [3] question before you object ?

- MR. GREENWALD:Sure But it's two 5) questions.
(6) MS, GUSSACK: Then TUknow which IT part you object to.
is) MR. GREENWALD: Absolutely. II Wait until she asks the question. 110 You weren't finished with your [11 question and I was going to object because it's (12) actually two questions and not one.
[131 MS. GUSSACK:I will break it down. 1141 MR. GREENWALD:So I wouldn't know [15] which one was being answered, because there are two Ito ques tions.
[17] MS, GUSSACK: Can you read me the (18) beginning of the last question? (19) (The reporter read the question.) [20 BY MS. GUSSACK:
121 Q : Would change in job status be a kind of [22 stressor that could increase the risk for suicide?

123) MA. GREENWALD: Objection to the form [24) of the question.
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It A: it could be.
[2] Q: And would loss of 2 job be a stressor [31 that would increase the risk for suicide?
[4] MR. GREENWALD: Objection. Would or (5) could?
[6] A: It could.
(व) $Q$ : It could be?
(3) A: Yes.
(5) Q: Now, Doctor, is it true thar patients sho :sol have been unresponsive to prior treatment for [1H depression are also at greater risk for suicide?
(11) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

1131 A : Yes.
12+1 Q: What is a rertiary care facility?
1151 A: A tertiary care facility is basicallya as -... 116 I medical institution that treats pat ients who have 1171 generally failed to do 2 well at more of the 118 first-line community resources.
(19) Q : McLean is a rertiary care facility?
r20p A: Yes.
1211 Q: Doctor, is it true that people with
[22] abnormal EEGs are more susceptible to the effects 1231 of medicacion?
12e: A: They can be depending on the medication.
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1. Q: Psychoactive medications? Are they more:2| susceptible to the effects of psychoactive 13: medications?
is A: They can be. There are some medicarions ss that lower seizure threshold. Thereare some 161 medications that raise seizure threshold. Drugs $m$ that lower seizure threshold they would probabls * respond to more sensitively and more adversely:
(9) Q: Are you familiar with Dr, Blumenthal's foo risk factors for suicide?
init A: Dr. Blumenthap
112 Q: Yes, Susan Blumenthal.
(13) A: Oh. I am familiar with some of the [14] things she's written. I'm not sure what list [1s! you're referring to.
116 Q : Dr. Teicher, can you tell me how sort of ith chronologically you developed the idea of reporting [18) on the patients that were the subject of your 1990 (19 article? Who is the first patient that you saw [20) that you thought you were secing some kind of (21) response to Prozac?
1221 A: Could I see the article?
${ }_{1231} \mathrm{Q}$ : Exhibit 10 is the article.
1241 A: (Pause) I am not absolutely certain.
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11) Case 4 and case 5 were started on Prozac by me at [1 approximately the same time and I'm not sure which [sione I recognized it in first.
1+1 Q: Which is the patient that you ist rechallenged with clomipramine and observed 161 suicidal ideation?
IT A: That would be case 2.
[8) Q: And have you brought with you today the $\mid 91$ notes on each of these patients that you had riop produced in response to the subpoera?
(11) A: No. I have not. I didn't bring the stuff Ital I already sent you.
1131 MS. GUSSACK: Off the record.
[14) (Discussion off the record.)
(15) BY MS. GUSSACK:
[16] Q: So. Doctor, what period of time did you [17] treat patients 4 and 5 that you observed this risiresponse? What Imean is what ycar?
[19] A: Oh. This was shortly after Prozac came [20) on the market, so that would be '89, '88.
[21] Q: From -
1221 A: Yeah, '88.
1231 Q: From your affidavit submitted in
this 124! case, here's what I understand: and I want you to
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in! tell me if I have this wrong. Of the six patients [2 you report on in your 1990 article, patient number हi 1 was Dr Cole's patient?
is: A: Correct.
is) Q: And of the remaining five. patient number i6 3 was an inpatient at McLean Hospital?

- A. Yes
\% Q: Wias that patient your patient?
91 A: No.
|tof Q : Who was the attending physician or [in admitting physician at McLean responsible for that (iz patient?
[13) A: 1 don't recall.
[14] MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
[15) A: I don't recall.
tis; Q: How did you become aware of patient (17) number 3 and their course?
(19) A: Dr. Cole was in charge of the (19) psychopharmacologyserviceatMcLean and they do (20) all the inpatient consultations on medication, and [21] that patient was brought to Dr. Cole's attention, [22] who is the psychopharmacology consulation service, [291 and then Dr Cole brought it to my attention.
[21] $Q$ : And my undersanding further from
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[1] materials you have produced in this case is that In the incidence rate that you have in your articie [3] reflects six patients but not patient number 3. 44 Correct?
(5) A: Correct.
(5) Q : Who is the sixth patient?

II A: There's a patient that we didn't include min the paper.
I91 Q: Have you published on that patient 1tof anywhere?
[III A: No.
itn Q: Do you have notes reflecting the course 1331 of that patient's situation?
[tel A:That was included in what you received.
[15) Q: How would we know which patient that $[16$ was? Were they given a number or identifying [17] informarion?
[18] A: I think in some wayit's marked not [195 included case or case not included.
tso Q: And, sir, why did you not include that 1211 patient in your 1990 article?
I22 A: Six was enough I thought for a case [231 report series. There is a word limit on articies $[26]$ and it didn't add anything new to the other cases.

Page 13:
(1) Q: That patient was more con:plicated than [2] your other patients?
I: A: Oh, they'se all-
4. MR. GREENWALD:Obiection. I don :

* believe he said that

5, 日Y MS. GUSSACK:
mQ: Was the patient more complicared?
[II) A: No. 1 mean, these patients, it's hard to in ger that much more compiticated.
(w) Q: The six that sou report on were quite \{1t\} complicated?
112 A: Yes, quite complicated.
113 Q: And you don't report on this seventh [14] patient, for lack of a better term right now, in ths your 1993 article? (16) A: No.
[177 Q: Now, in your 1993 article you do in fact (18) comment on Rhonda Hala?
(19 A: Yes.
120 Q: And another patient with chronic fatigue [2:1 syadrome. Right?
[2] A: Yes.
1231 Q: And that patient with chronic fatigue [2t] syndrome is also the patient you've mentioned in
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(1) your expert report here issued in this case? Would [a] you like to see your expert report?
(3) A: Sure. (Pause) Ycs.
(1) Q: Doctor, what material did you review to 5 ! Come up with the summaries that are presented in 161 your case reports?
IT A: These were the patient notes and patient |\$1 records.
m Q: Now, when you say patient notes. what are t10) you referring to?
(11) A: Well, actually, let me be real specific. [12) Forcase 2, case 4 , case 5 and case 6 what was [13] reviewed was my individual process notes on the [14] patients plus, particularly for case 6 , there were [1s] some additional notes made by one of my coauthors. 116] Carol Glod.
II7 Q: What is Glod's title?
(ati A: Her current title?
[291 Q: Yes.
t201 A: She is assistant professor of nursing at [21] Northeastern University and lecturer on psychiatry, 22 HarvardMedical School.
r231 Q: So she's a nurse?
(24) A: Clinical nurse specialist, yes.
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I11 Q: And, I'm sorry. Specifically with 12 respect to patient number 6 what did you do with i3) Glod?

Martin H. Teicher, M.D., Ph.D.
(H) A: She had some additional notes.
(1) Q : She had additional notes?
(G) A: Yes.
$\Gamma$ O: She had seen patient number 6 without sou e present?
") A: I wis out of town, yes.
tw $Q$ : She only saw patient number 6 without you inl present on one occasion or talked with patient 112 number 6?
it3) A: No.she had seen patient number 6 I think $(t+1$ a couple of times. probably two or three. when tis I was not avaiithe and she had a number of phone ite conversations with the patient when I was not 127 available. And in specific the action that we, Ite deseribe, she handled part of that. She did part (191) of the crisis intervention.
${ }_{\text {[20] }}$ Q: What are process notes?
(21) A: Process notes are notes that are taken in r2m the process of conducting psychotherapy. In my [23 hands, process notesare largelyverbatim $|2+|$ indications of what the patient is saying in the
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11) course of the therapy session.
(2) $Q$ : Forgive my reducing this to basic (3) terminology. When you say in the course of (4) psychotherapy, you're talking about talk therapy?
151 A: Yes. But -
(16) Q : The patient is not on the couch?
T. A: The patient is not on the couch: face to (18) face. But that can be part of the pharmacotherapy is also.
1201 Q: So that you could see a patient, monitor the their medications, talk to them for ten or fifteen [12] minutes and send them on their way and that would (13) also be contained in the process note?
(14) A: I would never see a patient that short. tist My minimum sessions have generally been half an [16 hour.
[iF) Q: Just so 1 understand you, sir, your [18] process notes are your handwritten notes of tigi interviews? And when I say interview. I mean your pop tath therapy with the patient,
f21 A : Yes.
12n $Q$ : And in your process notes you are t23) basically verbatim taking down what they're saying [24 to you as ber you can?

$$
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iif A : Yes

(2) Q. Whout knowing sho whent using :ouf is own shorthand,Itave tt?
(i) A: Yes.
5) Q: Just so it is clear, you have not 16: roduced any of those process notes th respect to m any of these patients. rect?

Is: A: Correct.
9: Q: What other notes do you have with respect tho to these patients that you referred to in th1 summarizing them in your 1990 article:
is A: That would largely be the main source of is my information. I do use rating scales during the it+i course of treatment.so if they completedrating (13) scales there would be rating scales. During the $I t 6 \mid$ course of treatment 711 send them for laboratory arevaluations. There sill be laboratory slips, fisi I will theriz prescriptions Theretl be :is: prescripton sheets on patients.
7ty, Occasionally fora patient I'U need !a! to write a report or a referral note or some kind 1221 of thing and that might be in the chart. Some of [z3 these patients had hospitalizations and during the las: course of their hospitalization there would be
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in. hospital records produced.
二0: Right now weare really just talking 4. about 2.4.5 and 6.because those were your in patients. Right?
(5) A: Correct.

86 Q: And your affidavit, which 1 am going to in have marked as Exhibit 17. refers to process notes (s) in paragraph 7. Is that right? You call them 19 nearverbatim psychotherapy process notes. fop A: Yes.
(i11 Q: So now you have described those for me. [12 Righe?
(13) A: Weil, you had asked the generality about [14 what psychotherapy notes are, and that was IISt accurately what psy chotherapy notes are. When I'm [16] referring to the psychotherapy notes on this set of In patients it should be noted that $2 l l$ of those [1s patients were being seen for intensive [19] psychotherapy along with medications, so these were t201 Dot halfhour sessions. These were hour sessions [23 and these were very much psychotherapy intermixed p2 with some medication management.
[23) Q: Thank you for that clarification. ins; Now: in paragraph 6 of Teicher 17 vou

Pags 137
III say you have thousands of pages of clinical [2] records. Are clinieal records different than B1 process notes?
(a) A: Clinical records are the process notes Bly plus the lab reports, plus the -rating scales, plus ;6/ the prescription sheets:
[7 Q: For instance, in your 1990 article you 游 used the Famiton depression rating scale on some grof those patients. Correct?
troj A: Yes. There's Harnilton data on case

1. :3n And correct me if Tm wrong, but t monlyseeing (1य) Hamilton data on case 1.
:2: Q: I think it is on more than jus one but :- Idon thavemy copvof thearticle with me
ie A: Oh ses. there s Harniton data on case?
16; Q: I think there is even another one. But :1: my question was: To the extent that you used the tisj Hamilton depresston seale on these patients, that (19) would be contained in vour clinical tecords?
2am A: Yes.
terf $\mathrm{Q}:$ And, sir, when you say lab evaluations, 122 patients $2,4,5$ and 6 , did they receive CAT scans 123 or MRIs? Point of clarification. Ithink it was 2 aj patient 6 as well as 1 and 2 that had the
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i1) Hamilton. Do you see that?
12) A: Yes, I do, So Iknow that case 6 hada DI CT scan and EEG studies. I am not aware at this tot juncture of whether case 2 had. You said case 4?
(5) Q : Yes.
(6) A: I know case 4 had EEG studies and 7iI believe case 4 had a CT scan and that would - 1 | No, I'm sure that case 5 had a CT scan. And I'm mi pretty sure that case 4 did, yes.
tion Q: So you have not produced any of those [II laboratory evaluations in this case. Correct?
[1] A: Right. The question that comes up is, t131 I'm not sure they're my records. They may have [14, been obtained during hospitalizations and they 155 would be in the hospital records, not my records.
${ }^{2} 261$ Q: Which of patients $2,4,5$ and 6 were It7 hospitalized during your care for them?
(ts) A: Two was never hospitalized. Patients 4 , II9 5 and 6 were hospitalized during the period of time 1201 that I've cared for them.
(21) Q:And were they hospitalized for reasons 22 having to do with risk of suicide?
123 A: Yes.
12+1 Q: You said ycs?
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(1) A: Yes.

121 Q: Now, Doctor, in preparing your case 131 reports you referred to your process no misy process notes, which 19 -1 now -under-3 starid to be this targer universe whichist contains all of these subpieces, the laboratory 161 evaluations and -
if A: No, no, the process notes are the process is notes. The clinical record (9) Q: I'm sorry. I misspoke. The clinical
[10] records. The clinical records include the process (1n notes, laboratory evaluations, hospitalization (12 records? (13: A: No. 1 don't have the hospitalization (th1 records in my clinical records.
is Q: Did sou review the hospitalization te records for these patients when you put together in your summaries for your 1990 article?
iss A : t believe only the discharge summary and IIm I don't believe - The discharge summary and aop probably on case 6 also $i$ drafted a conference $3:$ workup report.
(22) Q : What is that?
[231 A: As part of a hospitalization sometimes I24 patients will have a conference with multiple
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(13) professionals from different domains and the case [2] will be presented and experts will discuss the (3) case. And as part of that process on case 6,1 had 14 written a presentation on the case to present to tis the conference group. So that was in my clinical (6) records.
[7) Q: Now, you mentioned referral notes. Had ${ }^{\text {PI }}$ you referred patients $2,4,5$ or6 forreferrals to $\mid$ otherpsychiatrists? [10) A: At various times these parients had had III consultations, yes.
:12) Q: And none of those referral notes have in! been produced here in this case?
114) $A$ : No.

15s1 Q: What information did you review on 126$]$ patients 1 and 3 for purposes of describing them in Im your 1990 article? (18) A: 1 did not review the material in case 1 (19y and in case 3. Carol Glod, one of the coauthors on 200 the paper, reviewed the material on case 1 and 211 case 3. For case 1 she met with Dr. Cole and he t221 related his experience and made available his file [23] on the parient, which she went through. On case 3 p24 she reviewed the hospital recordson the case.
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i1) Q: Did you ever reviex: Dr. Cole's file on 121 patient number 1 ?
(3) A: No.
[f) Q: And you never reviewed the [s] hospitalization record for patient number 3?
(6) A: No.
[ग Q: Did you ever check Nurse Glod's work on jsp parients 1 or 3 . her summaries extracting $\mid 91$ information from those records?
(101 A: Dr.Cole checked case 1 against his 111 judgment to sec if it was good and Dr. Cole was [121 also aware of case 3.
because case 3 had been tisy reported back to him independently.
116 Q: But did anybody check Nurse Glod's Isi summary of that patient against the raw source 46 marerial?
117 A: No.
tia; Q:Now, sir, it is your position, isn' t it. t19 that you have presented in these case reports all 1301 of the relevant data on each of the patients so as [21) to allow clinicians reading your case reports to I2:I evaluate or assess your information about exposure i23 to Prozac and the preoccupation with suicidality [24 thar vou described. Correct?

Page 142
(1) A: Yes.
(2) Q : Is there information that you reviewed in is1 your clinical records that you did not include in 10 your case reports because it was inconsistent with (9) your conclusion that Prozac was causing a bipreoccupation with suicidal ideation?
IT A: No. We were very accurate.
(iB) Q : You strove to be very accurate?
Is: A: We strove to be accurate. I don't ito believe anybody would accuse these of being simple [ti\} and straightforward.
II2 Q: Simple and straightforward patients?
[131 A: Or presentations in terms of there are [14] items of information that somebody could use to (15) say, well, these people have had previous suicidal inel thoughts; these are not cases that are [17] unassailabic.
(is) Q : In what way are they assailable?
I191 A: There are things that people can point to $[201$ in these cases to argue very differently than we 211 argued.
[22 Q: And could come to very different [231 Conclusions. Correct?
128) A: Yes.
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[II Q: Based on information contained in the 121 case reports?
is A: In the case teports, ves.
(4) Q: What about information not contained in 151 the case reports?
16: A: To my understanding and our goal was to mpresent the data in a very fair, accurate way, and ms that anything that would be really germane one way ir or the other was presented.
(10) Q: How long do you treat a patient with [11 Prozac before you can determine whether they are a [12] nonresponder to medication?
[131 A: Presently or in the past?
(24) Q: At the time that you wrote this article IIs in 1990.
(16) A: Well, at the time I wrote the article in ;17 1990 I gave them a very long time on the 11 mi medication. with the belief that eventually they (19; might respond. and procecded for far longer than $2 n$ I would currently proceed In part, tha: was my $/ 2$ : decision in part, that wasals, the patient's 12 decision. They had has' such expectations for 2t, Prozac basec on what other pationts were telling I2 them, what some of the media excitement was about
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at Prozac, that they thought it was going to be the :2: wonder drug and thar the: would eventually respond $i 31$ and that they wanted to give the medication an (4) absolute, total, complete trial, and so we 151 persisted longafter. Whth what lknow now; (6) I would've aborted the trial long before that.
[7] Q: Is that why you call these patients [8] optimistic and hopeful before starting on Prozac?
(9) A: That's a big part of it.

Ifol Q: Because they were optimistic about the [11] possible effectiveness of the new medication $\{12\}$ available to them?
133 A: About Prozac, yes.
(14) Q: Had you told them things about Prozac lis| before you prescribed it, about its new and 116 different mode of action?
[17 A: As I mentioned before, when I talk: to (18) patients about the option I ds, educate them abour 119 the treatment, the risks and the benefits. Largely [20) they had heard atso about the med. ication and they [2! had had friends or people they knew who had gone on [2] the medication and had dramatic responses. So they l23: had heard some of the exciting success stories, [24) which motivated them.
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(1) Q: How long today do you try a patient on a Prozac before you determine that they are a bi nonresponder?
(4) A: Well, it's a little bit of a complicated

151 question. I'll give you rwo scenarios.
16.) If the patient is having no response $r$. to Prozac, sort of no positive response. nols negative response, they're basically. taking the 191 medication and it doesn't seem to be doing. 10 anything. I might give them four to six weeks to [11 assess efficacy. If they are taking the medication (12) and they're going in the wrong direction, they're In3: getting worse, I won't give them that long at all. 1141 I might stop after two or three weeks.
1551 Q: What is evidence that they're going in (16) the wrong direction to you? in) A: Their depression is getting worse, 115: they're becoming more suicidal.
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they're having (199 intense irritability. they can't sleep, and that we por can't minimize the side effects.
1211 Q: How long does it take to have a 123 clinically therapeutic response to Prozac?
225: A: Generally it's about four weeks.
: Q Q: So if a patient is gerting worse in the

Paga 14\%
(1) first two weeks after starting Prozac. what do you ta assume that is due to?
:2. A: If's due to side effects of the drugor , it's due to Prozacinduced worsening of their is condition.
(6) Q: What about the possibility of their own in depression worsening separate and apart from the tor drug?
ig A: It's a possibility. But in general with (10) these patients that I bave been treating I know how 1111 their tliness fluctuates, and what I am looking for 121 that would make me believe that it's drug-induced ras worsening or exacerbation is a change in degree of 7th direction that would be outside of the scope of t15 what we had previously observed.
(10) Q: Doctor, it is generally agreed, isn't it, II that only 70 percent of depressed patients who use ins Prozac will have a therapeutic response. Is that 19 correct? 13) A: Somewheres berween 50 and 70 , yes.
(21) Q: And that is true for other SSRIs as well, [22 isn't it?
1231 A: Correct.
134 Q: Generally true for antidep-
ressants, isn't
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(11) it?

121 A: Yes.
B1 $\mathbf{Q}$ : So that there is according to you 14 somewhere between 30 and 50 percent of patients who isI use antidepressants who will not benefit 66 therapeutically. Right?
M A: Right.
1si $Q$ : And their depression may in fact worsen es during that time because it is untreated. Right?
${ }_{110 \mid}$ A: Right. There are patients whose 1111 depression stays the same; there are patients who !12/ have a partial but rather unsatisfactory response; 1131 and there are patients whose depression clearly [14: gets much worse.
[15: 2 So of 30 to 50 percent who are tige nenicesponders to, say, Prozac, is it your position lat that they are all suffering Jverse effects from (is) the drug?
, A: No.
Q: So what is it that you use to define
who rat is simply not a responder to the drug who may in ty fact have their depression causing a deterioration 123 of their well-being and those who are suffering ist adverse effects from the drus:
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: A: That is based on knowing the parient i2 well. knowing the course of their illness. knowing is the way their symptoms present. and then seeing how io they respond to the medication.
(s. For insunce, take a patient with $2 \geqslant 0$ classic psychomotor-etarded atiengic hyperphagic 11 depression. This is a patient who sleeps 181 excessively, usually has carbohydrate cravings, has on increasedappetite, is gaining weight, has no tor, energy. And time and time agrin when they are [1! depressed, this is the way they manifest. You put 122 them on Prozac, they become agitated, they become tist restess, they have insomnia; they lose their (tu) appetite and they start losing weight. It's not is! their depression. it's the drug. Their depression inf has never been like that, never will be like that. II7Stopthe medication, theygo back to being tim hypersomnolent, poychomotoverarded and [19] hypephagic.
1201 Those are drug-induced side effects. (21) If you know your patients well, you can distinguish 123 them.
I2m Q: Now, sir, can youtell in advance of [34 prescribing Prozac for a depressed patient who is.
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I1) going to beatrisk for the problem that you have [2] described in your 1990 article of obsessive [31 preoccupation with suicidality?
I4 A: Nor with any degree of certainry. There (S) are some factors that we identified as perhaps [6] related.
m Q: Possibilities?
(5) A: Yes,

19: Q: These are your best speculations about trot who might be at risk?
1:11 A: Yes.
is Q: Doctor, is it your opinion that every 131 depressed patient who commits suicide while taking (In) Protac has done so because of Prozac?
[15s A: No.
[189 Q: So there is some subset of people in your uTv view whotake Prozac who go on to autemptorcommit us) suicide who are induced to do so by the drug. and ng you cannot tell who those people are in adrance of $\mid=9$ their taking Prozac with any certainty?
[21 A. That's a complicated question. 1 think izi if I understood it you were asking if there's a [23! group of patients
who go on to commit suicide who ith have taken Prozac and who 1 would believe Prozac
$\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4} 130$
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- Q. Yes.
, A. Yes.
, $\mathbf{Q}$ : It is generally accepted in psychiatric |6| circles, isn't it, that you can have a worsening of - depression while on antidepressant medication that $f$ is unrelated to the antidepressant medication. al isn't it?
noi $A$ : Yes.
(11) Q ; And turning to Michael Roserbloom for a 121 moment, would you have expected him to show any ;is: therapeutic response within five or six days on |14 medication?
IIs A: Not generalty, no.
t16) $Q$ : What is the evidence that you are relying ${ }^{15}$ upon that Michaci Rosenbloom in fact took five or ins six days' worth of medication?
tis A: The evidence was that I guess there were 120 five or six pills zbsent from the pill botule; that piy he indicated that he was going to take the raz medication; that he told his doctor he would. he (23) told his wife that he would; and he seemed to be an [24] honest fellow.
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(11) Q: Dr. Teicher, what is your opinion as to pa the deficiencies in Lilly's package labeling at the is time that Michael Rosenbloomwas prescribed Prozac [4 in June of 1990 ?
(s) A: The first is, there should have been 2. 61 warning indicating that patients on the medication (\%) may respond poorly and be at increased risk for 151 suicide atuempts or development of suicidal in ideation. That there also should have been an tron indication that 20 milligrams may be too large of in an an initial dose for patients with significant 112 anxiery. And there should have been a warning that it3 the medication can produce agitation and [14] restlessness that may worsen the patient's clinical 15s) condition and that in those cases they should 1161 either discontinue the medication oruse a sedative 117 along with Prozac.
(IIG) Q: Do you prescribe a sedative to patients, 11g for whom you prescribe .t. .:. Prozač - [20) A. If theyexperienceanyagitationor (21) restlessness or insomnia, yes.
i22 Q : So you would agree. Itake it, based on I231 what you just described, that Michael Rosenbloom ar fies the time that he was first prescribed Prozac had
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(1) significant anxiety?

121 A: Yes.
I31 Q: Would you agree with me that that anxiery' 4 : was caused bv life stressors as we ve reierred to 15: them?

* A: It's hard to know what caused them. He - certainly had anxiety and he certainly had life isi stressors.
$\geqslant \mathrm{Q}$ : Well. let me withdraw the question, ine because it well could be that his depression caused 111 his anxiery as well. Cortect?

112) A: Right

119 Q: Nor just the stressors that he was (tit experiencing?
115 A: Right.
116: Q: It'shard to know which. Or mavhe both, II71 right?
(18) A: Yes.
$119 \mathrm{Q}:$ Okay. In the patients that you treared pop and reported on in your 1990 article, sir, you had [21] them at doses way above 20 milligrams, didn't you?
[22] A: Absolutely, yes.
[23) Q: In fact, they were as high as 80 (201) milligrams?
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(1) A: Yes. There were some that were 20 to 40 t2 and there were definitely some that went to 80 .
(5) Q : And you kept increasing their dose even lu though you were not seeing any therapeutic 55 response?
(1) A: Yes.
if Q: Do you regret doing that?
18: A: Yes.
19) Q: Do you think it was a mistake to do that?
[10ㅇ A: Yes.
(11) Q: Doctor, after you reviewed the clinical $I I 2$ records that you've des cribed, you made I take it [13) notes that were sort of the draft of your case [14] reports?
[15] A: Yes.
(16) Q: Have you produced those notes to us?
[i7] A: You received those notes, yes.
[1s] MR. GREENWALD:Shall we take a short 1191 break?
[201 MS. GUSSACK:Sure, if you'd Bke to. 1211 (In recess 3:25 p.m. to $3: 34$ p.m.)
[21) BY MS. GUSSACK:3
1231 Q: Doctor, turning to paragraph 8 of Exhibit 1261 17, the affidavit, are there any documents that
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[1] reflect the discussions and consent provided to you [z] by your patients in
reporting on them in your in articie?
i+| A: No, they did not sign anything.
(5) Q: Would the discussions that you had with ist themabout reporting on themin Four 1990 article ( be part of sour process notes?
st A: Possibly: Probably not. as I indicIted to with my process notes, they re serbatim ( 10 ) descriptions or near-verbatim descriptions of what Int the patient says. I can take notes and listen bur tt2; tcan'take notesand talk; so anything thar t've 133 said to the patient there tends to be not much in Ist the way of notation, nearly nothing-
[1s) Q: Do you have any medication charts for any $[15]$ of the patients reported on in the 1990 articie?
ir7 A: I can't say with cervinty.It's likely IIs; on some of the cases that I have one sheet of paperins in the chart that would list medications and list pop when it was tried and the response they had, and (21) 1 have it on a number of my patients. Whether [22 I specifically have it on these I am not entirely izs sure.
[2t) Q: But somewhere you maintain a list of all
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III the medications that the patients have been on over [2] periods of time?
13: A: For a patient I have been secing long- 14 term who's been on multiple drugs I will often at isisome point review their chart and prepare such a 16. lis. Sometimes it is a separate list. Sometimes [7] it is in the middle of a process note. If T've ai dotie it myself, If TVe gone through the chart, it ot is usually on a separate sheet. If lam doing it IIol with the parient, the patient has a good memory and [iI] we're working together on it, it would generally be [12] in the process note.
(131 Q: Bur as you prescribe medicarions for [14] patients you make note of them in your process [15] notes?
115) A: Yes.

III Q: You don't keep a separate medication Iss chart?
tis) A: No.
120) Q: Now, sir, is it fair to say that you have [21] provided all of the relevant information on these 1221 patients - and when I say these patients I guess [231 for these purposes I'm talking about $2,4,5$ and $224!6$. Right?
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[1] A: Yes.
I21 Q: - from the patient's history and 13: information reported to you and alf of the 141 information contained in your clinical records?
151 A: Yes.
(6) $Q:$ In cvaluating the patients and $m$
summarizing them I think you told me you strove to $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bj } \\ & \text { be extremely accurate }\end{aligned}$ Bur my question is:In 191 evzluating wha: you believed you were observing in 't the patients, did you also consider the '. prschosocial stressors that thes we:experiencing fiz is a possible th planation for their suridalisy:
4si A: Y̌es.
( $1+1 \mathrm{Q}$ : And it is true, sir, that thearticle is refers to the emergence of intense suicidal (16) preoccupation as a result of fluoxetinc, not it isuicidal acts. Correct?
1s: A: Cortect.
f19: Q: So you observed or learned of this (20) intense suicidal ideation from the patients [21| themselves?
12ม A: Yes.
izy Q: Now, sir, how did you leam of it? Did [24] the patients tell you?
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[1] A: Eventually.
in Q: What do you mean, "eventually"?
i9) A: The patients did not. at least the i, patients that we're talking about, 2, 4, 5 and $6,(5)$ the patients did not right away indicate that this 16 was occurring as soonas it started. There wasa mlag And-
(IV) Q: In all four of the patients?
(9) A: Particularly true for patients 4 and 5.
(20) Q: It is likely true that there was a lag f111 time in berween when they experienced this intense 112 suicida! ideation and when they rold you about it?
(13) A: Yes.
[14) $\mathbf{Q}$ : In patients 2 and 6 , did they tell you as [15] they were experiencing it?
(16) A: Pretry much.
[17 $Q$ : And did their family members describe it [18] to you at or around the time they were experiencing [191 it?
1201 A: Case 2 I think was living alone and did [i] not have family members. Although he wasn't living 122! with a family member but his elderly mother did [23] call; she had become very concerned just from a 134 phone conversation or a sisit. And family members
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III were aware in case 6 .
12 Q: Now, were they aware from observing the (3) patient, sir?
[ब] A : Yes.
(5) Q : Borth in patients 2 and 6 ?
(6) A: Yes.
(r) Q: Because this obsessive rumination that |0] you described you say was intrusive, I tuke it that in means it was evident in their thought or conduct?
Itol A: No. But by intrusive fur-
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chiatricallymin I mean that the thought comes into your head even (12) though it is uninvited, you don't want it, you t13] might try to push it away, that it intrudes on your (14! consciousness.
i=, Q: Depressed patients do ruminate ith obsessively about negative thoughts. don't they?
$\|^{-7} \mid$ A: Yeah, but there's a very big difference. tis There is a difference between a rumination and an (19) obsessive thought. You ruminate about something (20) generally because you want to think abour it 'ry You're depressed and you're bothered by what |2y happened at work and you can't get it out of your $12 y$ mind and you're thinking about it and thinking 124 about it and rehashing it and thinking what you
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(14) should have said, and it's an active, conscious [2] process.An intrusive thought is not something [3) you're ruminating about. It's a thought, bang, it makes itself felt, makes itself noticed. It's is: present and you're not necessarily striving to :5: ruminate.
17) Patients can have both, but I would (is) not use the word "ruminating" and "intrusive" iv interchangeably.
(10) Q: None of the patients youreport on had a tul therapeutic response to flugxetine. Correct?
|12| A: Right.
(13) Q: And you have not observed this obsessive $(t+1)$ suicidal ideation in anybody who was in fact 1 s, therapeutically benefiting from the drug?
(16] A: I have not.
[17 Q : Other than these six patients, तI8 1 understand you have collected reports as you lig described in your Mclean slides of some other [20] patients that were reported to you either by a [20] patient or a family member or a colleague?
[22) A: Correct.
[23) Q: How many such reports do you have, sir? [241 (Pause) Some of them came from plaintiffs' lawyers
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It too. Right?
[21 A: Right. I would be hard-pressed to say. 13: A lot of them were colleagues talking to me either 41 over the phone or at meetings, and for a period of is) time I was pretry inundated with people dis cussing 16$]$ cases with me. There may have been fifty or sixty pibut I didn't take notes on the vast majority of $(8)$ them so I have relatively little recollection for 191 them.
(9) Q: Well.sir, didn't you testify at some :1 point that you were collecting case orts for a [12] databank to be used in

Litigation?
iis) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. Are you tit talking about here in this deposition?
25, MS, GUSSACK:No, nor today.
13) BY MS. GUSSACK:

5-0: Previously haven't you testified that you iss, were collecting cases?
19; A: For a databank to be used in titigation? :20, Not to my knowledge. If you can find it. I never 121 had that intention. I mean, for a period of time 122
I was collectink cases to understand the

- phenomenon. I was trying to better get an idea of pal what patients were at risk, what factors were
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31: involved, but never for the purpose of Itigation.
12) Q: Patientnumber 3 was not De Cole's (i) patient although he was responsible for-
S: A: It was a request to Dr. Cole for $\operatorname{ss}$ consulation on the patient and evaluation.
(6) Q: Okzy.Did you ever discuss with the $\Pi$ physician who was responsible for patient number 3 tw your view that their increased suicidal ideation |91 was attribunable to Prozac?
[100 A: I personally did nor. I believe Dr Cole [11] did, but I did not.
1:27 Q: Have you ever discussed with physicians (13) who saw the patients whom you reported on their (14) view that these patients had not had a responise to (1s) Prozac?
[16] MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
IIT BY MS. GUSSACK:
tis; Q : Do you understand my question? [19] A: No.
I2g MR. GREENWALD:I think it is a [2] litule convoluted.
(22) BY MS. GUSSACK:
125) Q : Patients 2, 4,5 and 6 have been seen by [p4 other psychiatrists on occasion, baven't they?
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in A: Ies.
[2) Q: Have any of the psychiatrists who have (B) erer treated patients 2,4,5 or 6 ever told you in that they disagree with your view that those is patients experienced an intensified suicidal lal ideation 25 a result of taking Prozac?
пI A: Nor specifically, no.
181 Q: Now, siv, you have acknowledged in 19) I guess a follow-up letter to the editor that five at of your six patients had limbic system neurologic [1] abnormalities. Correct?
I12: A : Yes.
183) Q : What effors if any did you make to rule iti: out the role of limbic system abnormalities as an 1151 explanation for the effect you thought you were 161 sceing attributable to Prozac?
If A: The hypothesis that we rendered was that is! it may have been an interiction berween Prozac and is the limbic system abnornalities. It *isn t the raot limbic system abnormalities per se as the sole [21] cause. We never postulated that. We were 121 suggesting they might have a special vulnerability [2k to the drug.
tai Q: How many depressed patients have limbic
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II system abnormalities?
in A: Nobody knows.
B1 Q: Anybody's guess?
(1) A: I have no idea.
(s) Q: So is it your hypothesis as a result of (6) your 1990 article that those patients with limbic an system abnormalities may have a heightened as susceptibility to the effects of Prozac?
19: A : It is one of the possibilities that we (10) raised in the letter to the editor. Or in the iti] response to the Ietter to the editor.
(12) Q: One possible explanation for what you [13] were observing in your six patients?
${ }_{\text {114 }} \mathrm{A}:$ Yes.
[1si Q: Do you know whether Mr. Rosenbloom had li61 any abnormal EEGs?
(IT) $A=1$ have no information on it.
(IS: Q: You don't know whether he had any limbic l191 system disorder?
[20) A: As fir as I know there was no evaluation [2] made.
(27) Q: Did you look at the cerebral spinal fluid [23) of any of your six patients to determine if they [24] were serotonergically atypical?
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If A: No, I did not.
in Q : Doctor, in arriving at your incidence 13 rate you compared your six patients against I think (4) 170 patients scenat Mciean who had been treated $|\mathrm{S}|$ with Prozac. Is that righe?
(6) A: I compared the six ourpatients that [7] Dr Cole and I together had seen who We believed [a] developed obsessive preoccupation with suicide 91 while on Prozac with the denominator of the number fiot of outpatients that Dr. Cole and I together had mil treated with Prozac.
tin Q: Where did you get the information on (13) those 170 patients? How did you put together [24] whether they had
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IIsi A: I counted the patients that 1 treated and (136) I asked Dr. Cole to count the number of patients ith that he treated.
(1s) Q: And what did you look to to determine the 419 evidence of pre scription of fluoxetine for those $12 x$ patients?
(ill A: Records that it had been prescribed
i2n Q: Would those be in your process notes?
2. A. Ies
(2.) Q: Did you personally look at Dr, Cole's
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it records?
1: A: No. No.
is) $\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{He}$ contributed his number?
14) A: Yes.

15: $Q$ : So this isn't truly an incidence rate, (6) would you say?
in $A$ : Sure it is.
mb: Why? Epidemiologically how do you define ig an incidence rate, sir?
[100] MR. GREENWALD: Wait a minute. We til] have two questions pending. Which question are you fin asking?
(13) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(14) C: Epidemiologically how do you define an (us) incidence rate? I think he already answered the [16) earlier question.
(1) $A$ : The number of cases observedata (18) particular point in time.
is Q : Over a defined period of time? [20) A: Yes.
[27 Q : When you take out the phenomenon that you 122 are looking at?
[291 A: No. That's nor the definition of [24] incidence rate at all.
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II) $\mathrm{Q}: 1 \mathrm{sec}$. And other than the six patients in that you report on in the case series, there were BI none others that you knew of in the 170 in your (f) denominator?
is) A: Right.
[6] Q: How many of those 170 patients, were they in all treatment-refractory patients?
(由) A: No.
(9) Q: So did you make any comparison between 10 the six that appear in your case reports versus the III type of patients that were represented by the 170 ?
(12) A: No.

139 $Q$ : Do you know whether they were similar?

Ti4] A: Some were. Some weren't:
ass Q : Was Mr. Rosenbloom treatmentrefractory?
${ }^{136]}$ A: This was his first psychotropic!11 medication. Well. this was his first ITs antidepressant trial. I guess he had received a ans: little bit of Daimane. And he did not come into 130 the Prozac tria! treatment-refractory. You may an judge from his response to Prozac that he was l2p refractory to it
i29 Q: He had not had a history of being is. treatment-refractory?
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in $A$ : Right.
ta Q : He was not taking any other psy. chotropic iB) medications, was he, sir?
if A: No.
Is Q:At the time he was prescribed Prozac. 10 Right?
IT A: Right.
Is Q: Did he have any other co-morbid diagnoses ${ }^{\text {(potherthan depressionat the }}$ time he was prescribed tos Prozac?
til A: His major diagnosis was adjustment lin disorder with depressed and anxious mood.
t131 Q: That was in 1984. Correct?
(14) A: Yes. And that basically I believe was (15) the diagnosis that Sandler was following through no most of the time he treated him, and then I guess im toward the end Dr. Sandler concluded he had tisi developed a major depressive disorder.
[199) Q : Now, sir, it is true, isn't it, that a 1209 major depressive disorder as a diagnosis would be pan sufficient in and of itself to explain Michael [22| Rosenbloom's suicide?
I23 MR. GREENWALD:Objection to the form put of the question.
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iI A : Isthat a specific questionor is that a [2] theoretical question?
i3 Q: It is theoretical only in that I am $\mid \mathrm{H}$ asking you to assume that Mr. Rosenbloom did not ss take Prozac. Would his major depressive disorder sel be a sufficient explanation for his suicide?
m MR. GREENWALD: Objection. Tve lost an you.
is A: I can't answer that because 1 believe noin that if he had not taken Prozache would not have III committed suicide. (12) Q: And on what do you base that, sir? r131 A: By my clinical impression and experience (it) he was modestly depressed; he was not severely tisi depressed. 1 hardly have a patient in my current 116 practice who is less depressed who's under active tim treatment. He is unlike any mild to moderate [1s]
depressed patient that I've treated. bee ause none (ty of them have gone on :i even attempt suicide. From r2oi his pritile, in terms of the severity of his in depression, even granted that he ha. subs:antial z: poychosocial streaus. woukd not have in any 21 case pre dicted.believed, immeined that he mould \#3. have gone on to commit suicide.
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*Q: Sir can you always predict who is going 121 to commit suicide?
14 A : No.
in Q: Patients with mild deperssion cuns. mit is suicide, don't they?
161 A: Psychiatrists are poor at predicting t71suicide, but you overpredict;you don't (w) underpredier. We overpredict. So we are much more ter likely to think that patients will commit suicide not than that they will. This would not have been a (ti) case that I believe any credible psy, chiatrist would (iz) have predicted would have committed suicide and in: ! do nor believe this kind of case goes on to 11) commit suicide.
Its) Q: Patients with mild depression commit ti6] suicide, don't they, sir?
Itr) A: Patients without depression commit (13) suicide.
(1) Q: Well, let's answer my questions before we lsog get to your comments. Do patients with mild $[2]$ depression com mit suicide?
[121 MR. GREENWALD: Objection. He has a (23) right to explain his answer.
124 MS. GUSSACK: No, there wasn't an
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(1) answer. That's my concern.
(2) BY MS. GUSSACK:
is Q : Do patients with mild depression commit 141 suicide, sir?
is) A: Not due to the depression.
(6) Q: Excuse me?

II A: Not due to the depression.
(3) Q: And what is it that you rely on to make in that statement?
(10) A: My clinical experience.
(11) Q: Do you have any data to support that, any ina published literature that you are referring to?
(13) A: The published literature on suicide and $\mid$ th depression indicates that the vast majority of tisl patients who have committed suicide with depression 116 I had had multiple episodes, had been treatment- [i] refractory, had not done well on an antidepressant, [18] and in many instances had concomitant substance [19] abuse. 1 am not aware of any case descriptions of $120 \mid$ mildly depressed patients going on to commit tait suicide. I2t Q : That describes your patient p,P-
ulation，［23］doesn＇t it，sir，multiple his－ tories of suicidal［2t］attempts or history of depression，alcohol or
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It subsuance abuse？What you just des cribed，that ia describes the patients you treat？
4．A：number of the patients I treat． yes．
，Q：Treatment－refractory，difficult complex is patients．Right？
in A： 1 also treat some pretty healths． high－－functioning multimillion dollar executives who 活aren＇t like that．
（19） Q ：is financial success insumance against t101 suffering from depression？
It：A：No，no，no，not at all．I was saying if i1 1 you are functioning at a very high level you are tist genemlly not terribly depressed．By the time that［14］your depression is really severe a lot of patients｜15 are umable to work，they＇re disabled．
｜ne O ：Was Mr．Rosenbloom functioning very well，mi sir？
（t8）A：He had been functioning at a high level（ar）through most of his life．He had been quite ray accomplished．And from what I undersand he was［2］doing reasonably well until shortly before his 122）death．
129 Q：Let＇s talk：about the two or three or four 12 a）months before－What about the year that his
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In secretary said that he was depressed for？You are 122 familiar with that tes－ timony now，aren＇t you，sir？
（3）A：1 read her testimony．
（4）Q：Since you submitted your expert report in tsi this case you＇ve read it？
（6）A：Yes．
［7］ Q ：In the past two weeks you＇ve read it？
（8）A：Right．
（9）Q：She said he was depressed for a year t10 prior to his death．Right？
（1i）A：You will have to show me that part．
［12］Q：You don＇t recall the part where she says in Michael told me that he had been depressed for a tha year？Because it is in your notes on Gale（155）Stieler＇s transcript．
［16 A：I would like to see it again．
（in）Q：Sure．In the several months before he［1st committed suicide，sir，it is your opinion that t191 Michael Rosenbloom was functioning at a high level？
1：301 A：I think he was productive；he was 211 working．I think he was not as roductive as he［2 2 had hoped to be，but sounded like he was I2y generating lots
of bilable hours and doing I2di credible work from whar I understand．Ir seemed
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I1：like tomard the very end that his concentration was ta impaired and his work efficacy had really fallen by ts the mayside．But that was veryshoritybefore the suicide
is Q：Nondepressed people commir suicide．部 Correct？
－A：Yes．
a ：Muldr depressed people commit suicide：
$\therefore$ A：Yies
（20）Q：Moderately depressed people commit（11）suicide？
［12） A ：Yes．
13：Q：Patients with major depression that＇s［t｜worsening commit suicide． Correct？
（1s）A：Yes．
twe Q：You can＇t predict who will com－ mit－suicide．can you？
（IS）MR．GREENWALD：Objection．
［19 BY MS．GUSSACK：
1201 Q：Can you，sit？
［21］A：Again，psychiatrists overpredict．
［27］Q：Can you predict who will commit suicide？［23］With reasomable medica！ certuinry are you able to［20 predict which patients will commit suicide？
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（i）A：We can indicate which patients are 2t 12 high risk．
［3 Q：Yes，and we＇ve talked about those risk we fictors today．Right？
IS A：So that if you＇re talking about in prediction in terms of a probabilistic statement on you can start attaching some probability．Ive meen 2 number of patients who were high－probability 19 suicides，and we are asked to do that．We are Ho asked in terms of filling out involunary til commitment papers，to indicate that a patient is at（121 substantia） risk for barming themselves or others．
$[131$ So it is part of the job to be able 724 to render that predietion．I think we all have to usi a cknowledge that we err on the side of caution and 116 we over－ predict．But，yes，we do that as part of 1 mp our job．
［13］Q：Can you predict with reasomable medical 119i certainty who will commit suicide？
［20）A：Sometimes．
［21）Q：Sometimes．Not all the time． though？
［221 A：Again，we overpredict．
［23）Q：But you cannot do it all the time． can［an you＇it＇s a simple yes or no question．
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il MR．GREENWALD：You mean every single ta time？Is that your question？
\％MS．GUSSACK：That＇s mv question
，BYMS．GUSSACK：
－Q：Every single time sir：
－A．No，we can＇t doitall the time．We－ can＇t do it with a hundred percent accuracy：
（x）Q：And Itake it you＇ve been surprised on a occasion in your own experience hearing about inf；patients of vours or ＇others who late gone on to ．it，commit suicide that you didn＇t expect to？
［I2 MR．GREENWALD：Objection．
（13）A：In my own practice？No．
11．4 Q：In others＇that you＇ve heardabout？
tisi MR．GREENWALD： 1 am going to ob－ iect 1 ｜0 to the question．
（t）BY MS．GUSSACK：
（19）$Q$ ：Doctor．unless you＇re about to tell me ins that you are a soothsayer．I am having a hard time $\{20$ f figuring out how you are the only person so far 211 T＇ve heard say that they are able to predict with［2］certainty who is going to commit suicide and you（23）never get surprised．So my question is－
124 MR．GREENWALD：Objection．He never
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tu said that．
12）MS．GUSSACK：I didn＇t say he did say （3）that．I said unless he＇s about to tell me that，my（4）question is：

## ［s］BY MS，GUSSACK：

19 Q：Aren＇t you surprised and aren＇t you aware［7］that there are psychiatrists who are surprised by［日］patients who commit suicide because you are not 19 able to predict with certainty in advance who will 110 and who won＇t？
（m）MR．GREENWALD：Objection．
（12）A：And I am trying to explain to you that II3 the situation is not the way you perceive it．The［1＋1 situation is that we are definitely not a hundred 1151 percent accurate when it comes to predicting 166 suicide．But we overestimate．So we estimate that II7）patients A．Band Careat risk for commitring its suicide，and patients A，B and C don＇t commit tig： suicide．
120 Q ：You＇rt talking in populations generally？
［21］A：Right，So that we are basically saying ras that we might identify fifty patients at risk for 1231 commitring suic－ ide when only three of them may［24］ commit suicide．But we identified the three
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(1) patients who are likely to commit suicide. We just 12 identified a whole lot more that never went on to 131 commit suicide.
*) Q: Let me refer you to page 31 of the
3. deposition of Gale Stieler in which the question 161 was:
;-;'So approximately a year before he (3i died he told you he was depressed?
(9) "Answer, it would have been at teast (10) a year. I don't remember an exact date.

1) Do your remember that testimony: sit? 112) MR. GREENWALD: Hold on. I am going tis) to object to the question. Your question that this 114 was predicated on was that he told her he'd been IIr depressed for a year. That's what you asked. That 110 is not what the question says. The question that 1271 I believe you read says that he told her over a the year ago he was depressed. Uniess I'm misreading II9 it. It doesn't indicate that he said he was 1201 depressed for a year.
เ21) BY MS. GUSSACK:
122 Q : Doctor, you see the question on page 31? 1231 Or is it page 34?
2) A: I do. "So approximately a year before he
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(1) died he told you he was depressed?

121 "It would have been at least a |3| year. I don't remember,"
[4] Which I guess is the point that is Attomey Greenwald is making, that she said that he 16$\}$ was depressed a year before he died, at leasta piy year before he died.
tif Q: And, sir, are you familiar with (1) MR. GREENWALD: Excuse me. Can (IO) I just ask you one question? Somewhere on this t11 table was a copy of her deposition.
(12) MS. GUSSACK: Whose deposition? [13] MR. GREENWALD: Gale Stieler's.
[1+1 A: And if I recall the deposition, I think [1s it's important to make clearthat she distinguished [16] between what one would say was clinically depressed [17] versus what one would say was depressed in their tis) language So 1 think that we have to be very [89] careful as to how we're using the term.
[201 Q: Page 34:
121) "Question: So it was a depression |an that had gone on for a ycar as far as you were laj concerned?
124| "Answer: Yes."
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(11) MR. GREENWALD: I'm sorty. 1 just in found it. Can 1 just follow you?
[3) MS, GUSSACK: Page 34, lines $2,3,4$.
(4) $\mathrm{A}: 1$ remember that. I also remember that in she was couching it. I thought it was her :6 deposition. that she was couching it that this was m lay ter minology for depression, meaning the patient in was sad. Doesn't mean the patient was clinically im depressed.
f10, Q: Trell. sir, is Joan Greer a psychiatrist?
(til $A$ : No.
tral Q: But you have relied on her tes timony for 1131 information about Mr Rosenbloom. haven't you?
at+ A: I did not rely on her diagnosis.
t151 Q: I didn'taskif you're relying on her t16 diagnosis or anyone else's. I'm not arking you itl about a diagnosis; I'm asking you about a tur description. Are you familiar with the testimony (19) by Gale Stieler in which she says Mr. Rosenbloom 1201 was depressed for a year prior to his death?
[21) A: Yes.
(22) Q: Now, you are familiar with Joan Greer's par testimony, aren't you, in which she described how iset her husband was not functioning very well? Aren't
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(11) you?

12 A: Yes.
(3) Q : He wasn't able to concentrate at work? 141 Right?
IS A: I didn't think that Joan testified about (61 his capacity at work.
T1 MR. GREENWALD: Can you refer me to m where you're talking about?
由 E E MS, GUSSACK:
Inol Q: Do you recall her testimony where she (11) sald he didn't have any energy, he felt lethargic, 123 he felt burnt out, weary?
[131 A: Right. But Ididn't think she was [14] observing him at work.
(15) Q: What about Dr. Sandler's records and 116 description of how Mr. Rosenbloom was functioning [iP] professionally? Was that significant to you? Was IIs that somebody you could rely on?
(19) A: Yes.

Dap Q: So his notes observing a patient that be 121 had seen for six years are valuable to you, aren't [22] they?
[29) A: Yes.
[24) Q: You never saw Mr. Rosenbloom, did you?
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(1) A: No.
(1) Q: And Dr. Sandier is a psychiatrist. B3 Right?
14) A: Yes.
(5) $Q$ : So he is comperent to offer these i6: impressions and diagnoses of the individual?
IT A: Yes, cerainly.
(3) $Q$ : So when he says "multiple crises emerging. depression worsening an: ['m referring t10) to his entries of Aprit 1990. May 1990 and June 'in! 109! vo are familiar with those?
I12| A: Yes. Those are the ones 1 agree iwhen IIM he was depressed.
tha Q: And major depression. Correct? its A : Yes.
166 Q: Not muild depression?
1271 A: As far as it was mild major depression.
[II) $\mathrm{Q}:$ I see. And you are familiar with his [191 notations of suicide feelings which you have 2001 previously described. Now; sir, when you wrote t2t your report on January 5,1996 , you had at that |23) timein this case, right?
(23) A: Yes.
[34) Q: You at that time had reviewed
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(1) De. Sandler's records?
[2] A: Yes.
(B) Q : The police repore?
(4) A: Yes.

1s) Q: You had hada conversation with G: Dr. Sandler about the patient?
[7] A: Yes.
(a) Q : And had read the transcripts of $\mathrm{m} \mid$ Mr. Rosenbloom's first wife, her husband, and his tom adult son Seth. Right?
(II) A: I believe so, yes.
un $\mathbf{Q}$ : You did not have Joan Greer's deposition lı31 testimony?
(14) A: I do not think so, no.
[15) MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to object. [16] He testified that he talked with her on the im telephone.
[18] THE WITNESS: I did talk with her.
[19) BY MS, GUSSACK.
r20 Q: And you've told us what she described to [21 you.
(21) A: Yes.
[29] Q: And - Andy. you had asked for the 123) citation - page 163 of Joan Greer's testimony
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[1] where she says, quore, "He was having a hard time l2 focusing on his work." Does that refresh your in recollection. sir?
14) A: Can I see it in context?
(3) MR. GREENWALD: We don't know that he 16 has a problem with his recollection.
mi MS, GUSSACK:Well, 1 think he said ;s)
he didn't recall.
(9) MR. GREENWALD:Page 163?
tio MS, GUSSACK: Yes.
tu MR. GREENWALD:All right, give me 7 1212 minute.
|13) BY MS, GUSSACK:
(it) Q: You see where he was having a hard time ias focusing on his work, he felt very tired and burnt (16) out? That's the context.
${ }^{11} \mid$ A: Yes, I see that, and I think it is useful zuel to put it in its appropriate contest.
(19) MR. GREENWALD: 1 would also just 120) like the record to reflect that this deposition was [21 taken subsequent to the repors.
(27) MS, GUSSACK:Thank you. That's : 2 : exactly right.
[24] BY MS. GUSSACK:
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(1) $Q$ : So, sir, at the time that you issued your [2] report you did not have the information that is By contained in Joan Grecr's deposition testimony (4) taken after the date of your report. Right?
(5) A: Correct.
(6) Q: And you had not reviewed at the time that my you wrote your report Ken Keane's deposition tsy testimony. Correet
(19) A: Correct.
(10) Q: Have you since read it?
(i1) A: Yes.
(12) Q: Barry Ruden's deposition testimony, had (13) you read that before you issued your report? [14) I mean Paul Ruden.
tisi $A$ : I did not read that before 1 issued my (16) report now.
(17) Q: Have you read it now?
${ }_{118]} \mathrm{A}:$ No.
(19) Q: Barry Friedman's deposition, had you read 1201 that before you wrote your report?
[21] MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
Counsel, [22 youknow that none of those depositions were taken ra3 prior to thar time.
[24] BY MS, GUSSACK:
Pege 185
(11) Q: Prior to your report you did not have the $\{2 \mid$ benefit of Harty Friedman's teposition testimony. [3] Right?

- A: Right.
: $: 1$ MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
wasn't la taken.
[7] BY MS. GUSSACK:
Q: Have you read it since? n
No
0
thor Q: And Ms. Stieler's deposition transcript In you're just read in the past two weeks, Righr?
(12) A: Yes:
its, O : is it in the past month from plaintiff's. It counsclorthrough reading the deposition testimony lisi that you tearned about the additional substantia! its, psychosocial stressors that Mr. Rosenbloom was it experiencing prior to his death?
TIS: MR. GREENWALD:Objection. What tio substantialstressorsare you referring to in sour is question:
(2) BY MS, GUSSACK:
(22) Q: Can you answer, Dr, Teicher?
[23) A: A lot of them were cnumerated in i2+1 Dr. Sandler's notes and they were enumerated to me
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In in Dr.Sandler's phone conversation,so 1 wasn't in surprised by those psy. chosocial stressors.
13. Q: You were surprised by the fact that his (t) former business partners were telling him that he $5 \mid$ was going to be responsible for the hundred- 16 thous-and-dollar deductible on the insurance [7] covering the malpractice claim. Right? (8) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

191 BY MS. GUSSACK:
110; Q: That was news to you since you wrote your in report?
(121) A: Yes.
(133) Q: And you -

Itif A : Well, actually, I'm not cernain about [15] that. Because I think Mr. Pavsner provided [10 information that that was also a problem.
$\operatorname{tr7} \mathrm{Q}$ : At the time that you wrote your report?
(18) $A$ : I'm sort of recalling that.
th9 MR. GREENWALD: Doctor, you can look [20 at your notes if you would fike to.
[II MS, GUSSACK:Sure.
(22) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(22) Q: Would it be helpful to look at your [2+1 notes?
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II A: I don't think it would tell me.
(2) MR. GREENWALD: You can look at [3] Dr Sandler's notes.
Iv MS. GUSSACK:I don't believe that's IS in Dr. Sandler's notes.
19 MR. GREENWALD:I think there is a mi separate exhibit, Doctor, that was marked, your |0| notes from Dr. Sandler's notes. Isn't that right?
19) MS, GUSSACK $12 \cdot$ A through $F$. (10) MR. GREENWALD:That's

12-A
through in E. They're handwritten and they should be on the map table someplace.
193 (Discussion off the record.)
t. BY MS. GUSSACK:
is Q: Doctor, on review of Dr Sandler's in records which are before you, is there some 1- reference that you wanted to point to about thal knowledge
 Rosenbloom's former parners told him that he 20 was on the hook for a hundred-thousand-dollar in deductible for the insurance:
122 A: It is not in his notes. What I'm saying 1231 is. I don't have a detailed record or any record [24) of -
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in MR. GREENWALD: Wait a minute. Wait [2] a minute. I think 1 found them. Aha! They were [31 stuck in this pite of stuff that's here on the $(4)$ table. I'm going to put all these in the center of isi the table. Okizy? These are the marked exhibits 10 that I have found here.
TI THE WITNESS: And do you have the tel note that I had from the phone conversation with [9] Sandler?
tion MS. CRAWFORD: It should be number 8 .
tui MR. GREENWALD: I have the exhibits (1s herenow.That was an exhibit,I believe.
itis (Pause)
(14) MS, CRAWFORD:Number 9. 119 (Pause)

## 1:6 BY MS. GUSSACK:

!17) Q: You have Exhibit 9 before you, Doctor, thei your notes of your conversation with Dr. Sandler [19) Is there something you wanted to point to there? 120) A: No.
[21) Q : So there is no reference in either your 222 notes Exhibit 9 or in Dr Sandler's notes of $(23)$ treatment that refers to that${ }^{1221} \mathrm{~A}$ : Hundred-thousand deductible that they
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it were asking -
in Q: The deductible under the insurance is coverage for the malpractice claim?
(4) A: Yes.
(3) Q: Now, Doctor, have the synopses, the [6] detailed synopses that you refer to in your maffidavit, been checked against the source material isi) for patients $2,4,5$ and 6?
19 A: They were derived from the source tiot material.
iII Q: And verified for accuracy?
(12) A: The way they were derived was-

Let me t131 get this suaight. For the four patients that were $14 \|$ in my practice we derived them in two ways. The ans first was that I had my elinical impressions, which (16: I put down, and then Carol Glod. my associate, went 17 through the chart and independently got charts for is those four parients. atl the dara on dates.so that 119 the source was doublechecked in terms of this time $[20)$ between the start of that medication, this time and tan that, and when there was any question we went over [2] it together and looked at prescriptions and looked 12k at all of these things to get the time course for 12 H when symptoms emerged and things like that.
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(1) MS. GUSSACK: Exhibit No. 18.
(2) MR. GREENWALD: What is it?
(3) MS. GUSSACK:It is Dr. Teicher's tal drafts of case reports responsive to paragraph 17 194 of the subpoena served upon him.
16: (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 18 marked in for identification.)
(\#) BY MS, GUSSACK:
(9) Q: Doctor, so am I right this is your [10) collection of the drafts of the case reports that an you produced in response to paragraph 17 of the my subpoena that was served upon you by Lilly. (13) Correct?
[1, A: Y'cs.
(1s) Q: These represent the write-ups of the nef patients that you reported on in your 1990 article?
iil) A : Yes.
(ts) Q:Turning to Teicher 18 , for instance, page 199648 , there are handwritten notations there, sir, on [20] a document that has your name typed at the top. [21] Are those handwritten notations Carol Glod's?
[23] A: Yes. I would believe so. Yes, they must 1231 be.
[20) Q: And as you look through the first ten or
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III so pages where you see additional handwritten 121 notations both in chart form and in narrative form, (B) would those notations also be from Carol Glod? [1] A: Yes.
(5) Q : So that is part of the process that you i61 were just describing in which she took notes which my you worked with -
(8) A: She went over the charts and made sure $\mid$ |lll the dates were accurate.
nop Q : And then the written materials, sit. the i11 typed material, starting at page 656 throughout, 1111 for instance, is that your work product, sir?
[13) A: Some of it is hers. For instance.
casell+13,ifIrecall-where'sthearticle?. - case 3 (35) was the hospitalized case in which she reviewed the $\|$ IE records, so these are her notes.
117 Q: So if it was with respect to case number tis 1 or case number 3 , the typesritten entries would as havebeen of Carol Glod's authorship?
t20: A: Yes.
(23) Q : And if they refer to patients 2,4.5 and (2) 6, the typewritten portions are yours?
124 A : Probahly.
ift) Q: Well, sir, if they are not yours. whose
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III would they be?
in A: They are largely mine, but there maybe pisentences, paragraphs, lines in here that Carol put 16 on.
1s Q : And to the extent that patient numbers to have been put in next to these entries, they are myour attempt to correlate these descriptions with it the patient numbers reported on the 1990 article?
MI A: Yes.
fiof Q: And they are accurately identified in (II) here, in Teicher 18?
112 A: I believe so. I think Carol wrote them 19 in.
(14) Q: Excuse me?

Its) $A$ : Caro! wrote them in. I imagine she's tis accurate.
(in) Q: So everywhere we see i handwritten case [s3 number we can assume that it refersto that patient !19 number in your 1990 article?
1201 A: Yes, that's true.
[21] Q : And as you've told us before, if it says [27] patient number 7 not included, that's the patient 124 that was not included in your 1990 article?
p24 A: Correct.
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III Q: Referring to Exhibit 10, Doctor, your 121 1990 articie, on page 209 you state that no patient 13 ) was actively suicidal at the time flooxetine iv| treatment began. Is that correct?
is A: Yes.
19 Q: And from your testimony today m I undersuand that now to mean that none of thesc mp patients had any actual planor intent or present i91 concerns about suicide. Right?
trof A: No. What it meant was that at the time (111) that they were started on medication, at that in moment the patient did not have an active intent to (ts) die at that time.
$114!$ Q: Well, sir, would it have been sig-
nificant l1s if they had had that active intent to die the daynis; before they were started on medication?
(17) A: Sure, that would be significant.
is: Q: And that would be something vos. would :1s repor. Right:
12v A: I believe so. ves.
(21. Q: And if it was within a weci or tw) weeks 122 that they had been actively suicidat, you would las have reported that?
12+1 A: Certainly within a week, And I would
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(I) have tried to have given a clear and accurate in impression of what their suicidal status was prior i3i to starting medication and in the relevant period iA! before.
t51 Q: Is it your opinion that Prozac Induces 16 suicidal thought where none exists at the time that In the drug is administered?
til A: tactually clarified that in one of the 191 tetters to the editor, one of the responses to the frof lenters to the editor, Are you familiar with that (11) one?
|11 Q : What is your clarification?
[13) $A$ : The clarification was that fluoxetine did 14 n not in our opinion cause the thought to spring out (ts) of whole airthat patients, particularly depressed tro; patients but sometimes even nondepressed patients, [17) have random fleeting thoughts of suicide: and that 18 ; on Prozac, what we believe happened is that these (tis) fleeting, not terribly consequential thoughts of 120 suicide could become obsessions. They could tan ruminate about them. They could become more [27] intrusive. So that what it did was, it took a sorn [23) of low-level event and made it a high-intensity [24] event.
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(II Q: Is that letter to the editor the same one [2] in which you said that you don't believe there is a 13 direct link berween Prozac and akathisia and if suicidality? ist A: I would thave to read it.
16: Q: Do you remember saying that in a letter (7) to the editor?
is: A:I don't think it was so straighuforward is as that. What I recall was that -
nol MR. GREENWALD:Let me object and say tul that if you have it, Nina, why don't you show it to (12) Dr. Teicher and then we can talk about the exact |13! language and what it means rather than everybody (t4) trying to guess what it says.

## (15) BY MS. GUSSACK:

[16) Q: Sir, do you recall stating We do not in7 believe that there is a diect
causal relation the between this change in motor tension and the 199 emergence of suicidal thoughts or impulses"?
t20) A: That was in the six patients that we ian described. What I believe is that masa response zat to a letterto the editor in which that was 129 suggested, and what we went on to say was that in at some of the cases that we had seen it dod not
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:t: appear that they were suffering from Akathisia So 12: akathisia did not appear so be the entire answer i That there were some patients who developed ie obsessive preoccupation with suicide who were not 19 akathisic So we did not say that it is a one- [6] to-one correspondence berween akathisia and $\Pi$ suicidal preoccupation.
(8) Q: So akathisia is not a necessary is) prerequisite to the phenomenon that you described tot in your 1990 article? Imi A: Yes, that would be correct.
(12) Q: And, sir, is it your opinion that your (I3) six patients had flecting suicidal thoughts that (t4) were inconsequential ar the time they started on [15] medication?
ItG A: I would have to go through each case, but in that's probably true.
Itte $\mathbf{Q}$ : And is that how you would des cribe 119 Michacl Rosenbloom in the six weeksor so before he nol was prescribed Prozac?
(21) A: Pretty much.
|221 Q: What is that based on, sir?
1231 A: Dr. Sandier's notes.
${ }_{12+1}$ Q: in 1990 when you preseribed Prozac for
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[1] your patients, you say in your 1990 article at page [21 210 that "We have told them that this medication (3) does not always work, that some patients feel [4] worse, and that a few have developed suicidal |ss thoughrs: They are instructed to call if they ${ }^{16}$ develop side effects or fecl worse." Is that in correct?
(5) $\mathrm{A}:$ Yes.

IT Q: And you believe that is the kind of [10 information that Lilly should have provided in clinicians in the product labeling?
(13) MR. GREENWALD: Wait a second. T'm I13) going to object only for this reason. Earlier on (14) in the day you asked him the same question and usi Dr. Teicher testified as to four things that be [16) believed were deficient with respect to the package it warnings.
133 MS, GJSSACK: Excuse me.Andy?
ग MR. GREENWALD: 1 am not going to read them. I'm just saying he has
already (21) testified to this.
[12 MS, GUSSACK: You're right, it's the [23) same subject matter, but it's a different question. 1241 All righe?
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## I) BY MS, GUSSACK:

= Q:And I aminterested in whetherthis
; description that you have on page 210 of the 1990 |f arricle in your opinion is the kind of information is) that Lilly should have provided clinicions in its :6i package insert.

- A: That's one of the things they stould have s. provided. yes.
$2, \mathrm{Q}$ : And you would agree, sir, wouldn't you, top that what a cliniclan tells his patient is a marter (11) of clinical judgment by the physician?
42: A: Correct.
I231 Q: So you recognize that the package insert 001 is not a set of requirements dictating how (ts physicians should practice medicine. Right?


## 136 A: Correct.

Ir) $\mathrm{Q}: 1$ believe five out of six of the patients tis you report on in your case series in fact called or (19) reported that they were feeling worse on $[23$ medication. Correct?
(21) MR. GREENWALD:Let's just take a mi) minute to let him review.

2231 (Discussion off the record)
[2t) MS. GUSSACK:Mark this as the next
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II exhibit, please.
In ( Ieicher Deposition Exhibit 19 marEed on for identification.)
(4) MP. GREENWALD: Maybe you could read is the question back.
16 The reporter read back as follows:
끼"Question:I believe five out of six pls of the patients youreport on in your case $\mid$ | series in fact called or reported that they neg were feeling worse on medication. Correct?
$\operatorname{ta1}$ A: Okay, called or reported. I was looking [12] to see how many called because I didn't think five 1131 out of six called. Yes, that's true.
(24) $Q$ : They repored they were feeling worse on tis medication. Is that correct?
nef A: Yes.
[I7) Q: Doctor, when you first observed patients ris 4 and 5 and their reactions to fluoxetine, did you 1191 discuss with any of your colleagues what you bad 529 observed with respect to Prozac?
[21 $\mathrm{A}:$ Yes.
[22; Q: Who did you talk to?
1231 A: Dr. Cole.
124) Q: Is that when he contributed patient
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is) number 1 to you ${ }^{3}$
i2 A: Yes.
F Q: And then how did you zet 2 z and
6: Knw v did that chronology occu:

* A: Three came later because that $\pi 2$, 1 . consultation: Dr. Cole broukht it to out atzention - All of the cases. $2,+, 5$ and 6. were in my is! practice and were started on Prozac shortly after 3; the drug had become available and were in many foo instances patients who had been on other inf treatnents. Some of those were patients whom ! had a1 upered off of monoamine oxidase inhibitors to put I1s: on Prozac, so this was sort of occurring over (11) roughly the same time frame.
(tr) O : These parients that you report on were 116 complex. difficult, complicated patients, not the II7 run-of-the-mill patient that takes Prozac. Right?
Its MR. GREENWALD:Objection. How would (ty) he know who the run-of-themill patient is who tar takes Prozac?
\{21] BY MS, GUSSACK:
(2) Q:Sir?
i23 A: They are complicated patients and not 124 your average or typical depressed patient.
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(1) Q: Not the typical patient who takes (2) Prozac. Right?
(3) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. How would if he know?
1s BY MS. GUSSACK:
(19 Q: Doctor,shall Iquote youon yourm testimony in the Ransom criminal case. where you (o) say that The patients we reported on were the kind 191 of patients that one sees in a practice in a nop center. These are difficult, complicated patients, It not the usual patient taking the medication." [12] That's 2n accurate quote. Right?
ti3) A: Right. That was many years ago.
[14) Q : That was your quote in 1991 in the Ransom |ls| criminal triat, Right?
(tis) A: Right. You know, Prozac is widely used, ti7 Many of the patients are complicated; many of the tis, patients aren't complicared. I would guess, but I19 it's really only a guess, that these rare, 120 complicated patients are less prevalent than the $12 y$ more simple patients, and so the majority of $[21$ patients taking Prozac are going to be simpier 1231 cases.
[72] Q: Doctor, you said I believe at the PDAC
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It hearing, didn't you, that you didn't think your ia patients would have found their way into the Lilly ls clinical trials?
(1) A: Correct.

Is) Q: Would Michacl Rosenbloom have found his 66 way into a Lilly clinical trial? Would he have $\Gamma 1$ satisfied the inclusion criteria?
ss A: Probably.

* Q: Probably?
(b) A: Yeah.
iil Q: Now, sir, when you prepared your draft of tal this report did you send it to colleagues for (thi comment and review? isil A : Yes.
(7) Q: Who did you send it to?
(1261 A: Professor Baldessarini.
(17) Q: Anyone else?
${ }_{118)}$ A: No.
1191 Q: And did you submit it anywhere other than nop the American Journal of Psychiatry?
${ }_{121}$ A: No.
I2 Q : Why did you pick the American Journal of (za) Psychiatry to submit it to? (ti) A: It'sprobablythe most read clinical
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In journal in psychiatry and they publish case report 12 series.
is Q: And not all journals do that, do they?
(4) A: Some don't. Some do.
(1) Q : Because it is not highly valued as a form ${ }^{6}$ of scientific data?
[7] MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(8) A: It is valued as a form of clinical datu. 19) There are some journals that are more scientific tol and want more controlled trial data.
(11) Q: Doctor, your case reports are at the 112) bonom of the ladder of scientific validity in [13] terms of scientific data used to assess causation, It (1) aren't they?
(15) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
[16] A: At the bottom? No.
(in) Q: When you testified under oath,sir, in $1 \times 1$ the Ransom case, you said:
[19] The type of report as the one in [20] the American Journal of Psychiatry is at the bottom ;21 insofar asscientificaccuracy and validity. Is [22] that correct?
[231 "Answer:Yes."
(24) MR, GREENWALD: I'm sorry. Could you
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(1) show him what you're reading from, please?
121 MS. GUSSACK:Certainly.
(3) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(4) Q: Was that correct?
(3) MR. GREENWALD:Can we just wait a (5) second until he takes a look at what you're reading in from?
(8) (Transeript handed to the deponent by 19 Ms. Gussack.)

## (10) Q: Lines 21 to 25.

tit) MR. GREENWALD: You may want to read an the whole page. Doctor.
B: A: From what I understand here, and Im not itc sure if 1 was confused about the question, we're [15 discussing the letters to the editor. This is alt $(16)$ a discussionabour letrersto the editor, and in I tried to make it clear to the amorney who was |tol questioning me that that's true for letters to the tIM editor. My report wasn't a letter to the editor. |20| So there is confusion about what the nature was.
(21) At the bortom of the rung of [2] scientific inquiry 1 would suggest that there are [23) single cases that are submitted as letters to the pat editor, that a case report series is higher than a
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i1) single case that is a lerterto the editor.
121 Q: A rung above the bottom rung?
is A: Y'es.
14 Q: Thank you, sir
Is MR. GREENWALD: Do we have an extra lel copy of this if you're going to ask him questions m about it?
(1) MS. GUSSACK:No, I don'L But if BI I use it again, I will provide it.
tho MR. GREENWALD: Well, may I make 2 Im request?
[12 BY MS. GUSSACK:
1131 Q: Doctor, Teicher Exhibit 19 has been (14) marked, which is Ross Baldessarini, M.D. comments us responsive ro item number 24 of the subpoena that I16 was served by Lilly. Correct, sit?
in A: Yes.
(113) Q: This is the set of comments that you [19 received from Dr. Baldessarini after you provided 120 him with your draftarticle priorto submission to [2] the American Journal of Psychiatry?
[22] A: Yes.
I23 Q: And that is Exhibit 19?
[34) A: Right.
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(i) Q : And sir, did you prepare the ryped draft t2 that you submitted to Dr. Baldessarini?
(3) A: Yes, I think so.
if) Q : And this typed draft that you prepared is was intended to be an accurate description of the 16 patients you were reporting on. Correct?
IT A: Yes.
(w) Q: And you sent it to Dr. Baldessarini iv1 shordy before you submitted it to the American tron Journal of Psychiatry: Con rect?
[11) A: Yes.
เa Q : So it was almost a final draft?
เअ MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
[14] BY MS. GUSSACK:
is: O : Isn't that righe?
:toi A: I would - Im not sure how tar: along in ${ }^{17}$ the process it was Bu: temporatly it was short ins I guess the: received it January 17 and I sent it I19 to Professor Baldessirini onapproximately December paj 14, so it was about a month.
In Q What is Dr. Batdessarini's area of 12n expertise?
i23) A: He is an expert on psycho pharmacology and [24] depression.
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(1) Q : And you were interested in his views on (2) the article?
(3) A: Yes.
[4] Q: Was there a particular area of interest isj that you wanted his input on?
Iब A : No. I value all his opinions.
आ Q: Did you have a conversation with (8) Dr. Baldessarini before you sent it to him about in the article?
(10) A: Yes, I did.
(11) Q : What did you tell him?
(12) A: I don't have a specific recollection.tis Iimagine Itold himthat I had.
(14) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. Don't isi guess. If you know what youtold him. that's what 10 she wants to know; but she doesn't want you to int guess.
Itiv A: I imagine lasked him if he'd read it.
II9 Q: Did you describe to him what it was pon about, what the article was about that you were [21) sending him?
[21) A: I don't have a specific recollection of 123 the conversation.
[24] Q : At the time that you sent this to
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(11) Dr. Baldessarini had you made your presentation to 121 your colleagues at McLean?
(13) $A:$ No.
(1) Q : So first you gor back the comments from ist Dr. Baldessarini and then later in time you made a 161 presentation to your colleagues at McLean?
In $A: I$ believe that's the case.
$\left.{ }^{(\text {ab }} \mathrm{A}\right)$ : And you made your presentation at Mclean wi prior to publication of the article or after?
(10) A: I think it was after publication.
(11) Q: Priorto submitting your article for II2 publication, did you call Lilly and tell them that tis1 you had some interesting patients who had (14) experienced some
unusual reaction to Prozac?
115] A: Carol Glod called Lilly and indicated (16) that we had some patients who became suicidal and tirl asked them what data they had on suicide and ats: Prozac.
(t) Q: Who did Mis. Glod speak to?
: A: She's currently Dr. Glod. but I'm
(1) not sure who she spoke to. She was told, and lay I asked her recently what she was told, she said ;23, that she was rold that there have been some cases : 2 e| that have been reported to Lilly, Unfirrunateis:
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(1) they said that they don't code the items by [2] suicidal ideation; that it would be coded by |31 worsening of depression and that they could not tet pullout those cases and that there would be is literally thousands of cases that they would have 16 or something like that that were worsening in depression cases. Or hundreds of cases. It would is be a massive amount of material.
19 Q: Doctor,you know that Dr.Beasicy's (10) article in the British Medical journal searched for tai all comments about suicidal ideation and didn't tiz: just rely on worsening of depression as a code. (15) Right?
(14) A: At the time when we called we were told iss that they could not do that. We were told that 166 they were only categorized, that their only in descriptor term was worsening of depression.
(18) Q: Can you answer my question about the BMJ ag article?
(20) A: What about it?
[21] Q : You are aware that the information i2s reported by Dr.Beasley in the BMU article does not 1231 rely upon a code, it relies upon review of all the 129 case report forms and all information provided by
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[1] the clinical investigators?
(2) A: Yes.

13: Q : You know that?
1+1 A: Y'es.
(5) Q : Turning your amention to Exhibit
19. are lof the handwritten comments on Exhibir 19 Dr. Baldessarini's?
I31 A: Y'es.
191 Q: After you received these comments from too Dr. Baldessarini did you discuss them? Did you [111] discuss Dr. Baldessarini's handwritten comments [12] with him when you received them? (19) A: 1 discussed his impressions. I did not [14] specifically go over his handritten comments.
Q: On page 000916 of Exhibit 19. sir.
where (16) there is a chart of Eli Lilly's stock peaking in 171988 and plurpmeting in 1989, what did you fay undersuand Dr: Baldessarini to mean by that t\% handwritten notation?
$\therefore$ A. I took that as a Dr. Baldessarini foive.
21: Q: And what was the joke. sir?
122. A: That after this article came out that 23 there would bea drop in sales of Prozac.
12x: Q:And was that funny to you?
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1. A: I thought it was, because I thought that in the article would never cause something like that 131 to happen.
If) Q : Now, sir,at the top of Exhibit 19 can 15: sou tell me what it says? It's hard to read at the $16 \mid$ very top there. Do you know what that says?
TI A: I can't ready the very top. I see "go ts for it," parenthesis, NP, exclamation point. close 191 parenthesis.
isw Q : What did you undersuand that note to [i1] mean, sir?
112 A: It meant send it to the American Journal risi of Psychiatry.
[14] Q: That would be a good placement for this nis) article. Correct?
IVG A: Right.
i1' Q: And "go for it" meaning that you thouzht Ins you had an interesting set of observations here?
115: A: Right.
(20) Q:Surprising set of observations. Correct?
[2] MR. GREENWALD:Objection. [22] Surprising to whom?
[123 MS. GUSSACK:Surprising to [24] Dr. Ieicher since that's the language be used in

Page 212
[1] the article.
[2] BY NS, GUSSACK:
I3 $Q$ : Was it a surprising set of observations it to you, sir?
15) A: Sure. But I'm not sure that 261 Dr Baldessarini's comment "go for it' meant that in Dr. Baldessarini thought they were surprising, m I think basically from Knowing Dr. Baldessarini for 19 now fifteen years, I would probably suspect that the [15 would use the word "interesting."
[7I) Q : What is the other handwritten notation in [12] the righr-hand corner of Exhibit 19?
1331 A: There is "rule out," or R/O, [14] -pseudobipolarity, rule out akathisia as 1151 contributor."
n161 Q: What did you understand Dr, Baldessarini 117 to mean by those notations?
(13) A: What be is basicatly suggessing that we (19, look into, think about more is the possibility thatpol these parients may be more manic-depressive than ran unipolar depression and that maybe fluoxetine has az; induced a manic sate and that that might be part is of the explanation. And the other one is that 24 : matre fluoxetine has induced akathisia and that the
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(1) exacerbation in their condition, the emergence of '2 suicidal behavior is a consequence of akathisia.
15: O: Atter you received Dr. Baldessarini's $|+|$ comments did you or anyone go back and re-review isi the patient histories or your clinical records?
in A: I don't recall going back over and 17) reviewing the clinical records. What 1 do recall tsi doing was speaking to Dr. Cole more about 91 akathisia. And in particular I was asking again [10, whether he felt that akathisia occured in what was lti) case 1 in the paper.
112 Q: Anything else?
[131 A: Nor that I recall.
[14! Q: Now, in the draft that you sent [15] Dr. Baldessarini seven parients are included. (16) Correct?
(17) A: Right.

Iss Q: One of them drops out, the 40 -year-old 119 ; womm, patient 6 . Right?
[20) A: 30 -year-old woman, patient 6?
(21) Q: 40-ycar-old.

1221 A: Case 6? In which one?
1231 Q: In the draft that you sent to [24) Dr. Baldessarini.
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III A: This one has case 6 as 230 -year-old [3] woman, Are there two case 6's? Case5. Ms. E is a 3140 -year-old woman.
(4) Q:I'm 50rry, case 5, a 40 -yearold worman (5) with major depression, late luteal phase dysphoric 161 disorder. You don't end up including that patient $[1$ in your final report, do you?
इका A: No, 1 didn't.
(9) Q: Now, at the time that you sent the draft nop to Dr. Baldessarini you thought that patient was [iil similar enough to include in your case series. |121 Correct? ${ }^{13}$ A: Similar enough to include in this draft $[141$ of the case series, yes.
${ }_{\text {In }} \mathrm{Q}$ : This is practically a final draft before 116 you sent it to the American journal. Right?
(15) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

118: A: It's a draft. It is really not the final tis draft.
1201 Q: Well, no, apparently not. And you pulled Ian this patient out because why?

123 A: This patient had an unusual response to $\{23$ potentiation with methylphenidate, which really [24] caused the syndrome to remit, and so it is more
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ts ambiguous.
(2) Q: The parient's reaction. patient number is! 5 's reaction mas more ambiguous and could not be in attributed to the use of Prozac?
is: A: The response to methylphenidate in this 160 case caused her to have a therapeutic response fo while on fluoxetine. so I think it is more [8, ambigucus.
(0) Q: Well. she didn't have what you were trol describing as the intense suicidal preoccupation as ti11 a result of fluoxetine?
|12: A: What we described was that she over the ta3 next two weeks - At week six suicidal ideation |wa and panicamacks emerged. Over the next two weeks (I9 she experienced increasing suicidality. intense (16) homicidal and violent dreams, dissociation and uT] suspiciousness. Then methylphenidate was added to [tB] her regime and she had enhanced work performance, 19 concentration and mood, and that at a 2001 methylphenidate dose of 10 milligrams her symptoms [2] remitted, cognition improved, and her suicidal ing thoughts and violent dreams abated.
120) Q: So she didn't fit the pattern of what you 124 were describing in the other six patients?
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in A: Well. I'm not sure. I think we gave her iz a challenge with another drug which may have il reversed some of the effects of fluoxetine. It it would have been much clearer had we not done that (5) and had we stopped the medication. But this was 16 ambiguous.
in Q: Let me direct your artention to page 927 Is of Exhibit 19, sir, the bottom paragraph. Are you $\mid 9$ there?
[10) A: Yes, mm-hmm.
[ii] Q: I ask you to refer to the sentence (12] "Second, all but one parient"-and Im reading risy under Dr. Baldessarini's writings to get to the $[14]$ original draft that you submitted to him -
1151 'Second, all but one patient, case 1 , developed 116 intense fatigue or abulia on fluoxetine and two (177) patients eventually developed hypersomnia, cases 4 IIEI and 7.: Right?
(19 A : Yes.
Dor Q: So. Doctor, this was striking to you, 1211 wasn't it, because you were expecting these [121 patients to become activated on fluoxetine and 1231 instead they were becoming sedated?
124 A : Yes.
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III Q: And hypersomnia means what?
12) A: Increased sleep.
i3 Q: "More typically patients with depression it find fluoxetine to be stimulating and frequent is side-effect complaints include nervousness and 16 insomnia. "But that is not in fact what you were II reporting in this draft to De. Baldessarini. \%\% Correct?
is1 $A$ : What do you mean?
119) Q: You just said the patients developed ini intense fatigue abulia, hypersomnia. They were iny not stimulated. right?
ifs A: Right. So that is consistent with what [14 I wrote, yes.
(15) Q: Now, it was the patienes who were 116] extremely sedated who experienced the so-calied [i] suicidal ideation. Right? Ins: A: Yes.
tiv Q : Not the extremely activated patients?
1301 A: Correct.
(23) Q:Sir, does the word akathisia appear in 122 this draft that you sent to Dr. Baldessarini [23 amyplace except on page 9 where you use the word $p$ g akathisia to reference the fact that you reduced
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(11) neuroleptics to treat the akathisia?
(2) A: I wouldn't know. Do you want me to sit [31 here and read it?
14) Q: Well, sir, do you recall when you 151 submirted the draft to Dr. Baldessarini that you 16 were commenting on the finding of akathisia induced m by fluoxetine in any of the patients?
is $A$ : This was seven and a half years ago. in I don't exactly remember what I wrote in this top draft. Thaven't seen it or read it again to this [12 moment. So I don't know if 1 have the word 131 akethisia in here.
[I3] Q: Well, Doctor, I am going to ask you [it] tonight to tell me whether akathisia appears in l151 that article anywhere other than on page 9 as I've fie just described to you. So we don't have to take [1] the time now but we can address it tomorrow after 1181 you have lad a chance to look.
[19: A: Okzy.
120] Q: Could you turn to page 929 of Exhibit 197 [211 And in this case you say, first full paragraph, you 123 say 'It is always difficult to know with certainty 123 whether untoward effects that emerge during [20) pharmacological treatment are a consequence of the
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III drug. " Correct?
(2) A: Yes.
(3) Q: Particularly so whenthe symptoms that tw you are observing may be symptoms of the undertying is: discase. Correct?

## :5 A: Yes.

- Q: That makes it particularly trouklesome?
;si $A$ : yes.
Is $\mathbf{Q}$ : And you go on to say in that paragraph toy that Second, it is possible that suicidal thoughts it: emerged for reasons unrelated to fluoxetine 1121 treatment.e.g, lossorabandonment, and we are Ins linking two common events whose simultaneous wioccurrence was merely coincidental. ${ }^{-}$Correct, sir?
(15) MR. GREENWALD: Your question is. is 166 that what it says?
(17) MS. GUSSACK:Yes.
[18) A: That's what it says.
tเ9 MR. GREENWALD:The document speaks 201 for itself. What it says is what it says.

121) BY MS, GUSSACK:

1231 Q: You see where I'm referring. Doctor?
[23: A: Yes.
[24) Q: So it was important to you at the time
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in that you prepared this draft to evaluate the 12 significance or contribution of what you call loss isior abandonmentas possible factors precipitating (4) suicidality. Correct?
(s) A: Correct.
(6) Q: Now, can you tell me, sir, what $\Gamma 1$ Dr.Baldessarini's handwritten comment in the |s| right-hand margin is next to "loss or abandonment?
ivi A: It says "Does not fit story well and tiol seems a forced straw man."
[II) Q: What did you understand from that (12] comment?
[131 A: What one would mean by a straw man is an [1f: argument that one sets up merely to knock down; 1 ss that had this been in Dr. Baldessarint's opinion a n16! powerful argument, there would have been some try evidence of it in the cases. So he thinks that we [r8) were essentially putting this point in but that we (19] didn'treally believe this to be truc or that the $[20]$ cases didn't provide this kind of evidence, so that [21] we are -1 guess he felt we were being overly im cautious in purting this in, something Fike that.
1231 Q: You go on to state, sir, that "However, [24 we are unaware of any changes in the life
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(1) circumstances of these patients during treatment. [a No one lost a job, had a
relationship end, or miearned that they had a terminal illness." (H) Correct?
(5) A: Yes.
[6] Q : All of those would be significant life in stressors that could increase the risk for isp suicidality: Correct?

## © A: Yes.

ith Q: You in fact looked for those kinds of iall stressors in each of your patients113 A: Correct.
(331 Q: - to evaluate the role that they might int play in increasing the patient's suicidality?
119 A : Y'es.
IGG Q:And it was your view at the time that you IIT published this draft that such stressors were tiwabsent fromeach of the patients tives?
(1) A: Yes.
(20) MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to object [21] because the draft wasn't published.
(22) MS, GUSSACK: Prepared.
(23) MR. GREENWALD:Okay, I'll accept 124 that.
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## II BY MS. GUSSACK:

[2] $Q$ : You go on to say "It was also very (3) striking that no patient was able to articulate a tel concrete reason for why they felt suicidal, [s] Instead they irdicated that these thoughts were 16] there for no apparent reason," Correct? MA: l'es.
|a| Q: And, sir, in your experience with these in patienrs had you had occasions on which they told nol you that they had felt suicidal previous to [11] starting fluoxetine?
11ม A: There wereoccasions when these patients (13) had had previous suicidal thoughts, yes.
(14) Q : And were they able to tell you whythey [15] were havingsuicidal thoughts?
[16) A: In general, yes.
[17) Q: What do you mean by "in generat"? [15] A: Either they were able to tell me or we (19) were able to figure it out in the course of rop therapy.
[21] Q:I see. And some of those cases would be 1231 simply because their depression was worsening, they 1231 felt more suicidal?
[34] A: No. In the patients that I was reporting
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(1) here and it is true for many parients, there's not 121 any kind of simple straigfforward correlation ts between suiclal ideation and depressive severity, [4] su can bave a patient who is roughly
the same is level of depression on week one as they are on week 19 two but they may be suicidal on week two. And they Tl may be suicida! on week rwo because their husband is failed to acknowledge their anniversary or $|>|$ something. You know, that there is something trof that's coming up that has caused them to feel hurt tin or abandoned or rejected or they've had a horrible fia time at work: There are also times when their 131 depression worsens and they don't become more thal suicidal.
(1s) Basicatty, if you reatly think about He it. the vast majority of patients who are suicidal. It1 and I mean seriously suicidal, you know, not just a lis) fiecting thought of suicide but a real persistent tin desire to commit suicide, there's largely a few poy reasons. One reason we call intolerable affect,[21) They'refeeling so bad that death would be a ray relief. Another major factor is that they're $\quad$ an feeling disconnected:that theyarenot in the [20 midst of a meaningful relationship with people;
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(11) that nobody cares, nobody loves them.
(2) And those are bargely the two is psychological reasons lying behind the suicide. 141 And that usually in the course of evaluating a ss paticnt who is suicidal you can identify either 19 what the underlying intolerable affect is or you m can ascertain that there is a lack of connection, a is] lack of support and identify that. And that's part $\begin{aligned} & \text { sh } \\ & \text { of } 25\end{aligned}$ sessing and evaluating a suicidal patient. tho Q: I want to make sure I understand you, [1] Doctor. A patient's suicidality may increase due 112 to the fact that they have a loss of support from ins family or friends or psychological stressors that [16] they are experiencing by way of major traumatic (is) events?
[16] A: I wasn't talking about stressors.
(I) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. That's $\left.{ }^{[18}\right)$ not what he said, Nina.
[19) A: What I'm talking about are, the two major 201 things are intolerable affect or isolation. And [21] the isolation isn't simply lack of support. It's [22] not that, 'Oh, Sally hasn't called in two wecks." 123 It's more that they feel really cut off, isolated, [2at abandoned, they don't have anybody to turn to, and
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[1] so they're adrift, alone. And when evaluating [2] those people who are suicidal, I mean really tis suicidal, not fleeting thoughts, you are finding in somebody who is facing intolerable affect, be that ist humiliation, be that pain, be that panic amacks, 16 things that theyjust can'tstand fecling and would m rather be dead than feel. Or their life
feels (s) empty, meaningless, devoid of connection. And that ig usually those core feelings and states underlic the tien patient who is really suicidat.
It: Q: Now: Doctor, vou mould agree with me that :2: the feeling of emptiness. toneliness, being cut is off, that is the patient's subjective realiry of :"\& those feclings. Correct?
I19 A: Yes.
(10) $\mathrm{Q}:$ It may be that they have a very In? nurturing. loving family, a very caring set of its friends, but that their feelings of isolation, t19 alienation, distance, emptiness are their 1301 experience, their personal experience of those $[21$ feelings?
เมม A: Yes.
1231 Q: So that those feelings may cause someone 124 tu become increasingly suicidal?
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(11) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
in A : Yes.
131 Q: And that those feelings may be so is intolerable that they would rather be dead than ts alive?
16 MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(7) A: Yes.
m Q: Okay, I understand you.
191 Turning to page 917 of Exhibit 19. |10; the starement in the middle paragraph, you had in originally written There is little thought, 121 however, that antidepressants might actually induce 131 serious nearly obsessive suicidal ideation in (12) depressed patients relatively free of these 115 thoughts prior to treatment."And Dr.Baldessarini 16 deleted "relatively free" and you accepted that tin change. Correct? Your article says These ${ }^{[18]}$ patients were free of recent suicidal ideation." whi Right?
[50 A: I didn't use that wording at all that was [21] in the draft. 1 mean, this was the opening (22 paragraph; it was not a discussion of the cases. [251 The opening paragraph was setting out propositions [2+] about what antidepressants do or don't do.And
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III What 1 wound up saying was "However, standard 12 antidepressonts are not known to induce severe and isi persistent suicidal ideation in depressed patients 14 free of such thoughts before treatment." It is a 131 general suatement about the state of knowledge.
(B) Q: Well, Doctor, is the phrase in your mpublished article, Exhibit 10 ,referring to कi patients free of such thoughts before treatment 19 referring to your six patients that you report on 1101 in the article?

III A: Free of recent serious suicidal ideation.
(12) Q: Turning to page 919 of Exhibit 19. ths Dr.Baldessarini's handwritten note in the right-ith hand margin. Can you read that: (Pause) Can you as read nhere it says "Hard to be sure this is' -
(re) A: Ycah. ft's hard to read. 'Hard to be :17) sure this something to fluoxetine. something with (t8) limited past treatment history:"
159 Q: "Hard to be sure this is related to 12) fluoxetine? is that what it says. Doctor:
I2II A: I can't read all the words.
123 Q: You have in your office, sir, the [231 original of this document with Dr. Baldessarini's [2a| notes on it?
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(1) MR. GREENWALD: Doesn't even look (2) like a word. I don't know what it is. Almost is looks like a number.
(4) A: Let me look at the case for a second.
isf Q : He is referring to what turns out to be (61 patient number 2 in your published article.
(7) A: Okay. (Pause) Yeah, it's hard to make |s] it out. What he's actually saying in the other al paragraph....
${ }_{[10]} \mathrm{Q}$ : As I read that note it says "Hard to be (ii) sure this related to fluoxetine. especially with (12) limited past treatment history" Did you consider lisp that note when you evaluated the comments on [141 patient number 22
(1s) MR. GREENWALD:Arc you going to ask (19) him about this page?
[17 MS, GUSSACK: Yes.
(18) MR. GREENWALD:Can we get a copy of tay this?
(20) MS, GUSSACK: Well, not now. I don't (21) have one. But I'll get one for you tonight.
[22] MR. GREENWALD:It's already atmost [23] quarter after $5: 00$. He's been going since $5: 30$ [24 this morning and we were going to stop at 5:30
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(1) anyway. I thought. So maybe we could get done [13 soon. All right?
(3) A: I guess what I did, I put in some (1) additional information for case 2 that wasn't in is this write-up, so we indicated that be had managed 66 successfully with psychotherapy until two years ago [7] when his depression worsened after a divorce. So 151 we indicated a little bit more about his past isf treatment history.
110) Q: So you tried to find additional In information responsive to Dt. Baldes sarini's [12 comment that this didn't look related to Prozactiai because of the prior

Limited treatment history?
: 14 A A: Right.
(เ51 Q: Doctor.before Iasked youthat the (18) reason you dropped that patient from vour case it report was because she was more complicated than tiss the others and you said no. that wasn't the case. (19: Didn'tyou testify that mav sir, in Ransom on page tro 고 Didn't you sy that the patient you dropped an out of the case series was even more complicated me| than the six that you teported on in the Ransom iny tanscriptat page ${ }^{-2}$
(2) A: Right.
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(1) Q: How was she more complicated? Because (2) she didn't fit the series as we discussed already?
in MR. GREENWALD:I'm going to object.50 I think he'salready answered that question.
(5) A: Let me check something. (Pause) She iof wasn't more complicated clinically in the sense of (7) didn't have more problems, more diagnoses, more 箇 neurological disturbances, more history of 19) medication. She was more complicated in the ftof Ritalin, methy? phenidate response being a [tI) signifcant confounding factor. Depending on how ti? you envision methylphenidate is going to interact 1131 with fluoxetine, it could either be supportive or 16 it could be not supportive.
I! Q : So she had an additional confounding 116 factor that made it hard for you to draw any causal in assessment between her exposure to fluoxetine and [1s) her suicidal preoccupation? (19) A: Yes.
[20) Q: On page 923 of Exhibit 19, Doctor, there [21] is some comment by Dr. Aaldessarini in the margin. [22] What is that reference with an exclamation mark? ${ }^{2}$ 231 Can you read it?
[24] A: You mean the one with the queseion mark,
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If the double question mark?
[2] Q: No; at the bottom.
B1 MR. GREENWALD: In the circle? The if circle in the lower left-hand comer?
(s) THE WITNESS: Do you mean this over |s| here (indicating)?
IT MR. GREENWALD: You mean that one is with the circle around it?
19 THE WITNESS: Or do you mean this [10| (indicating)?
[11] (Pause)
[12) MS. GUSSACK:Iet me see if I can lis find a better copy for you.
(14) MR. GREENWALD: Which marking
were Ins you referring to?
(t6) MS. GUSSACK:The one down here at 117 the bottom.
[181 MR. GREENWALD: The one with the iz circle around it and the "own comuntrit arter it.

- BYMS. GUSSACK.

21, Q: White were looking tor a Sette: copy, 12: Doctor. let me ask you to reier to page 72 of your i3t restimony in the Ransom criminal matter. (Pause)
i2e) MR. GREENWALD: Do vou have page 73?
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tu Can I see it ${ }^{2}$
12) A: I think there's a mistake in this.
(3) Q: Having reviewed it, Doctor, in your (4) testimony in the criminal matter in the Ransom case ts you were asked about the alternative explanations ro you considered with respect to the patients you (7) reported on in your 1990 article. Correct?
(8) A: Yes.

191 a: And you testified there that obviously thof one of the things you considered was that this was int simply a coincidence. Right?
12: A: Yes.
tus Q: That this was simply an event you saw as |le|temporally associated, that the suicidal us1 preoccupation simply was temporally related in time (16) with the exposure to fluoxetine. Right?
in1 A: Yes.
${ }^{\text {[1] }} \mathrm{Q}$ : And that it may have had nothing to do (IV) with the administration of fluoxetine. Correct?
(20) MR. GREENWALD:Objection to the form [21] of the question. Anything's possible.
${ }^{1221} \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes, that was a hypothesis.
[23) Q: That was a hypothesis?
[24) A: Correct.
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In Q: And your casereport considersthe [2] possibiliry that Prozac caused suicidal [13 preoccupation as a hypothesis. Correct?
(4) A: Yes.
isf $Q_{i}$ In fact, you thought it was a surprising (6) possibility. Correct?
of A: That's some of the words that we used, 10 y yes.
(9) $\mathbf{Q}$ : And you have said that possibility is (10) something between greater than zeropercent butimless than 50 percent. Right?
(12) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(13) A: I may have said that at some point T'm |ht not sure of the firm statistical
definution of (ts) possibility.
[16] Q : You have testified that you were raising [17] the possibility that the administration of tis fluoxetine caused suicidal preoccupation in these $[19)_{\text {six }}$ patients. Correct?
2. A: Right

21: Q: And you have testified. sir, haven' ' you. [2: that that possibility to you meant something 123 greater than zero percent and less than 50 percent. 124 Correcta$^{2}$
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: A: Do sou have that someplace?
i: Q: Did you say that, sir?
ts A: I don't recall.
1+1 $Q$ : You don't recall?
1s $A: 1$ mean, it's somewheres berween zeroand /ala hundred. I'm notsure I pur it down to fifty.
TI $Q$ : Do you recall saying it was lessthan (18) 50 percent?

191 A: No.
tion MR. GREENWALD:Objection. He just tuI says he doesn't recall.
[122) BY MS. GUSSACK:
[13] Q: And, Doctor, did you -
114 MR. GREENWALD:Hold on. Can 1 just (1s) make my objection? He says he doesn't recall. If fir you have something you want to show him where he a7 says it, to refresh his recollection, show it to [18) him. But if he doesn't remember, he doesn't tiy remember.
${ }_{i 20 \mid}$ BY MS. GUSSACK:
[2] Q: You testified, didn't you, Doctor, that [22 these six patients do not evidence concrete 29 definitive evidence of a causal association? [24) Correct?
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(1) A: Yes.
[2] Q: One of the other hypotheses that you have is had to enterain is that there were other events in (4) these six patients' lives that contributed to their [s] increased suicidality. Correct?
(6) A: Yes.
i7 Q : Meaning stressors in their lives?
is) A: Right. Which we talked about, yes.
i9) Q : And also the possibility that they were (10) having a drug interaction with other medications In they were taking? [121 A : Yes.
(13) Q: Four out of six of those patients were [14) taking other psychoactive medivations while they (15) were taking fluoxetine. Correct?
[16] A: Yes.
[if) Q : And there is the possibility of a irug (18) interaction?

A: Yes.
prop Q: and in fact you have testified. baven'tian you that you find that there is greater [2a) disinhibition and greater impulsivity in patients 123 raking Valium. Right?
2.: A: Compared to?
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1) Q: Patients who haven traken Valium. is Right?
2) A: Okay
is Q : And that you have seen what you believe is to be the Prozac-related effect in patients who w take Valium and Prozac. Right?
IT: A: Prozacrelated effect in patiens who ini take Valium?
IV MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
;10) A: Could you rephrase that?
III Q: Haven't you testified that the suicidal 113 preoccupation that you have seen you find to be $[131$ more evident in patients who have taken Valium and 146 Prozac?
75: A: I don't havea specific recollection of nas stating that. I think that 1 have stated that int there have been discussions of suicidality and (tise impulsivity emerging in patients taking [29] benzodiazepines, whether it's Valium or Xanax or $120 /$ Halcion, and that it has also been associated in [21] Prozac, and that the combination may be pretty (2n) likely or relatively speaking to be at increased par risk.ButI'mnotsure that I specifically said 24 that I see this more in parients who are on that.
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if Q : On what?
[7] $A$ : On the combination. I don't see that in many patients on the combination.
1f) Q: I sec. But three out of six of your (si patientswere infactona combination of Valium, (6) Xanax or Halcion and Prozac. Correct?
mA: But not specifically Valium and Prozac, 沮 which is what you asked.
Iक $Q$ : But now IVe changed the question to 10 include Vatium, Xanax or fatcion as you said?
III $A$ : Yes.
II2) Q: You also have enteruined the hypothesis [13) that there is a sensitizing effect between drugs (114) that these patients previously took prior to the [151 administration of Prozac and the adminisuration of 18 Prozac. Right?
In A. That's correct.
us; Q: And you did notreject any of these II91 hypotheses as possible explanations for what you 12$]$ were observing in these patients. Right?
[12] A: No, 1 did not.
(2) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(24) GY MS. GUSSACK:

2a! Q: Doctor, how many of these six patients
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: that sou reported on had dissociative svmptorts $z=$ before beginning thuoxttinc:
Ix. A: Dissociative symptoms?

- Q : Yes
:s A: Cases 3.4.5 and 6, those four as far as $w$ I can tell from looking at this right nuw:
I7 MS. GUSSACK:It's almost 3:30. Why If don't we take a couple of minutes and discuss in scheduling.
itor MR. GREENWALD:Okay
tu! (Discussion $\cap$ if the record.)
tia MS. GUSSACK: To clarify the record, II31 let's mark finally as Exhibit 20, which we can do (tw) in a moment, Dr. Teicher's folder that contains his itsi correspondence to and from counsel in this matter |te Contained within that file were a series of -
in MR. GREENWALD: This is going to be (101 No. 20?
[191 MS. GUSSACK: 20 , yes.
[29) MR. GREENWALD: We'll call that [21] correspondence file.
[12] What I have removed from that file is 1231 a letter to Mr. Pavsner dated June 20, 1996; a [24| draft pleading: a letter to Mr. Pavsner dated
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[1] August 12, 1996; a fax to Dr. Teicher dared August (2) 14, 1996; and a tetter with an attachment from me i3 to Dr . Teicher dated August 20, 1996. So that 141 there are five items that I have removed. And 191 will call Steve this evening and discuss this 66 issue with him.
m MS. GUSSACK: Let me clarify for the isi record that Dr. Teicher has testified that he Bl prepared a letter from which an affidavit was drawn (10) by your office in response to the motion to compel. [11 He has submitted a bill requesting reimbursement iny for time spent incurred in responding to the 1331 subpoena. And he has been questioned about time [14] incurred in responding to the motion to compel, all inst of which I believe are implicated by the documents 169 that you are withholding, so I would suggest to you (17) that they are all subject to production.
tisi MR. GREENWALD:It may be that (19) tomorrow there won't be an issue but I would like pop to clarify it. Okay? So for the time being I am [21] going to take these documents with me so I can $[2$ I discuss them with Mr. Pavsner this evening. and 1231 will return with them

## tomorrow,

t2+1 MS. GUSSACK:Off the record.
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(1) (Discussion off the record.)

I2: MR. GREENWALD: There is one other
(3) thing he had in his file that he brought with him. : There is a lerter here which has nothing to do with ;s this case from an attorney secking information from 16 1991 -
F1 MS. GUSSACK:Seeking information (3) about what?
in MR. GREENWALD: It has nothing to do thof with this case.
[11] MS. GUSSACK:Secking information (ty) abour Prozac?
[191 MR. GREENWALD: Actually. I don't -
(14) THE WITNESS: He just wanted a copy [15 of the study, that's all. That's all he wanted.
(16 MR. GREENWALD: Is that all be 117 wanted? It's up to you. I don't care.
[15 THE WITNESS: He wanted a copy of the 13s study gratis.
(20) MR. GREENWALD: It is a letter froma (21) lawyer asking for a copy of the study relating to a $[23$ custody case. There's an envelope that has nothing (23) in it. Thave no idea what that means. And there [20 is a fax transmission from Dr. Teicher to Georgia
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(1) Sargent of Trial Magazine which appears to be under 121 date of 1991, which apparently - I'm guessing - [3; is something that they'd asked him to review that |a| they were going to put in the magazine. Is that [s] what that is?
[ब] THE WITNESS: Yes.
[7] MS, GUSSACK:With regard to Prozac?
(8) MR. GREENWALD:Yes. I don't is understand this. The magazine is dated Oh, [ion this is something they already published. This is III dated August 1990.
1121 THE WITNESS: I think that they may 113) have shown me an example. I'm not sure.
[14 MA, GREENWALD:1 don't know:
(15) MS, GUSSACK:Why don't we have it (16) copied.
[17] MR. GREENWALD:Okay. Do you have [16! any problem with that?
[191 THE WITNESS: (Witness shrugged.)
(20) MR. GREENWALD:Okay, that's it as [21) faras I know. You have all thoseboxes over there 1221 and I haven't looked through them. It just appears 1231 to be a lot of books and stuff.
[24] MS, GUSSACK:And the materials you

Page 242
(t) took with you to review during lunch that Ia Dr. Teicher had produced are where?
131 MR. GREENWALD:That's what we fust if talked about. Because I think you may have left [5] the room. I gave your assisantall of that stuffig before we left.
I: MS. GUSSACK:Thank you.
(18) MR. GREENWALD: The only thing 1 took 191 with me was the correspondence file to discuss 120 these other issues with him. So as far as I know [tII and of course I didn't pack these boxes but I did itai carry one of them up here, but you have (13) everything. And that's att I have for now.
[14) (Deposition recessed at 5:40 p.m. to 1151 Wednesday, October 30,1996,at 9:00 a.m.)
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(1) PROCEEDINGS
(2) 9:40 a.m. 13) MARTIN H. TEICHER, M.D.. PH.D., 41 having been previously sworn on oath, was 19 examined and testified further as follows:
(6) EXAMINATION, resumed in BY MS. GUSSACK:
${ }^{181}$ Q: Doctor, you understand that you are still $\vartheta T$ under oath from yesterday?
${ }_{\text {f10 }} A$ : Yes.
[11) Q: If I might, I need to do a little bit of [121 housckeeping with you about some things we ull discussed yesterday just to make sure we're clear [if] about some things. In Exhibit Teicher 12 you 115 indicated that there were some documents perraining $[16$ ) to a statistical analysis that you performed on BGA in data. Can you show me which pages those are?
(18) A: This. And this is also relevant. Can (is) I just see that a moment? (Pause)
1201 Q: Doctor, does your comment that you have ransome papersthere referring to an update mean that [z] you per formed a sratistical analysis on some irs separate information?
124) A: Yes. There was the fluoxetine safery (11 update of $6 / 20 / 86$ that was a scparate
document. [2] The basis for that were these sheets which I think I31 Lilly had labeled Safery Update.
it: Q: And what do you have in front of you is) there?
6. A: And these go with that. These are the riamlyses thave done on that data. is: Q: Doctor. just so that the record is clear. 191 I am going to juse mark 12-G, 12 H and 121, three tiof pages of documents that you have handed me from tII Teicher 12 which, as I understand it, refer to your (12) analysis of data subtritted to BGA for approval of iss fluoxetine. Is that correct, sir?
(14) A: Yes.
(151 Q: 12-G would be a chart that you prepared?
IN: A: Yes.
เI7 $\mathrm{Q}: 12 \mathrm{H}$ is data that you prepared?
[IE) A : It is a statistical analysis of the data. (19) Q : And the same is true of 12.1 ?

129 A : Yes.
[20 MR. GREENWALD:Do you want to staple [za those together?
[2] MS. GUSSACK:1 am going to put them [24 back in Exhibit 12.
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## (1) BY MS. GUSSACK:

[2 Q : And for convenience of reference. lamug going to mark 12 , the document you have [f] identified as your chart analysis of fluoxetine is safery update June 20, '86. Correct?
(0) A: Yes.
mQ: And 12 K is your statistical analysis of isi that data?
(9) A: Yes.
(1) Q: And $12-\mathrm{L}$ and 12 M are documents you tu believeare from Lilly with regard to the safety 1121 update?
${ }_{13} 13$ A: Yes.
[14] Q : Now, Doctor, if 1 hand you your folder iss that bas all the red paper clips onit which you lnef gave us yesterday, can you tell me which pages you in were referring to when you performed your analysis fos of the BGA data?
(13) A: Yes.

120 Q : And would you mind giving me the PZ [21] number that you will see stamped on the bonom of pris each of those pages.
[231 A: It's PZ2811692.
[21] $Q$ : Any other page, sir?
Page 6
${ }_{11} \mathrm{~A}$ : That's the relevant page.
[21 Q: You have red paper clips on a lot of 131 these documents. What do those red paper clips |f| signify?
is) A: Those were things that 1 found 16 |
interesting when I read themand thoug. It that there $D 1$ may be questions that came up today that I might (s) want to be able to find these for.
is) MR. GREENWALD:Let me just ash you a iv, question, Nim. That folder is not an exhibit to :al' the deposition.
12) MS. GUSSACK:NiOt yet.
(131 MR. GREENWALD: Are you going to make tht it an exhibit?
tas MS. GUSSACK:I may. I'm not sure.
(I6) MR. GREENWALD: Well, if you don't. II then obviously he will take it back with him. But ins, if you do, we are going to probably need to go the through and just put on the record which pages have 1201 paper clips on them.
I2I) MS. GUSSACK:IfI make it an exhibit 123 I will try to copy it in a way that the paper clip [23 would be evident.
(22) MR. GREENWALD:Or we could just read

Page 7
if off the page numbers. Then you would have them in ia case in transport the paper clips come offor $B$ something like that.

## (4) BY MS. GUSSACK:

Is1 Q: Doctor, yesterday we referred to Teicher (6) 19, Dr. Baldessarini's comments on your draft mmanuscript which you submitred to the American isi Journal of Psychiatry. Do you recall?
II A: Yes.
[10) Q: And you bave been kind enough to furnish [II us today with a more legible copy that we are going [iม to substitute for Exhibit 19 for ease of reference. 1131 Now, sir, can I show you. please-I may have to tret look over your shoulder - the second page of [15] Teicher Exhibit 19, which is the cover page that 116 you have in fronr of you, and can you read me now imp the handwritten comments that appear at the very tus top of that page?
(199) MR. GREENWALD: Where is the copy 120 that you took out? Do you have that someplace?
[21] (Document handed by Ms. Gussick to 122 Mr . Greenwald.)
[23 A: It says "chilling," exclamation and three [2u underscores, and then it says "shorten,"
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(1) underlined, and "ry to soften antifluoxetine [2] posture and go for it," parenthesis, "AJP," isl exclatuation, close parenthesis.
If. Q: Doctor, did you speak with 151 Dr. Baldessarinl about the comments that you just 161 read after he made those comments?
ITI A: I discussed the manuscript at vari-
ousibl stages with Dr. Baldessarini bur 1 didn't |y specifically discuss those comments.
fiol Q: Did you know what he meant by your [1I] anti-fluoxetine posture in this manuscript?
121 A: 1 believe I did, yes.
(13) Q: Pardon me?
|14| A: I believe 1 did.
(1) Q: And what did you understand him to mean?
(1G A: 1 think he meant, you know, prekent the $147^{\prime}$ data: soften it in termis of specificity to inf fluoxetine.
|19 Q: And why, sir, do you think he thought pop that was a good idea?
${ }^{|21|} \mathrm{A}:$ Dr. Baldessarini is very conservative and 22 this is the kind of caution that he would bring to 123 any academic discussion.
124) Q: Did you in fact try to soften your

Paga 9
III anti-fluoxetine posture?
12 A: I believe I did.
(5) Q: By doing what?
14) A: I think I was more cautious in the final (s) draft.
(6) Q: And what did you change that is evidence DI of your greater caution?
(s) A: I think that there's a lot of caveats and 19 cautions throughout the manuseript in terms of how nom much we understand, how much we know, and more the it] attitude that we're raising this as a possibility 121 than saying this is a conclusion.
[131 Q: So, sir, you would want clinicians and 144 the scientific community reading your article to usi have taken away from reading your series of case 160 reports that in fact they were simply hypotheses. (ITI Correct?
[28] A: Right.
(19) Q: You published it, as I understand, to 200 provoke discussion, research?
|21) A: Yes.
[221 Q: Analysis?
123) A: Thought, yes.
|241 Q: And in fact it did that, didn't it?
Page 10

## (2) $A$ : Yes.

(2) $\mathbf{Q}$ : And in fact one might say it eren created isia firestorm of attention, wouldn't you say?
(4) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
(s) A: It generated a lot of attention, yes. ${ }_{161}$ Q: Now, would you say that your colleagues mat Mclean, for instance, were skeptical of your |s| case reports?
\% MR. GREENWALD: Objection. Are u thon talkingabout all his colleagues or
who he talked nin to?
(12) Q: Colleagues that you presented sour slides ti3 to at McLean.
fle A: No.
tre Q:No: They embraced sour observations?
Ts: MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
irf A: I think the -
IIN MR. GREENWALD: Wait a minute. You tist hate to wait until she finishes the question and twi give me a chance.
12: I am going to object to the 122 terminology of the question.

## I23 BY MS, GUSSACK:

124 Q : Doctor, can you answer the questjon?
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(t) A. I think there was a heterogeneiry of i2) opinion. There were some colleagues who said yes, as I've seen cases. There were other colleagues who (4) said, well. you know: this is probably akathisia. Is There are other colleagues who said, no. fluoxetine 160 is perfectly safe, Ive never seen anything lile m that.
th So there was a heterogeneity of on opinion. I would not say that I got from my for colleagues at McLean much in the way of criticism fur at all from them.
ma: Q: Did you get criticism from others. 1131 Doctor?
[11] A: There was, again, a lot of heterogeneity [1s) of opinion. I have many colleagues who called to tue describe cases and to say that they had seen the in7 same thing 1 had other colleagues who said, what (1sy are youtalking about? Tve never seen a case.
119 It wound up very much from my $[29$ impressions of people who spoke to me face to face [21] about it or spoke to me on the telephone that $\{22\}$ either they had seen similar cases and thought that 1233 it had great validity or they had not seen similar 134 cases and they were critical of it. So it tended
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II] to slice one way based on what their experience 12 was.
[1 Q : When you presented your observations at it the meeting of the American College of ist Neuropsychopharmacologists were you greeted with 19 some skepticism?
एा A: Oh, yes.
(19) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

जI BY MS, GUSSACK:
nio) Q: A great deal of skepticism. Right?
[11] $A$ : There was a substantial degree of tiz skepticism, yes.
t1s MR. GREENWALD: We're still ralking (16) about the 1990 paper, right?
(15 MS. GUSSACK:Yes. Thank you. 16: Attorney Greenwald.
(17) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(18: Q: Dr Teicher the cases that your :is; colleagues mentioned to you they had seen did not isw prompt you to write another article reporting on :2n! other cases in a series other than the patient that ian you describe in your ' 93 articic. Is that right?
124 A: Right.
(2.) Q: Do you believe you have seen this
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(1). phenomenon of obsessive suicidal preoccupation ial after use of fluoxetine in other patients you have © personally treated other than the seven patients (4) we've defined now?
is) A. The seventh patient who was sent to me la was somebody who had developed it before, so it [7] wasn't -
(6) Q: So it wasn't your personal patient? BI A: She became my persomal parient but she nof had developed the reaction before she started to (1i) see me. And -
Inz Q: Let me just clarify that. In 1993 when (13) you report on that patient in your drug safety itif article, you are reporting the observations of [19) another practitioner, not your own?
(10) A : It was the patient reporting the data to 177 mc , but fluoxetine was not prescribed by me; it was nis prescribed by another practitioner.
119 Q: And she was not under your care${ }_{1201}$ A: At the time.
[21) Q: - when she exhibited those symproms that 122 you associate with fluoxetine?
[231 A: Correct.
[2] Q : Doctor, for the convenience of the court
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III reporter and the record, you have to tell me Fm [2] correct at the end of my questions. Hopefully. BI Thank you.
(4) MR. GREENWALD:But, Doctor, if she ts1 is not correct at the end of her question you don't [6] need to tell her that.
П1 BY MS. GUSSACK:
का Q:So other than the six patients that you is personally were responsible for that you've 110 described - Im sorry. That needs to be tul clarified. Other than the four patients that you (12) were personally responsible for in the 1990 f131 article -
It 4 A: Plus the fifth one that was not reported.
tis) Q : Plus the fifth one that was not reported, 116 have you seen this plenomenon that you have [17] described in
any other patients for whom you are [tB] personally responsible?
(19) A: The answer to that is I'm not sure. And 1201 there was one other case in which I raised the i21] possibility, but I was not convinced one way or the 122 other. Other than that, the answer is no. 123 Q: Now, when you raised the pos sibility in [24 your 1990 article, Doctor, and you published it in
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(1) the hope that further research would occur.you 122 would agree, wouldn't you. that the furtheres research would nor be additional reports of (4) individual cases that would confirm or deny the [s] observations?
(6) A: No. That would be the first stage. The iz first stage of confirmation would be for [81 elicitation independently of other cases, hopefully in simpler cases.
not Q: And after that first stage what do you [11] hope for for scientific verification of your (12) observations?
(13) A: That there would be data from an surveillancestudies, that there would be data from ist rechallenge studies, and it would have been nice if IIG there was some data from controlled studies.
I17, Q: Sir, have you initiated any prospective [18] controlled study to test the hypothesis that you 119 raised in your 1990 article?
120) A: I've tried but-I wasn't able to get one 121 funded.
(22) Q: You've tried, sir?

T2 4 A: Yes.
[24] Q: What did you do to try?
Page 16
III A: I have submitted applications for funding 21 and haven't received funding to do it.
BI Q: Who did you submit applications to?
(1) A: NARSAD, the American Suicide Foundation, 151 and through Shervert Frasier to Lilly.
[6] $Q$ : Who is Shervert Frasier?
iff A: He was the psychiatrist and chicf of
19) McLean Hospital.

191 Q: And, sir, have you produced any of those toi applications in response to the subpoena that was inl served upon you? (I2) A: I think it may be in this stack.
(131 Q: Would you mind showing me where they are?
(14) A: (Pause) I don't seem to have the NARSAD (15) application here. But here are two.
${ }_{116} \mathrm{Q}:$ Doctor, I take it by the fact that none 1 II of these documents bears the MHT stamp that was tigiused in response to the subpoena, that these are 119 not
documents that you have previously provided to $[20 \mathrm{l}$ us in response to the subpoena. Is that correct?
(21) A:I guess not.

122 MR. GREENWALD:1'm not sure that you (23) asked for those. I don't remember. Did you?
124] MS. GUSSACK: 1 'm pretty sure we
Page 17

## fit did.

## [2] BY MS, GUSSACK:

13) Q: Now, Doctor, none of the places to
which [i! you made a proposal gave you funding is that (5) right?
if A: Correct.
[7] Q: Do you know why?
[10) A: Let's sce. In the case of the American In Suicide Foundation, Carol Glod was the firstauthor forand I was going to be the mentor. It was a junior Inll award. And they were originally going to award it II2 and then they called and said, well, this is a [13] junior award and though Carol is junior you're too [14] senior, you're too much a professional researcher [15] and it's not appropriate for the category. So they tue didn't fund it.
[17) Q : Is that contained in a document anywhere, [13] sir?
1191 A: No. It was a phone conversation.
$1 \geqslant 1$ Q: With whom?
I2il A: Dr, Gil Noam
t2 Q : What abour the other 2pplications?
II3) A: NARSAD doesn't provide any feedback. 124] They either say that we've chosen you or not chosen
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[1] you. And Dr. Frasicr made a personal visit to (2) Lilly and it seemed like nothing came out of it.
${ }^{131}$ Q: When did Dr. Frasier make his personal (4) visit to Lilly?
(5) A: I wouldn't recall the exact date. 但 I would imagine it was in "91 or '92.
[T $\mathrm{Q}:$ Do you have any record of that, sir? (50) A: No.
(9) Q: What did Dr. Frasier tell you occurred tro when he visited Lilly?
(III) $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{He}$ said he had an interesting [12] conversation with them and that they were going to [133-think about it, but nothing ever came of it.
t14 Q: This was in 1991 or $922^{2}$
if51 A: Yes.
116 Q: This was at a time when you had already ti7 enlisted with Mr. Greenwald to beancxpert witness 18 ) against Lilly in litigation. Correct?
[191 MR. GREENWALD:Objection,
t201 A:I don't know if it was before or

## after:

(21) Q: Let's see. By April 1991 you had issued I\#y a report in this case. Correct: 229 A: Right. So it could have been before, it :2+ could have been after.
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(1) Q: But '91 or '92?
(I) $A$ : Right. Is there a date on it?
(5) Q: Not that I sec, sir.
(4) Doctor, can you identify for the 15 , record what NARSAD is?
14) A: It's the National Alliance for Research in in Schizophrenia and Affective Disorder.
(\% Q: So other than these proposals that you've 19 just identified, have you ever undertaken yourself 101 a prospective controlled study to test the lit hypotheses that you mised in your 1990 article?
(I2) A: No, I have not.
1131 Q: Did you ever draft a protocol as part of 1141 your proposals to any of the entities that you have n!s previously identified?
[161 A: There was probably a brief discussion of tin one in the NARSAD application. There is discussion [18] of protocols in these. But a formal, detailed t191 protocol was not written.
1201 Q: Docror, have any of the cases that have [21] been reported to you by your colleagues in the [22] aftermath of your publication of Exhibit 10 been of 1331 : type that you felt warranted publication? [24] A: There have been a number of published

Page 20 (I) case reports.
(2) Q: Yes, I am aware of that, sir, but 1 asked B1 you about the reports that were provided to you by 16 your colleagues which youreferred to in the isiaftermath of your publication of Teicher 10.
(6) A: That would be my colleagues' decision.
[7) Q: So you were not motivated to report on |s| any of those cases that were provided to you?
(9) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

IIOA BY MS. GUSSACK:
im Q: Were you, sir?
(112) MR. GREENWALD:There's a (13) definitional problem with "motivared." I object to $(14)$ the question.
[15: BY MS. GUSSACK:
(16) Q: Doctor, do you understand?
(17) A: Yes. I think there were a number of (18) cases reported to me that were very interesting and (l9) they would have been worthy of a case report 1201 presentation because they brought up in-
terestingiz1! events, but they were my colleagues cases and it 1221 was their decision as clinicians or scientists 123 whether they wanted to proceed to write them up as $\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{a}$ case reports.
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11: Q: Did you invitiate any discussion with any in of your colleagues about collaborating on a joint 131 publication of case reports that had been reported if) to you by your colleagues?
is A: No. It was my decision not to present (el additional case report material.
til $Q$ : And why was that:
(8) A: The case reports had generated a lot of piattentionand figured that-1 Ifelt the next fot step was to provide information other than case (11)reports, so that's why I wrote the 1993 drug safety (12) paper.
(i3) Q: Doctor, in your 1993 drug safety paper (tu) you offer possible ideas of the mechanisms by which 1151 the observations reported in your 1990 article $126 \mid$ could be explained. Correct?
[iT] A: It goes beyond that. That's part of it, Itsi to offer explanations, not just in reference to the lig] 1990 paper but to reference the whole body of jzop literature about drugs and suricide and to provide a fall sort of composite enlarged theoretical framework pm for howdrugs can induce or exacerbate suicidal 123 behavior, and then to review the available data at [24, the time about antidepressant medications and
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(1) suicide.
(2) Q:There is no original research of yours i31 reported on in the 1993 article, is there, sir?
(4) $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{Oh}$, sure there is.
${ }^{151} \mathrm{Q}$ : What is that?
16 A: The original research is in the form of tre analysis of a great body of material. There's not 191 a controlled study reported on but there's a 191 tremendous amount of research.
(101 Q: Well, let me clarify that. I understand till you reviewed a great deal of literature to report ItI on in that arricle. Correct?
(13) A: And analyzed them in different ways and nitl put together new hypotheses and did that based on tas) what would be considered reanalysis of published (16) reports. But that's research.
(17) Q: Reanalysis of published reports is the 118$\rangle$ research that is contained in the '93 articie?
191) A: Yes.
120) Q: Reanalysis of published reports in [ays [27] that are inconsistent with the
protocols that 1231 existed prior to the
studies. Correct?
123) A: Inconsistent with the protocols? What do f2: you mean?

## Page 23

(1) Q: Let's take Fava and Rosenbaum which vou im mentioned yesterday You reanalyzed that data in a 131 manner which was inconsistent with how if Dr Rosenbaumand Dr. Fava identified their 3: procedures for evaluating those pat-
ients. Cotrect?
? A: No. no. no. not at all. It is not ;inconsistent with their protocol It is not is: inconsistent with their means of evaluating their 191 patients. It was a different analysis of their noo data. Had nothing to dowith their protocol. Hast!1) nothing to do with their means of zonalyzing their 121 patients. It was a different technique or way of 1231 analyzing the published data.
In) Q : Didn'tthey identify, sir, inadvance of us their study the ways in which they
were going to were going to list analyze their data?
ar) A: When you have a body of data, there are tist ways in which you can select to analyze that body risg of data.
Also Also -
rnos Q: They selected a certain way. Cor-
rect? rect?
(2) $A$ : Yes.
t2y MR. GREENWALD:Objection. How about [23 if we let the doctor finish his answer.
(24) BY MS, GUSSACK:
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(1) Q: Please finish your answer.

12: A. They analyzed their data in one uay. ©3 There are alternative ways of analyzing the dara is which may be better, and in this case I believe |s1 that there are ways of analyzing the data that were 16$]$ better, that were more scientifically appropriate, of that were more statistically sound, and that's what isf I presented.
(I) Q: Did the authors of that study agree with liol your approach, Doctor?
[ni A: I have spoken with Dr. Rosenbaum about inff the issue but we never discussed whether he did or f139 didn't agree with the statistical analysis.
ITH Q: You are familiar with his letter to the [15] editor in the aftermath of Dr. Arewerton's 1 日年 reanalysis of his study. aren't you, sir?
In) A. Ive read it. Haven't read it in a [181 number of years but, yes, I did read it.
119) Q: And he disagrees with the reanalysis that 1201 you reporton in your ' 93 article. Right?
1211 A: I do not recall from his letter that be [22] disagreed with the reanalysis. He
went on to $[23)$ indicate that there was a patient whom they [24] included in one group which was after the fact, but
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III I do not recall that he disagreed with the in analysis. (Pause) Did you want to show that to $13 / \mathrm{me}$ ?
if: Q: Yes, I will come back to Dr. Rosenbaum (5) and Dr. Fava in a moment.
(0) MR. GREENWALD: Of course, if we have of it here, then you can ask the doctor to look at it tel if you'd like and he can comment on is. He said he ig hadn't read it ina long time. If you want to do [10 that, you're certainly welcome to do it. (11) MS. GUSSACK: Thank you.

II2 BY MS, GUSSACK:
111 Q : Turning your attention to Teicher 19. [til I believe it is, Doctor, would you turn to page 7. [15] please. Page 7 is MHT000923 of Exhibit 19. Itng says"Ms. F became hypomanic on daily doses of ti7haloperidol 4 milligrams." Do yousee where I'm [1s] reading in the bottom paragraph?
(19) A: Yes.
tan) Q: And other medications are listed there?
[21) A: Yes.
I2] Q: Now, Doctor, it is true, isn't it, that 1231 your patient numbers didn't track the ultimate |24| patients reported on in your
1990 article?
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III A: Correct. There was one deletion,so the pis number -
(3) Q: So do you know which patient you're [4] referring to here?
BI A: In the 1990 article?
(6) Q: Yes.
or A: 1 think actually case 6 is case 6. Do tsi you have the article?
1p: $Q:$ It's right here. So the reference in the no draft Teicher 19 to Ms. F is a reference to patient tin number 6 in the
1990 article? 1990 article?
${ }^{[131} \mathrm{A}$ : Yes:
I331 Q: Now, in the draftyouare reporting that in+1 patient number 6 became hypomanic on medications r1s) other that fluoxetine. Is that correct, sir?
[16: A: Mm-hmm
(17) Q: Does that appearanywhere in the II9 A. No lor your articie published [tr A: No.
I201 Q : - with respect to parient number
6 ? [21 A: No.
123 Q: While you have the article in front of [13 you, and I apologize if I asked you this yesterday, 1211 Doctor, on page 207
you say that these you say that these patients.
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til were free of severe persistent suicidal ideation $p 1$ before treatment. Do you see what I'm referting is to?
+1 A: Free of recent serious suicidal ideation. Is Is that where you re referting?
w Q:The statement is "However, standardrlantidepressantsare notknown to induce severe and ist persistent suicidal ideation in depressed patients in frec of such thoughts before treatment.-
iof A: That has nothing to do with the patients itl in the series. That is a statement in general 121 about the state of knowledge of psychiatry.
131 Q: Okay, I believe you told me yesterday (14) that the patients reported on in this article were [15] free of severe suicidal ideation at the time of (116) treatment. Is that right?
in A: As I put it right in the abstract, free [18) of recent serious suicidal ideations.
[29 Q: Thank you, sir. That's what I was 120) looking for. In the abstract the phrase is "Six (21) depressed patients free of recent serious suicidal (22) ideation developed intense violent suicidal [231 preoccupation after two to seven weeks of [24) fluoxetine treatment." And my question is: How
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[1] long had they been free of recent serious suicidal |2 ideation?
(3) A: That varied from patient to patient. t+1 Q: Do you know how long?
15: A: I would have to go and look at each case [6] and tell you or see if there's a general sratement $\Pi$ in the arricle.
(5) Q: (Pause) Doctor, are you looking at the 19 patient descriptions of each individual to come up [10] with the answer?
[11] $A$ : Yes.
niz Q: Then let me stop you now, because T'm [131 going to ask you to look at each patient a litrle [14) bit later and III come back to that question.
[15] Can youtell me, sir, whether any of (us) the patients in your 1990 article experienced 1171 preoccupation with suicidal thoughts after five or (Is) six days on medication at 20 milligrams?
[19] A : In this article, the patients that we 1201 described, the onset of the intense suicidal 121 thoughts emerged between twelve and fiffy days t221 after starting. So no one emerged within five in $[29$ this arricle.
[24] Q : And in that article those symr ptoms abated
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III in a range between three days to -
(21) A: Three to forty-nine they abated.
is) Q: Three to forty-nine. Although, for (4) instance, the patient you report on in your '93 islarticle, their symptoms didn't fully abate for six te months, you said. Right?

- A: What I said in here was they faded in is intensity an average of $2-$ days. a range of three ig to 49, but they did not fully abate in most |tol patients until a mean of 87 days, a range 60 to 106 [11] after cessation.
(II) Q : And then, of course, the patient you is, report on in the 1995 article is even farther out (1t) than that?
I131 A: Yes, that's right.
t16 Q: But, sir, you would agree that a patient in who. for instance, is taking Prozac for a week (tw) would have traces of the drug in them for at least tig five weeks. Isn't that right?
130 MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
[21) Q: Considering the halflife of the drug.
izn A: On average. You would expece to have [23] traces not of the fluoxetine but of the [24] norfluoxetine metabolite.
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(11) Q: And that is an active metabolite?
[2: A: Right. It may be four to five weeks but 13 somewheres in that range and it could be six weeks.
14 Q: So that, for instance, the patient whose isisymptoms abated within three days still had ig fluoxetine and norfluoxetine in their system at the mime? in A: Yes.
IF Q: And the patient whose symptoms abated nol after six months didn't have fluoxetine left in till their system?
(12) A: Well, don't know. See, when we talk ris about the halflife of fluoxetine we're talking [14) about the half-life of fluoxetine in the blood t19 The half-life of fluoxetine in the blood may not be [16 the same as the half-life of fluoxetine in the im brain. That hasn't been quantified.
(18) Q: Yourare not aware ofany data with (19) respect to that issue?

1201 A : Not in humans.
(2i) Q: Doctor, are you aware of any data in 122 humans by which the mechanism is explained that $[231$ fluoxetirfe caluses akathisia?
[24) A: We proposed a mechanism in our paper on
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II an animal model offluoxetine, and the mechanism [2 that we proposed was a mechanism for humans.
(3) Q: But, sir, that article referred to rat [4] studies, didn't it?
is! A: Sure. But that doesn't mean that you (6) can't from a rat study propose a human mechanism. m The data on how: fluoxetine is supposed to work for ts, depression is based on animal studies that have : 9 shown that fluoxetine binds to serotonin 1001 transporters, or the scrotonin uptake pumps. So itil they have taken the animul data, the basic I: neuropharmacology in animals, and used that to (13) hypothesize in man.
f14, Q: Would you say that the effectiveness of its Prozac is at a hypotheticat stage. sir, or has it 16 been demonstrated in millions of patients?
in) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
ttri A: Oh, you're not talking about (t9) effectiveness, you're talking about mechanism. The rool mechanism for Prozac is based on a lot of the basic p21 research done by Dr. Fuller in animals. And that's |22 true for all antidepressant drugs.
[2] Q: Sir, the article that you are referring [24 to is called an animal model. isn't it?
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III A: Right, right.
iz Q: Okay. Have you in humans, sir, tested (3) whether the hypothesis that you have generated from (f) your animal model of fluoxetine and akathisia is in 51 fact valid?
(0) A: I don't understand the question.

In Q : Have you applied in humansthe (6) hypothetical theories that you derived in your rat 191 research?
Ito MR. GREENWALD:Objection. Do you [il] understand the question?
(12) A: It's not a very scientifically meaningful 133 question.
[14) Q: I apologize, sir. I think that's why I'm list a lawyer.
(116] MR. GREENWALD: But it's a good (17) lawyer's question. But you need to understand it [18] in order to answer it, Doctor.
IIश A: In psychiatry there are many theories [ap about how drugs work, how side effects emerge. The [21] theories are a combination of human and animal, so [23] that we basically know how the drug works in the [231 brain because we've looked in the brain of tati mammals. We haven't looked in the brain of humans
"。
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in in the same kind of way. We can't. You can't cut [2] up human brains and measure what the drug is doing (3) in those pars of the brain.
(4) So a lot of our knowledge, our is: beliefs, our theories about how fluoxetine in man 16 works is based on experience in humans with the 7 drug and studies in animals in which you can get $|8|$ more detailed neuro-
pharmacological data than you (9) can get from humans. And basically these theories 120 as a whole have not been substantiated in humans in \{11) any wzys near the way that they've been tias substantiated - It is an extrapolation from the ins animal data to the human data to apply to the human (t+) condition, and that's what we were doing in that tis) case. We're extrapolating from animals to the 116 human condition.
117 And there is data that targely 1 II validates that humans are experiencing the same ter thing as the animals do neuropharmacologically; but an, the levelof proof is nowheres near as clear in 121)humansas it is in animals. But we have also done [22] motor activity studies in humans as well as motor [23 activity studies in animals.
(24) Q: Doctor, I appreciate your comments, but
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(II) you haven't answered my question. Have you in performed in himans any studies that confirm the is theoretical work that you have done in rats with 14 respect to fluoxetine and akathisia?
151 MF. GREENWALD:Objection. The [6] doctor just explained how that process works.
DMS. GUSSACK:I understand what the em doctor explained. It's a yes or no question.
19) MR. GREENWALD: And Lilly hasn't done toos it either.
(11) MS. GUSSACK:That's not what the [12| doctor said. Andy, if you want to make speeches, (193 do it when I'm finisbed with Dr. Teicher, but 114 ) otherwise keep your statements to objections.
[15) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(16) Q: Doctor, have you performed such studies ing in humans?
(18) MR. GREENWALD: Objection to the (19) question. Ithink the doctor answered it and he [20) explained his answer.
[2] BY MS, GUSSACK:
[2n Q:Sir?
${ }^{233} A: N o$.
[23] Q: If 1 could ask you to turn to page
-
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(1) MHTO00930 of Exhibit 19 before you, Doctor, can you in read there Dr. BaLdessarini's handwritten notes at i31 the lower right-hand corner? Page 14 of the it handwritten numbers.
(15) A: The handwritten notes at the bottom of 151 the page you're interested in? ©r Q: Yes, sir.
13) A: Would also emphasize long t half (9) especially with norfluoxetine ${ }^{-}$and then there's a tho word 1 can't read, "Hlowed by data "as additional nu risk
on and off treatment," parenthesis, "slow (tII onset, slow recovery, risky, close parenthesis.
(13) Q: Sir, what did you undersuand from 11* Dr. Baldessarini's comment?
Is, $A$ : that he is saying is that he would atso the emphasize that Prozac has a long halfilife duration It 1 of action and that this was an additional risk on fin and off treatment: that you would expect a slow tay onset, so you can get fooled, or accumulate over !of time so that youcan get fooled. and that you lat would expect slow recovery which makes the cruz 23 risk?.
i23 Q : What does tone-half mean to you? [2U A: It's the half-life of the drug.
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(i) Q: Can you rum to page 000926 , handwritten 12 page number 10 .
bi A: Page 10? This one doesn't have those is numbers.
mi Q: I'm sorry.
*) A: I have it.
in $\mathbf{Q}$ : Can you read the handwriten comment in |w the left-hand margin?
in A: Too strong, need to rute out nol interaction."
in: $\mathrm{Q}:$ And, sir, what did you understand (12) De. Baldessarini to be referring to there?
[13] A: In there Iused the word, it's highly Hit crossed out but it looks like the word "unrelated," lis1 so that I said The remainder were given other 116 med. icines unrelated to the fluoxetine trialAnd im be suggested that it be changed to The remainder (12) were given other medicines which had been present 131 before the fluoxetine trial." He felt that the izy word "unrelated" was too strong. [21] Q: Doctor, did you as I requested last night (22) review your draft to tell me where the word $1231^{\text {"akathisia" appears? }}$ [24], A: Yes, Idid, and Idid not find it in any

Page 37 In of the descriptions.
I2 Q : However, in your final aruicle, the t3! published article, sir, you would agree that on 14 page 210 you stare that ${ }^{\text {Four }}$ patients complained 15 ) of a disturbing sense of inner restlessness and 160 they may bave had a form of at athisia, parens, $m$ cases 1 to 3 and 6 , which could be a contributing is factor." Correct, sir?
II A: Yes.
trot Q: What was the reason that you inserted (11] that sentence in your pubEshed article?
[121 A: 1 don't have a detailed and specific [13) memory as to what the exact sequence of events was. In4 1 know the question of akathisia was important. 1 isf I
mean. Dr. Baldessarini pointed out a couple of abj umies that we should consider akathisia, rule it 117 out, and I know that I had a couple of tar con*ersations with D-Cole specifically on 24 Ikathisia particutialy because case 1 weite :30 indicating that the patient felt ike jumping out atotherskin, which is usually a telltale sizn of i- akathisia.
t2y So I discussed this a number of times t2d with Dr. Cole to say could this possibly have been

Page 38

1. akathisia. Also. I think at around this time : Dr, Lapinsk's paper on tluoxetine and akathisia is had appeared. Dr. Lapinsky had told me about his (4) observations of akathisia long before the paper was 151 published, so we were sensitized to that as a (6) possibility. so we wanted to consider this as one pl of the hypotheses. And I believe for completeness is and accuracy this was added.
|91 Q: So, sir, it is accurate to say, isn'tit, (to) that in the draft that you gave to Dr. Baldessarini 11" there is no mention of akathisia and in the "12! published arricle akathisia is identified as a (13) possible contributing factor to the observations [14) you reported on in your patients. Correct?
Ins) A: Yes.
Ins Q: And previously in the draft you had IIT suggested that the heavily sedated hypersomniac t281 patient was at risk for suicidal preoccupation. |191 Correct? And we discussed that yesterday. Right?
not A: Right. And that is in the firal version [2] too.
p27 Q: But now restlessness in your final 1231 published report is a more key element, isn't it?
[124 A: No. The statement at the end is
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III "Patients who had previously been treated with [2] other antidepressants or who developed intense B1 fatigue, hypetsomnia or restlessness while taking [f] fluoxetine may be at risk, So hypersomnia and isi restlessness and fangue are both right in the same 19 sentence.
MQ: Would you turn to page 15 of Teicher 19, 濐 the Baldessarini dratuand in that last concluding iv sentence that you have in your draft there does the nos word "restlessness" appear anywhere? tus $A$ : No.
tin Q: Now, Doctor, you described yesterday and |13| I guess again today that these were possibilitics 144 that you were reporting on. Yesterday lasked you t1s! didn't you recall that you had said that tif possibilities mean something less than 50 percent. ir7 Do you recall
that?
t161 MR. GREENWALD:Objection. That's (19) not what the testimony was

I20i MS, GUSSACK: Let me ask the quest ion l2n in-a more current version.
i21 MR. GREENWALD: If you stare it as a ias fact you need to state it accurately: 12+ BYMS. GUSSACK:
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(11 Q: Let me ask you to refer to your testimony $[2]$ in the Ransom case. First let me ask you, sir, the [31 Ransom case was a murder case. Is that right?
i+ A: Yes.
(s) $\mathbf{Q}$ : And you were testifying on behalf of the [日 defendant accused of murder? mi A: Yes.
189 Q: Could you turn to page 86 of the को transcript. In Ransom you testified under oath ito Correct?
[III A: Yes.
(121 MR. GREENWALD: Let me just state for i13 the record that that was October 1991.
(14) MS, GUSSACK: Thank you.
( 5 S BY MS, GUSSACK:
[16 Q: And in October of 1991 you were asked by itr counsel in the case whether you had definitive (18) evidence of the observations you report on in (191 1990. Correct?
(20) A: Yes.
[21) Q: And in fact you had written the Ietter to 122 the editor in the American Journal of Psychiatry in 1231 December 1990 saying that you lacked definitive [2f evidence. Correct, sir?
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(i) A : Yes.

I2 Q : Now, you will see on page 86, lines 23 miand 24 , you were asked What does 'possibly" mean 44 to you?" And you answered, quote, "'Possibly" (5) means there's some chance greater than zero.* 16) Correct, sir?

IT A: Yes.But it doesn't sayless than 50 is percent. Does it?
191 Q: Doctor, refer then, if you would, to r10) lines startingat 11 , your answer to the question (11) abour do you have definitive evidence and isn't it ny1 really a possibility, and starting on line 12 you[13! say 'I mean'probably' is more likely than not. [14] That's far from definitive. Then you say That in us1science, when we say that something is definitive 119 we indicate generally that we have greater than $[87195$ percent confidence in this phenomenon, that we (18) have less than 25 percent probability that this isp could occur by chance, and that's what we mean t201 scientifically by definitive. When we say $\{21\}$ something is probably
true, we're talking about in more than 50 percent, so that they're not the same l23 thing. And what's 'possibly'? 'Pos sibly' means [241 there's some chance greater than zero."
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(1) A: And that's exactly how I meant it:IA greater than zero. So it's somewheres between zero $\{3$ and a hundred, not zero and fifty.
(1) Q: Yes, sir.
:51On page 87 of the transcript, sit, as 16 of October 1991 in response to the question that $\square$ your report suggests the possibility that is fluoxetine may induce suicidal ideation, you are tr still at the position of possible. Do you see [10] where that line appears?
[ti] MR. GREENWALD: Can you give us a (12) line number?
(13) Q: Your answer on the top of page 87.
[til A: "Still at the position of possible," its yes.
[16] Q: Now, Doctor, are you aware of any blind u7 rechallenges done to test your observations [18] reported in your 1990 article?
[191 A: No, I am not.
1201 Q: Would you agree with me, sir, that one of faythe risks of ananecdotal report is that there is $12 y$ the possibility of reporter bias?
1231 A: Yes.
(24) Q: And if you have a scries of reports from
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II the same reporter, there is a concern about [2] reporter bias. Correct?
B1 A: Theoretically.
[4] Q: There's always that possibility, that 151 there is reporter bias when you are dealing with an $5 \overline{7}$ anecdotal repor?
(7) MR. GREENWALD:Objection to the form \{3] of the question.
BS A: It's not the main thing 1 worry about no but, yes, that -
I111 Q: It is cerainly a factor to consider 11: Correct?
[13 A: Yes.
Ital Q: And you would agrec, sir, woul dn't you, [15) that one of the reasons randomized controlled 16 trials are the gold standard for looking at (IT) questions of cause and effect are because they try [Ia| to eliminate such bias. Correct?
119 MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
1201 A: Yes.
[21) Q: The investigator is blinded. Correct?
$1221 \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes.
1231 Q : The patient in a double blind

## controlled 1241 trial is blinded?
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if $A$ : Yes.
(1) Q : And you really can eliminate the issues \%31 of that kind of reporter bias?
if A: Theoretically, It turns out that in :3 reality it's very hard because man! patients know if if they've received treatment or not and sometimes $n$ the treaters know that the drug has side effects. [8) So it's an attemptar doing that, far from perfect.
in $\mathbf{Q}$ : It is the best way we know how a: doing fol that, isn't it, sir?
(i) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
[12] A: The randomized controlled double blind i131 prospective trial stands high in the hierarchy.
[12] Q: If you wouldrurn to page 77 of the (15) Ransom transcript that is before you, sir, 116 referring to starting at line 18 , you see where the in7 question starts to refer to the double blind IIs controlled study? (19) $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{Mm}$-hmm.
n201 Q: And you have explained that the double [2nblind study basthe addition of the experimenter (22) being blind?
(23) A: Yes.
[24] $Q$ : And you're asked what is the purpose of
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(1) that, sir?
(म) A: Yes.
[34 Q: Can you read what your answer was in the $[4]$ Ransom trial?
15) A: Yes, "To keep the experimenter honest in $16 \mid$ the study. We tend to see what we believe. We in sometimes tend to see what we want to believe. In (a) a study in which the experimenterknows whether a igl patient is on the drug oron a placebo, they may [10] say they really want to sec a drug effect, they may [ill consciously or unconsciously, you know, bias their (12) ratings a bit to make the drugs look better. The 1131 double blind condition, nobody knows so it keeps t141 you completely honest."
ifs) $Q$ : Would you read the next question, sir, at $\lceil 16]$ tine 3 on page 78?
[II) A: "Question: And that's abour as careful a tis! study as you can do in this type of situation. Is (II) that correct?"
[20] Q: And your answer, sir, was?
[21 A: "Yes."
[21 Q: Dr. Teicher, 1 want to put before you [23] what I have had marked as Exhibit 21, which is a [24] letter that Attorney Greenwald provided to me on
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[1] October 25 listing information which has been sent [2] to you. And if I direct

Martin H. Teicher, M.D., Ph.D. VoL 2, October 30, 1996
your atzention to the [31 pages 2 through 5 of Exhibit 21, the question [41 I have for you, sir, upon your review of this 15 exhibit is to determine whether you recall tol receiving these materials from Atrorney Greenwald.

- MR. GREENWALD; What's the date of my is letter?

2. THE WITNESS: October 25 Just a few (10) days ago.
(11) BY MS, GUSSACK:

I2 Q. Sir?
IS: A: It looks like e good list of materials $\because$ that Ireceived. Idid not go through to check and 115 sec if each thing that is listed 1 received.
(16) $Q$ : When did you receive these materialsum identified on Exhibit 21? Can you tell me when you itsi received these materials?
(199) A: Well, the letter was dated October 25.
120) MR. GREENWALD: That is a letter to 121) counsel. She wants to know when you received it.
[22] BY MS. GUSSACK:
1231 Q: When did you receive the materials that [24 are identified in Exhibit 21?
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(I) MR. GREENWALD: I am going to object, 121 not really to his answering, but some of the things B1 that were sent apparentily had already been sent. 141 So in answer to the question, it's a difficult (5) answer because we realized also after we sent it 161 out that we had sent some of these things a long [7] time ago. So "received" is difficult.
${ }^{181} \mathrm{~A}$ : The documents he sent on the 25 th (9) Ibelieve he sent Federal Express and 1 received 100 them the next day.
(111) Q: On October 26?
(12) MR. GREENWALD: Why don't we look in 1131 the correspondence file? There may be a letter to 1141 him .
[25] A: Do you have a calendar?
(116) Q: Well, sir, yesterday we described the [17l fact that in some of the materials you've brought tIs; with you to the deposition you have speed messages 119 from Joseph Greenwald \& Laake enclosing copies of $[20]$ depositions and other documents and those are [21] dated, for instance, October 15 and October 17, but 122 my question is based on what is contained in the [23] list identified in Exhibit 21: Do you know whether [34] you received those materials at or around the time
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111 we discussed yesterday or even more recently than 12 that?
13) MR. GREENWALD: Hold on. Can I just t clarify one thing with you? Because
this list also is] lists depositions. Some of these depositions it !61 turns out we sent him duplicates of So when you in say received, are you talking about this package or ssiare you talking about any time that he got any of is1 these things? : M MS. GUSSACK: The package of int materials identified in Exhibit 21.
$i 121$ MR. GREENWALD: Recognizing that some in of the things were duplicates? (tif MS. GUSSACK: Yes, sir.
1151 A: And when you're pointing to these speed (a6) letters on these documents. the documents that had 117 the speed lerters on them that have other dates, in : I basically received those at other dates. Its) Q: 1 understand that.
120) A: I received these documents I believe the pai day after he mailed this.
[22) Q: In a Federal Express package dated las October 25?
[24) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. He didn't
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(1) say that. Why don't we look in the correspondence [a file that you have for my letter?
BI MS. GUSSACK: Excuse me, Andy. The [1] doctor can tell me if l've misunderstood him.
(5) BY MS. GUSSACK:

161 Q: Dr. Teicher, did you just tell me that [7] you believe you received Federal Expressthese Exhibit 21 on-
(9) A: Oh, excuse me, excuse me, Im sorry. fios This is a list of documents that be had sent to me in and that I received, this letter by fax on the 12225 th. He did send me by Federa! Express some [13] documents that I believe I received the next day. [14] But he did not send me this entire list of tis) documents. This was to bring me up to date on what (16) it was that he had sent me I believe in toto.
inf MR. GREENWALD: Nina, let me clarify 1 Is something. That list was prepared for you.
IT9. MS. GUSSACK:I understand that.
tzo MR. GREENWALD: Not for Dr. Teicher. nuSothat we wanted you to know whiat additional p2 materials if any he bad seen, so we asked that that 1235 list be compled in our office and sent to youso [20] that you would have a list of what other materials
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th he hadseen to supplement the list that he had 121 before. So then you would have the total picture is as best we could reconstruct it of what materials ifl De. Teicher had been sent.
IS BY MS, GUSSACK:

I61 Q: Doctor, you understand that your
[7) deposition was originally noticed for last week on (8) Monday and Tuesday: Correct?
© $A$ : Yes.
-10) Q: I understand you were at a conference in ut the latterpartoflast weet:? (1): A. Yes.
(1) Q : Where was that conference?
(h) A: Philadelphia.
(15) Q: Now, sir, you have handwritten notes in (16, Exhibit 12 that you have brought with you and these: notesare recorded on stationery from the Latham (14) Hotel which we all know and love at 17 th and Walnut 119 in Philadelphia. Correct?
(20) A: Uh-huh.

I2I MR. GREENWALD: Correct that we all [2] love the Latham?
[2] MS. GUSSACK: Well, those of us who (2x) have been there.
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(2) BY MS. GUSSACK:
12) Q: And, Doctor, would you confirm for me, (3) were these notes made of materials that you 141 reviewed last week while you were staying at the (s) Latham? 16) A: Can I see them?
I) Q:Sure.
${ }^{18 ;} A$ : Interesting. It's very funny. I didn't I91 stay at the Latham Hotel. And this material is not (10) from the Latham Hotel. It's like I'm getting very, tal very confused. I stayed at the Marriott (12) (indicating).
1331 Q: Can I have your notes back? When did you (14) make the nores that are identified on the Latham [1s) notepad?
$116)$ A: They were made at a friend's house and (IT) I was looking for paper at the friend's house and usithey hada pad of paper from the Latham Hotel and nig I used it and it was done shortly before the ${ }^{[20]}$ previous deposition was scheduled. (21) Q: Shortly before?
iz2 A: Yes.
R29 Q: So was your friend in PhiladeIphiz?
A A : She had been there on a trip.
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(1) Q: Isee. Gan't get anything by us. We't [2] track you down.
[1) MR. GREENWALD:This is one hell of a ith revelation. I'm going to chaim susprise!
131 THE WITNESS: I've never been there, (6) I can tell you.

I7 (Discussion off the record.)
(\$) BY MS, GUSSACK:
(3) Q: Doctor, do these notes that you
made, 1 tof what did you say, the weckend before your [1] deposition?
[17 MR. GREENWALD:Objection. He I13 said -
H.: A: I am not certain but I believe so.
:15 Q: Okay: - reflect materials you had ite: received from counsel shortly before sou made those 177 notes?
(19) A: I couldn't say. I have had boxes and tir stacks of paper from counsel sitting around fora pop long time and a lot of it was a re-review.
2) Q: When was the last time that you received ias materials from Mr. Greenwald's office?
(29) $A$ : Well, 1 guess this letter was dated the 524 : 25 th.
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(in) MR. GREENWALD:No, that's a letter 121 to Nina Gussack, Doctor. That's where the BI confusion is.
(4) THE WITNESS: Oh, I thought you sent is this to me?
MG) MR. GREENWALD:No. That's what M 1 tried to clarif). That is a letter that counsel rit said was sent to her wherein we sent her a list of i9) additional materials that we sent you so that she nof would have a complete list of everything that you [1] were sent. That's whylkept saying when you were (122 looking at the letter, it's addressed to counsel.
[31 THE WITNESS: It would help to look [14] in the correspondence file because you usually put (15) a cover letter with everything you sent.
[26) MR. GREENWALD: No, that's her [IT] letter. We sent that to her. There's no question (te) pending to you, Docior.
(iv) BY MS. GUSSACK:
[ 20 Q Q : The question that was pending was, 121) Doctor, when was the last time that you received [22] materials from Attorney Greenwald's office?
[231 MR. GREENWALD:You're right.
[3+] A: Could I look in the corres pondence file?
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in Q : You sure an. That would be different 12 than the biling file, right?
B1 (Discussion off the record.)
T1. BY MS. GUSSACK:
(s) Q: Doctor, would you please refer to your ${ }^{6} 6$ correspondence file and tell me if you can identify m when was the last time you received materials from [8t Mr Greenwald's office?
${ }_{19}$ A: I see the last date on here was October tho 3. Let me see if on any of these things there's 111 something later. t12t $Q$ : On what things? Sir, we have already 131 clarified for the record that you have received iti) some materials as
late as October 15th and 16th and (199 17th.
[180 A: Yeah. And I don't see anything later IIT than that.
(18) MR. GREENWALD: What are we going to tiv do about the correspondence file? Has that been rao marked or not?
[21) MS. GUSSACK: We are going to (2) identify it as Exhibit 20.
(2) BY MS, GUSSACK.
[24) Q : Let me put before you what I have had
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14) marked as Teicher 22. Doctor, and ask you to in identify that for me.
IB A: This is a letter that I wrote dated April [1) 26,1991, to Attorney Greenwald regarding Michael isi Rosenbloom in which I sute that TVe reviewed 161 material regarding the death by suicide of Michael IT Rosenbloom on June 27, 1990. It is my opinion with in reasomable medical certainty that the drug va fluoxetine, Prozac, caused Michacl Rosenbloom to (10) commit suicide on that date. So it's a letter of tup opinion.
${ }^{122} \mathrm{Q}$ : And that letter of opinion, Teicher 22, [131 coupled with Teicher Exhibit 2, sir, the expert (14! report you have submitred in this case, constinute (1s) the expertopinions that you have submitted in this 116 matter. Correct?
in $A: Y e s$.
H: Q: There are no other exper opinions that (irg you have drafted that we have not received?
120: A: Correct.
[21) Q: Is it fair to assume then, sir, that [2] Teicher 22 and Teicher 2 are an adequate 233 description of the opinions that you intend to pref offer in this case at the time of trial?
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tif A: Opinions on what?
(2) Q : The expert opinions you intend to offer bi on the subject matrers identified in those expert if reports.
(5) A: Well, those were expert reports. The is letter and to a large extent the documents talk $m$ about the case and they provide the information mithat 1 will be discussing about the case. As far Is| as triy entire set of opinions regarding the matter (10) of Prozac and suicide, you know, that continues to tin change with time, and some of the documents that tial were sent may have some bearing on that that were 131 after those letters.
(14) Q: Sir, does Exhibit 2 represent the [is] opinions that you are going to offer against Lilly t16 at the time of trial in this matter with respect to $[17$ whatever issues you believe Lilly was negligent on tisj regarding Prozac?

IIT A: By and large, yes.
int $\mathrm{Q}:$ By and large, yes?
[21 $A$ : Yes.
127 Q: And Exhibit 2 is a description of the 2s opinionsyou intend to offerath: time of trial late in this matter as to the causal relationship
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ii) between Mr. Rosenbloom's use of Prozac and his ia suicide?
(3) A: Yes. But let's say that before trial '/ something entirely new and important emerges in the is scientific literature. : wouldn't exclude that. 10 It doesn': mean that this is set in concrete.
TI Q: Doctor, from your review of the materials (0) that have been provided to you by Artorney 99 Greenwald, are there any opitrions you intend to thof offer in this case at the time of trial that are it notidentified in Teicher 2 or Teicher 22?
[12) MR. GREENWALD: And that he testified (13) to yesterday and today. you mean?
(14) MS. GUSSACK: Other than those.
[15] MR. GREENWALD:The reports and what 16 you have been asking him over these two days?
(17) MS. GUSSACK:Yes.
(18) MR. GREENWALD:You can review that, the if you want to, Doctor, to see if there's anything 200 you might want to take a look at.
[21) BY MS. GUSSACK:
124 Q: Doctor, you understand what 1 'm asking is 123 that these reports are intended to pur Lilly on $124 \mid$ fair and adequate notice of the opinions you intend
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(1) to offer at the time of trial in this matter, and in I want to know whether there are any opinions you 31 intend to offer on any subject matter with regard (4) to Mr . Rosenbloom and Prozac thatare not contained ts1 in Exhibits 2, 22, or identified by yourtestimony [6] yesterday or today.
FI A: I undersand your point. And I want
(B) MR. GREENWALD: Why don't you take a [9] minute to look that over.
Ho THE WTTNESS: Okay.
[II MR. GREENWALD: Why don't we takea (12) break while he's doing that. It's time for a 1313 stretch.
Itif (In recess $10: 50 \mathrm{z} . \mathrm{m}$. to $11: 00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.) IISI MS. GUSSACK:Let's put on the record (16) that with respect to the documents that plaintifr's it counsel withheld from Dr. Teicher's corres pondence usi file, Exhibit No. 20, counsel have reached the tivi agreement that
those documents will be produced rop subject to my agreement that I will not argue work [2] product has been waived by production of those m m documents. Is that a fair statement?
(23) MR. GREENWALD: Yes. That by giving set1 you those documents. we have not waived wors
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11: product, we bave not waived any elient confidence raissue that was mised yesterday, nor have we waived is anything else; and that you will not use this is an foi argument for anything that you may subsequently sis seek saying that we have waived our right to argue (6) whatever we argue based on showing you those pr documents. Okay?
(18) MS. GUSSACK:And I have agreed to 191that on the understanding that if l have an 100 independent reason to argue waiver of work product ti11 I may do so, but 1 am not doing so based on the fizf production of these documents. Are we clear?
(13) MR. GREENWALD:1 understand what Int you're saying.
n1s] (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 20 mas ked tee for identification.)
IIT MS, GUSSACK:Doyou want to place (18) those documents in Exhibit 20?
\|91 MR. GREENWALD: Yes. I am not going 1 ? 1 to put the draft from my associate. If that's plyokay. It is just an opposition. I mean, I'll show [22 it to you but I don't want it as part of the [23) record.
[24) MS. GUSSACK: Why don't you show it
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(11) to me?
(1) MR. GREENWALD: So is that okay?
(3) MS. GUSSACK:Well, ler me sec it and (4) then I can tell you if it's okay.
(s) MR. GREENWALD:No, I want to know if 16 it's okay before 1 show it to you. That's the way DI we do things.
${ }^{(8)}$ BY MS. GUSSACK:
(19) Q: While counsel is pulling out that [10] document, Doctor, can you answer the pending III question?
(121 A: Yes.
${ }_{1131} \mathrm{Q}$ : What is your answer?
(14) A: The answer is that these documents [:3) provide a completeblueprint of what I would be (16) testifying to. They don't cover all the details. 177 The details are in what you have in front of you. [18] There's nothing that you don't have available. But tig like the statistical analyses, they're not 1200 discussed in this document. It's indicated that we 1211 may talkabout the Lilly trial data but it doesn't 122 give the specific facts. So there's no -urprises, [23 bur this is a relatively short
document compared to t24 the volume on the able in front of you.
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In Q: Sir, have you performed any other :a: analyses of the documents that are sitting in front im of me that sou have not told me about?
© A. Well. jou have, for instance, the slides tsi and on the slides or in the "93 drug safery paper ig there is a reanalysis of the Fava and Rosenbaum HI data, so there is that reanalysis.
© C: Sir. I ask you to pause a moment. Look ar at vour report. Exhibit 2 - and look at the ito documents that you identify as relying upon. Okay? tt1 And with that addition, does it help youto tell me $\||2|$ whether there are opinions or data you intend to (ty) rely upon. opinions you intend to offer or data you 141 intend to rely upon that you have not identified tas there?
(IG MR. GREENWALD; Wait a second now, Im I thought the question was are there any analysesus that you have done that are not here, meaning all |19 the numerous documents and things that are on the crat table. Obviously you know the doctor received [21] materials and depositions were taken and things 129 have happened since the deadline date that the 1231 court set for the $26(a)$ status. (ac: MS, GUSSACK:Is that a question?
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II MR. GREENWALD:I'm making a [2] statement. Therefore, there may be things that he i31 has learned from depositions that have been taken 41 that weren't in existence at the time, and 191 understand the purpose of your question is to $[6]$ find out those things. The doctor has also m received additional materials that are not Exhibit wi $B$ to the report, I believe it is.
(M) MS. GUSSACK-Teicher 2.
two MR. GREENWALD:No, but the report in and the documents relied on, that's separate. And 112 there have been other materials sent to him since, 131 so they may or may not be part of what his opinions the are.
ILSI BY MS, GUSSACK: I16 Q: Let's try again, Doctor, now 1 , il you int have had a chance to look at the attachment to ( 181 Teicher 2 , which is an identification of materials ng; that you relied upon in offering the opinion dated Ixot January 5, "96.
[21) A: Could I see the Exhibit 12, I guess it 122 is? I would like to look at my statistical tas analyses to make sure that the sources for those patare enumerated in bere.
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III MR. GREENWALD: Why don't you do
that 12 for a minure and III alk to Ms. Gussack about in these papers.

## 4 BY MS. GUSSACK:

15. Q: Let me clarify something for a moment :61 Doctor You have already idenrified for me the TI dam that you reviewed in order to make your is statistical analysis. so that 1 am on notice about ir those documents and Im not concerned that they nof don't appear in that list.
III A: Okzy.
212 Q: But what 1 am interested in and t 2 ml its concerned about is. are there other analyses that (i4) you have performed of any data that you have not nis! either identified in that list or provided to us 16 and identified to us yesterday or today?
[t7 (Pause)
(1s) MS, CRAWFORD: Do you need the ocher ag blue book?
met THE WITNESS: Yes.
12u(Document handed by Ms. Crawford to 123 Dr.Teicher.)
t23 (Pause)
De) BY MS, GUSSACK:
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III Q: Do you have my question in mind, Doctor?
(2) A: Yes, I do, and we're working on it, (3) MR. GREENWALD: What do you need? 14 I'Il see if I can help you find it. is) A: Well, let me try to answer the question. 161 This is pretty complete. There are two things that may not be in here and one of these is a reanalysis ( 8 ) of data published by Inman. I also havea graph of ig that and I can't find the graph. I thought it to! would be in 12 or 15 bur it's not. I don't know [1] where it is. I had printed it out and to the best [12] of my knowledge put it with this. Doesn't seem to 1231 be there. It may be buried in one of these [14] things, So thar that's one.
(ts) 1 also have liere an extraction of (19) information from Lilly's drug experience reports 17 that I would also wish to discuss as part of my [18] answer.
(199) MR. GREENWALD: Can I just clarify pay sormething here? Does that file just contitin Lilly ${ }^{2} 11$ drug experience-
[221 THE WITNESS: Plus my notes.
[23] MR. GREENWALD:I just want to know t24 how to describe it. Are you going to moke that an
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(1) exhibit?
i2 MS. GUSSACK: In a minute.
Is BY MS, GUSSACK:
(t) Q: If the Inman data and your analysis of it ts are not in your Exhibit 12 where
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you thought it 16 would be, what is your best guess as to where it in would be?
B: A: In one of these piles.
(\% Q: When did you prepare the dana analysis of itof Inman?
inf A; A day or two before the deposition.
(13) Q: Do you have a copy in your computer?
(13) A: Yes.
|ta| Q: So you could generate another copy?
is; A: I could regenerate that.
|16) Q: So let's decide at the end of the Im7 deposition if we haven't found it bythen you will [18] generate it again and produce it because that would ing be part of the opinion you are providing here.
[20) A: Yes, 1 will.
121) MR. GREENWALD:Just send me a copy ina of it and I will forward it to counsel.
(23) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(24) Q : With those qualifications, sir, are we
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(1) now agreed that Teicher 2 and 22 provide the 2 overview of the opinions you are prepared to offer is in this case? [4] A: Yes.
(5) MR. GREENWALD: In addition to all 19 the materials that we have identified in the m testimony so far today.
(8) A: I think the word "overview" is good.
t9 Q: Doctor, I am going to ask you to take a ftof lookat Teicher 23 which I have had marked.
[11] MR. GREENWALD: Currents from [12] September of 1990?
1131 MS. GUSSACK: Yes, sir.
(14) BY MS. GUSSACK:
[15) Q: You are familiar with Currents, sir, (16) aren't you?
(17) A: Yes, I am.
(1⿻) Q: This particular Currents issue of [19] September 1990 reports on an interview of you by $[20$ the authors of the publication Is that right?
[21) A: Yes.
[22) Q: You were interviewed by the authors of 1231 Curreuts with respect to your case reports?
[20] A: Yes, Jack Rosenblatt.
Page 67
111 Q: And if you would tum to page 3 of [2] Exhibit 23 and go to the second column at the BI bottom where it says Teicher," well, I'm sorry, (6) the question is above it, where it says "Currents (5) Do you think fluoxetine-induced restiess-
ness of [6] 2kathisia may have contributed to your patients in suicidal preoccupations?" Do you sec where I'm |3 reading, sir?
iv A: Yes.
tion Q: Now, is it accurate, sir, that you said tan one patient had a driven inner restlessness but she (1a) didn't have any motor restlessness?
1131 A: Yes.
|st| Q: You are referring there to patient number [15) 1 in your case series?
(10. A: Y'es.

It7 Q: Then you go on to say that Dr. Cole, who [18! is an authority on movernent disorders, said that t19) she didn't have akathisia?
1301 A: Right.
(21) Q: And that if it were akathisia, in your $[27$ opinion it was certainly an atypical akathisia?
1231 A: Right.
[24] Q: Now, sir, let me direct you just a lirtic
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[11) bit further down, yousec where it says by the [2] Currents intervicwer Dr. Rosenblatr, and the other Bn case where akathisia may have contributed was the It1 question, and you refer to the adolescent. You are is referring to case number 3, sir?
(6) A: I believe it is case 3. Can I just [7] check, the 19 yearold? Yes.
(6) Q ; In your answer to that question about in whether patient number 3 had akarhisia you say it fiof seemed more like agintion than akathisia. [11] Correct?
It2| A: Mm-hmm, yes.
[13 Q: Sir, your article, Teicher 10, which is [14] before you, reflects that you received funds from [15] NLMH that supported your work in that arricle. I16) Correct?
in7 A: I think it says in part, supported in [184 part, mm-hmm.
t19) Q: And it refers to NDMH grant numrber?
1201 A: 437f3.
121) Q: And we asked in the subpoena that was pay directed to you that you produce for us the grant r23) or application or any documents relating to the [2i] grant that supported in part the work done in
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11 Teicher 10.
12] A: Yes.
13) Q: And I have bad marked as Teicher 24. sir, 44 what you produced as responsive to that request in [st the subpoena.
(5) A: Yes.

I7 Q: Now, can youtell me,first ofall, is is that grant abstract that you have produced anywhere 5 identified $1543^{\circ} 43$ ?
T1m A: No, it is not and I would like to 1. actually check ro nuake sure thar this is i: ital Q : Whether this is what you pre. duced?
(13) A: Yes.

I14] Q: Look at the botrom where it say: MHT, the [15] numbers.
(16) A: 000933.
(17) Q: Right. Those are numbers that were put fisi on by counsel after you produced the document to $(69)$ them.
1201 A: Right. I remember as we were sending it [2] off that there was confusion as to which grant was [23 MH. 43743 and I want to make sure that you got the l231 right form. It doesn't matrer. Neither of them [26] are terribly relevant to this piece of work, What

Paga 72
(1) the grant provided was some equipment including the [2] computer, the wordprocessing software and printer Bi that we used in preparing the report, which is why (1) we acknowledged it. It didn't provide funding for 15 the work, but it did provide equipment that we used $\mid 6$ in preparing the reports.
I7 Q: May I have Exhibit-10, please.
(a) When you identify a grant in whole or 19) in part, sir, aren't you telling the scientific 101 community that you have in fact applied and $[1+]$ received funds from the organization that are II21 supporting the research that is reported on in the 1131 article?
[14] A: Oh, not at all. It is an acknowledgment.
(15) Q: It is an acknowiedgment of what? [16] A: I'm acknowiedging the grant agency and [17] the grant, because it provided in this case [is] equipment that we used to generate the report, and 119 that it also paid for part of my salary. So that 120 some time that I spent working on this was covered [a] by that grant. It does not specifically mean that [22 this grant was written for that purposc. I did 123: submit to the grant agency this as one of the [24] publications that I worked on during the period of
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[1]support, but I did not imply orindicate and in acknowiedgments never indicate and never are taken 131 to indicate that this was specifically funded by (41 the grant agency.
151 Q: So is it your position, sir, that you can [6] apply to the federal government for funds, describe mi a study that you intend to perform, receive funds [沮 from
the federal government with regard to the iol study that you have deseribed, and then use those thol funds for a different purpose?
(1il A:Ňo, I used the funds for that purpose. i1: but that doesn't mean that I could not also do some nal things in addition to what I was funded to do. You (1.) know -
(15) Q: Sir, did NDIH grant MH-43743 have 1t6janything to do with the study of humans treated 1171 with fluoxetine and the effects of intense suicidal 118! pre sctupation in those patients?
(it) A: No.
(20) Q: Did you certify in your grant application [21) 43743 that you were going to be doing research in [22] this genera! area?
(23) A: 1 don't think you understand.
[21] Q: You know what? Let's try it this way.
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(11) Let me have marked as Exhibit 27 -
(2) MR. GREENWALD: 25.
(3) MS, GUSSACK: No, Exhibit 27. We've (4) already marked 25 and 26.
(5) MR. GREENWALD:You have? What are 10 they?
I7 MS, GUSSACK: Off the record.
(8) (Discussion off the record.)
© ${ }^{191}$ BY MS, GUSSACK:
no) Q: Before I turn to the abstract. Exhibit 26 [i1] is a document you produced in response to the 112 subporma with the numbers MHTOOO160. Do you 1131 recognize MHT000160 now marked as Exhibit 26?
114) A: Yes.
[15) $\mathbf{Q}$ : What is it, sir?
(16) A: A correspondence or something that was [i] given to me or sent to me.
[18) Q: By whom?
(19) A: An individual I don't know,
[20] Q: Whose handwriting is this? Do you know?
[21] A: No. I would venture to say -
[22! MR. GREENWALD: Well, if you don't
(23) know, you can't testify.
[2ff BY MS. GUSSACK:
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(I) Q: Is it McGreenery's?
(2) A: No.
(3) Q: Is it Nurse Glod's?
(4) A: No.

I5: Q: Whose handwriting do you beliere it is?
(6) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
$\square$ A: This document describesa 27-yearId man min the -

19: Q: Yes, sir, I understand.
tion A: Give me one second. Okay? - in the ill south of France who took Prozac and killed himself, [12) and my guess is this xas prepared by the patient's :1s: mother.
it: $Q$ : And can you read what it says at the top its of Exhibit 26. sir?
(16) A: Want to give you a weapon for your fight 117 with Lilly"
(tis) Q: Now: sir, did you convey to the author of :19: this document that you "ere engaged in a fight with t3n Litly?
$\because: A$ No.
(23) $Q$ : Did you describe to anyone that you [23 needed weapons for a fight with tilly?
[24) A: No.
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(I) Q: Did you perceive that the publication of a your article and the focus of attention on the BI conclusions of your 1990 article generated some in kind of fight with Lilly?
is: A: No. This woman who gave this document 161 I believe also spoke at the hearing, the FDA ph hearing, and read her statement about her son, and [0] I don't knowif after that she mailed itto meor in if she handed it to me at that time. So that may tiol have been her perception. It wasn't mine.
(1) MS. GUSSACK:1 am going to have [12] marked as Exhibit 27 a grant application.
[131 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 27 marked (til for identification.)
${ }^{[151}$ BY MS, GUSSACK:
tII Q: Doctor, would you take a look at what tin I have marked as Exhibit 27?
Ins) MR. GREENWALD: Does it have a date?
[19) MS. GUSSACK:It is a grant 200 application that bears a date at the bottom in [21) typed version January 28, 1987.
I22 BY MS, GUSSACK:
[231 Q: That contains your signarure at the $[2+1]$ botrom, does it not, sir?
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(i) A: Yes, it does.
(2) $Q$ : And this is identified -

Is $A$ : This is the current abstract, yes.
[4] Q: I'm sorry, sir, I didn't hear you.
(s) A: This is the MH-43743.
[6] Q : This is the grant that is in fact m acknowledged in Teicher 10, your 1990 articie. 新 Correct?
(अ) $A$ : Yes.
Ifor $Q$ : I will be the first to say that I'm not ti11 proficient at reading grant applications in your !12 area, sir, but 1 am guided by what appears on page nyl 2 where you

Say that this first award is aimed at [t4! advancing our understanding of the responses of the His developing mammalian brain to selective regiomal tra damage of dopamine systems. Right?
A. A. ₹es.
15. Q: And that would be an overview of what the follows in this grant applic-
ation?
125. A: Right.
i21 Q: And, sir, you go on to say, I think, that ar the significance of the work thar you would like to (2s do if your grant application is conferred is to ist; test the hypochesis that chronic psychotic dis order

Page 76 i1) may arise from carly neurobiological defects or [21 perinatal trauma?
is A: Yes.
(4) Q: And, sir, you certified in this grant 151 application on page 62, didn't you, that this would 161 not be research done in human subjects. Correct?
II $A$ : Right.
(10) Q: Nonetheless, sir, this is tite grant that ©I was conferred by NIMH and which you acknowledge in tion part in your article Teicher 10?
ini A: Correct.
(12) Q: So you received funds from the federal (13i government as a result of this grant application (14) and you used those funds in part to support the [1s work that you did in your 1990 article?
(te) A: If I could explain?
in Q: Could you just answer me yes or no, sir, 11 is first and then I would be glad to hear your (19) explanation.
[20] MR. GREENWALD:He has the right to 211 explain his answer.
[27) MS. GUSSACK: I would like to heara [23] yes or no answer first.
[24] A: The answer is yes. Okay? The
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III explanation is this is an R-29 award, which is a 17 first award, which is a career development award. 131 It is a carceraward, basically.And it isalsoant4! independent research grant. This award paid 50 is percent of my salary, and that was the time that 161 I spent doing academic work. It also provided a word processor and printer. And what (8) I acknowledged in acknowledging its contribution to ig the published article was that it provided the loo funding for my time that I spent writing this and $[t 1]$ that it also paid for the word-processing equipment 1121 that I used to produce it. 131 It was then communicated to the [14] agency that this was one of the things that I did usi during that period of time as an example of (116) scholariy activities.
and there is no preclusion or $[17$ limitation on additional scholarly activities that 1181 one pursues outside of the scope of the grant.
(19) Q: Dr. Teicher, can you tell me anywhere on ian Teicher 10 that it says you're acknowledging the [21: grant to acknowledge the funds used for word:21 processing equipment or the like?
1231 A : It doesn't say anything specific. It t24! just says supported in part. Doesn't say how it
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1) supported

1a $\mathrm{Q}:$ I see. In the granr application that you is have before you, sir, don't you itemize for NIMH if that you need a certain amount of money to purchase is) rat litters?
19. A: Sure.
m Q: And a cerrain amount of money for pa administrative assistants to run the study?
Is A: Sure
${ }_{120} \mathrm{Q}$ : Does it say anywhere in here, sir, that till you wanted funds for wordprocessing equipment to t12] support this study -

## t13) A: Yes, Macintosh -

[14] Q: Let me finish my question.
ust MR. GREENWALD: Let her finish first.

## [16] BY MS. GUSSACK:

(17) Q: I think I was trying to say: Does it say 118 anywhere in Exhibit 27 that you were seeking funds 1191 for word-processing equipment to support a series [20] of case reports you were publishing in 1990 on the pal treatment of depressed patients with fluoxetine?
1221 A: Of course not.
1231 MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
[24) $A$ : The grant was submitted in Jannary of
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(1) 1987 and that was before I had even heard of Prozac in probably. It paid for the word-processing i3) equipment which I used.
(4) Q: Let me turn your attention back to (5) Exhibit 8, if I may, Doctor, and ask you to (6) identify for me on that exhibit something that you $m$ have ryped here, "Affidavit, respondent Shiricy S. 河 Pointer v. Andrew L. Pointer." Do you see where iv I'm referring?
[10] A: That is a document that was sent to me by 111 Attomey Pavsner or Atrorney Greenwald.
[12: Q: What does it refer to, sir? Whar's it 131 about?
114) A: This has to do with the settiement, i15) secret settlement by Lilly of the

Fentress case. 136 and that 1 guess Andrew Pointer was one of the inplaintiffs in that case and received an undisclosed tivi secret settiement and Ithink this was his wife or tith his soon-to-be-ex-wife who was trying to get access 25 to that setlement or something like that.
21: MR. GREENWALD:I didn't send it to i2a you I don't even know what it is.
[23) THE WITNESS: It's in one of the [2e| folders.
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(1) MS. GUSSACK: May 1 have that back. -a sir! In fact, I want to seep all the exhibits over ts here so you don't get them confused.

## (1) BY MS, GUSSACK:

is) Q : I want to turn your attention back to i61 Teicher Exhibit 16. Would you turn, please, to the rn entry that we referred to yesterdxy regarding m Mr. Wesbecker. Now, sir, you testified yesterday py that you were consulted by plaintiff's counsel in trof Wesbecker?
(ii) A: Yes.

II Q:And you reviewed medical records in that (131 case?
(14) A: Yes.
(15) Q: My question, sir, is what was your 119 opinion after your review of those records about II7 what role if any Prozac played in Mr. Wesbecker's ires suicide or his violent acts directed to others?
[19 MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
[20) A: My opinion was that I could not with a (21) reasomable degree of medical certaintyindicate [2n that fluoxetine was responsible for his terrible t2s acts; and that while I thought that fluoxetine did tal not help the patient and may have made him worse

Page 61 (t) and may have in part hastened the event, there [2] seemed to be reasons to believe that this may have is: occurred anyway even if it were not for fluoxetine.
if Q : And what were those reasons, sir? (5) MR. GREENWALD:Same objection.
16) A: Long after 1 made this slide it became [7] available to me that there was a greater history of ist previous violent thoughts and plans that predared in the fluoxetine. I also thought that there were nol very significant potential psychosocial factors in itl his work environment that may have contributed (11) largely to the act.
1131 Q: Prior to obtaining that knowledge you had tut used Mr. Wesbecker's caseas part of a slide 1151 presentation to colleagues at McLean in support of 166 your observations about Prozac and violent IIT) behavior?

ใtwl A: I wouldn't necessarily say that I used !19 this to say this is strong support We don't have 1201 here a typed addendum of what I said. I presented ad this as one of the cases that has been discussed zy and has zenerated a lot ot media attention.
2) Q: Did sou at the time that you presented (2t) that slide. sir say that you didn't believe there
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I11 was any causal link, between Mr. Wesbecker's use of 121 Prozac and his wiolent behavior?
33: MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to object (4) because that's not what he just testified to.
(s) MS. GUSSACK:I didn't say he did. (6) BY MS, GUSSACK:
[7) Q: I didn't say "you justtestified," "sir.|x: I asked a freestanding question. Do you have the t91 question in mind, sir?
f10) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
ini) A: To the best of my recollection, and this ıa goes back now I would guess five years, Imade the nimstide and I presented the slide once. Although [if I gave the talk more than once, I did not use every t15) slide with every presentation. And the best that [1月 1 can recollect, I ooly presented this slide once. ITl And the one time that I presented the slide was to t151 the talk that I gave to the manicdepressive and tir depressive association, and 1 do believe at that pop time I actually indicared that this was a very 121 problematic case and one that had gotten a lot of $[27$ media attention and one that is not a clear case at [23] all.
[24) Q: Sir, did you ever obtain any information
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II about Rhonda Hala, one of the slides in the exhibit [2 before you, that caused you to cast doubt on the ti conclusions reflected there?
(4) A: (Pause) Can I see the '93 drug safery (s) paper?
(6) MR. GREENWALD: Exbibit 25.

FI (Document handed to Dr. Teicher by: (3) Ms. Gussack.)

19: A: (Pause) I did not receive information [rof 1'maware of that has made me change my opinion on min that.
i12 Q: All right.
E131Just for ease of reference, Doctor, $\mid$ [4] | have had marked as 20 A through $20-\mathrm{Y}$ the 1151 materials Atrorney Greenwald removed from Exhibit 166 20 last night because of some need to review them wip before he produced them and he has now produced [18] those documents with the exception of a draft which (19) counsel have agreed need not be produced. 1 want [20] to just direct your

# Martin H. Teicher, M.D., Ph.D. 

 VoL 2, October 30, 1996Greer v. Eli Lilly \& Company
attention to $20-\mathrm{A}$ through [21] 20 I for 2 moment, if I could. Could you just tell $t 2$ I me whether those are your handwritten comments on a 1231 draft affidavit that was provided to you by 124] plaintiff's counsel?
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## 1: A: Yes Yies

[21 Q: Doctor, when was the last time that you l3! treated any of the patients reported on in Exhibit 14) 10 ?
51 A: When was the last time I treated in: of 16 them?

- Q: Yes. Let me ask it a different way Do ; B $_{\text {y }}$ you have -
(b) A: October 28.

110) Q: Let me ask it better then. Today is the [11] 30 th. You have ongoing medical responsibility for [12] some of the patients reported on?
(13) A: Ycs.
(14) Q : Can you tell me which of the patients, (15) sir? By number,
i161 A: Can I see the case report series: 2. $\rightarrow(17)$ and 5 .
(18) $\mathrm{Q}: 2,4$ and 5 continue to be your patients?
[19) A: Yes.
r201 Q: And you continue to secthemona regular 1211 basis?
:22) A: Yes
131 Q: Youmentioned yesterday that certain of 1241 your patients had had MRIs or CAT scans:
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(2) A: Yes.

12 Q: Can you tell me whether those proceduresi3iad been performed prior to the time that you [4] published your 1990 article?
[5] A-There is a letter to the editor, a [6] response to a letter to the editor that discussed $T$ the neurological status, 1 think, of the parients $[8]$ which 1 think might have a date in there. So pl I would like to check that if I could?
n101 Q: Sure. I'm giad to have you check that, [11] but I think my question is really much narrower, [12] which is: Are you aware whether prior to the time n13! you published your case reports you had in hand CAT [1i]scans or MRIs onany of the patients you reported [15] on?
[16] A: It would help if I could see that and $[17]$ actually look at the cases too. Because what I can (t8) tell you is that on most of the cases we had CT or 1291 MRI data. What is going to be very hard to tell 1201 you is how much that was before or after the case $\{21 \mid$ report.
t22] Q: What would you need to look at to tell me [23) that?
${ }_{\text {4) }} \mathrm{A}$ : Well, 1 might be able to tell a bit
til better from the letter to the editor.
[2] Q: That would be in your August '91 lerter al to the editor?
A: A: Fes.
S. (Document handedby Ms. Gussack to

* Dr Teicher.)
- MS, GUSSACK: While you are perusing (is) that, Doctor, let me just clarify for the record ig that the documents I earlier said I had identified toy as 20-A through $Y$ extend in fact past $Z$ to 20 -AA. :2: I just want the record ro be clear.

12. MR. GREENWALD: Well, that certainly II3! clears that up.

## [14] BY MS. GUSSACK:

1151 Q: Are we clear that that is the right :16: letter to the editor you want to be looking at?
II7 A: Yes, it is, yes.
(II) Q: All right.
!1ท (Pause)
20, MS, GUSSACK: While the doctor is 21, looking at that, would you mark this 25 the next [2] exhibit.
1291 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 28 marked [30) for identification.)
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## [1] BY MS. GUSSACK:

2: Q: Can vouanswerthe questionthar is E: pending, sir?
(6) A: Yes. In this series of cases there were [51 two subjects who had had MRI studles and three $(6)$ subjects who had had CT scans. I can tell you that in the three CT scans occurred before the case reports iov were published. With case 1(9) Q: Say that again.

InOH A: Three cases, cases 4,5 and 6 , had had [11] their CT scans done prior to the publication of the [12] case reports. Case 1. which is Dr. Cole's patient n31 who had an MRI study, I'm not sure if that occurred [14] before or after the study. And case 3 had an MRI [151 study, and I'm not sure if that occurred prior to 115 the episode oractuallytook place during the [17] hospitalization for that episode, I think- So that fist it may have occurred at or around the same time and lig the data would then have been avzilable before the 1201 case was written.
[21] Q: Doctor, I have had mariked as Exhibit 28 [m Dr. Fava and Rosenbaum's reply to Dr.Brewerton's r231 reanalysis of their initial dam, Youare familiar [24) with that. Right?
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(i) A: Again, I haven't read it in years.

121 Q: But it has been marked as Exhibit 28 and 13 it is before you. First, sir, let me ask you, the [4 reanalysis of Dr. Favz and Rosenbaum's data that $[5]$ you performed
is very similar to Dr. Brewerton's. (6)
Correct? (1) A: Yes.
3. Q: And you earlier told me that in Dr Rosenbaum and Dr. Fava didn't disagree with ico this reamalysis of their data, and 1 m asking you |nt based on the Exhibit 28 and your review of that it:; whether fou can see points of distinction that (ty) Drs. Fava and Rosenbaum have drawn as to why $i t+1$ Dr. Brewerton's reanalysis was improper?
(15) A: What thev're saving is, they say that we 10 believe the appruach re commended by Dr. Brewerton (i7) to be inappropriate and that this post hoe change tim in our a priori distinction between the groups tis) would not be consistent with the neutrality of the rapt methodological approach we used to examine the $\{2$ y relationship between suicidality and individual (2) classes of antidepressanss.
[23 Q: Doctor, would you agrec that the i24 combination of Prozac with a tricyclic
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(1) antidepressant creates a pharmacologically unique [2] compound that is distinct from each of the [3] individual components?
[1] A: That it creares a compound? I don't (5) think it creates a compound.
(0) Q: It creates an effect. Are we agreed that in it would create an effect that is distinct from the $[8]$ individual compounds?
is A: 1 am not aware of any data to support (tol that. It may. I'm not a ware of any data that [11] shows one way or the other:Sometimes it [12] porentiates these other actions but that does not n3! mean it is not an amplification of what you are [t4] going to see with one alone.
[1s) Q: Paragraph 3 of the reply, Exhibit 28 , in I161 which the authors say the combination of fluoxetine 177 with a TCA is a pharmacologically unique treatment.
118; A: That generates a very rapid [19] downregulation of beta adrenoreceptors. So what r2o happens is that the beta " Adsenoreceptors 12 d dow: nreguate anyway; they occur more rapidly in 1221 combination. But that doesn't make it - I would izs argue with the word "unique" I would remark that [24] it amplifies and hastens the action, but it is not
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III unique.
[2) Q : The action that the combination produces 131 is different than the individual components taken (4) alone?
131 A: No, no, it's the same action; it just 161

## occurs sooner.

[1 Q: If we include whether it happens sooner [9] as a difference in effect, the difference in effect $|9|$ of the two compounds combined is different than the top individual compounds taken alone:
(ia) A: I would not use that wording. IfX ;12) causes Y and Z causes Y , they both have the same (i3) effect even if X causes $Y$ it occur sooner than $Z$ so not that they have a difference in time course. They (15) don't have a difference in effect.
tac Q: Drs. Fava and Rosenbaum reported, didn't 1 Tr they, sit. that they did not see any intense fint obsessive preoccupation with suicidality as you had 1199 described in your 1990 article. Correct?
1209 A: They indicated in their publication that 221 they did not. Dr. Rosenbaum has subsequently [2] discussed cases with me.
123 Q: Has he, sir?
| 841 A : Yes.
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(1) Q: Which cases has he discussed?
[2) A: He discussed a very dramatic case of til emergence of intense suicidality preoccupation, not (1) on fluoxetine, on the drug Xanax. So he has since is seen what he would call this kind of phenomenon.
(6) Q: I sec. But that is not my question,sir, Tland I really would like you to focus on my question toy because I'm concerned that you are going to be |9! answering things that are not responsive to me and [10) then we are going to have confusion. My question (H1) is: Didn't Drs. Fava and Rosenbaum report in their 112 article that they had not observed in their study |131 the intense obsessive suicidality preoccupation |14| that you had observed with fluoxetine in your 1990 [1s) article? [16) A: Yes. But that wasn't the question that IIT you had asked.
[18] Q: I believe it was, sir. But if not, [191 I stand corrected and -
rovi A: You hadn't used the word fluoxetine, I2, which was part of the problem. I2I Q : And I apologize because that certainly 1231 was my intent. And the answer to that, sir, would $12+1$ be?
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${ }_{11} \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes, that is correct, they did not. They 22 said they had not observed it. (3) Q: Okay.
(19) May 1 direct your attention to 151 Exhibit 2 , your expert report, and turning to page (6) 2 , paragraph 3, Doctor, you make the statement that in Prozac in a small but identified group of patients ma $^{3}$ can cause obsessive preoccupation with violent ir death. Correct?

## tros A: Yes.

tili Q: Now, sir, an you tell tne as best you are u12 able how you would identify that small group of in3 patients, small but identified group of patients? $14+1$ I would like as best you can the criteria that you t15 use to identify that group of patients that are at 116 risk.
in) A: They are identified by their reactions. In I I didn't say predictable; I said identifiable. |t9 They are identified by their reaction.
[201 Q: And yesterday.sir.you told me that not 121 all depressed patients who are preoccupied with 122 suicide and who thke Prozac are induced to be 123 preoccupied because of Prozac. Right?
[2q) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. Do you
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## (1) follow that?

## (2) BY MS, GUSSACK:

II: Q: Do you remember we discussed that 14 yesterday?
151 A: I think it would help to have that 101 specific question and answer back because I'm not (r) sure that was the exact wording.
til Q: Well, let me ask you now, sir, is it your is position that all depressed patients who have 100 suicidal ideation and who are also taking Prozac [11] are induced to have that suicidal ideation by 112 Prozac?
II3 A: That is a change from what you just asked inil in terms of ideation versus preoccupation. There [13) are patients who had suicidal ideation before they [16] start on Prozac and their suicidal ideation remains !171 the same on Prozac. Prozac did nothing to cause or (14) worsen or change their suicidal ideation.
t19) Q: And is it your view that all patients who 200 become preoccupied with suicidal ideation and who [21] are taking Prozac are induced to become preoccupied $[2 m$ with suicidal ideation by Prozac?
[231 A: No.
[2] Q: How do you distinguish berween twho is and
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il who isn't?
121 A: That would depend on a number of factors. 13 First, if there were very clear other precipitants 141 for the suicidal preoccupation and we could is) identify with good cervainty that this is a very 16. clear reason why the patient aas feeling suicidal mand if something happened to change the situation 8 and their suicidal preoccupation remitred and they in continued to be on fluoxetine, I would not conclude "ल that fluoxetine was the

## Eactor

In Q: Okay. That's one way you would say it [12] wasn't Prozac-induced?
:191 A: Right. So the first thing is to look for (14) alternative explanations. If one could find and tis: establish a credible alternative explanation. itt; I would gn with the alternative explanation.
117 The second is how the patient does (13) when you discontinue the medication. If the $12 r$ condition does not change, if the condition 201 worsens. deteriorates, it would be much harder to i21) make the association that it waflooxetine.
[22] I would strengthen the association if 129 the patient had known side effects that were also [3:1] attributable to fluoxetinc, say, akathisiz.
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IIt hypersomnia, fatigue. That would also strengthen ta the association that this fits profiles that we Bi have seen in other patients.
(4) If the patient had in general is) evidence that they were responding arypically and 16 nonbeneficially to the drug, that would strengthen in the association.
|s If there was much in the way of what DI we consider secondary gain from the association, nop that would cast doubt on it.
[ 11 Q Q: What does that mean?
It A A: In psychiatry we talk about factitious (131 disorders, malingering. There are some people who [14] will fake symptoms, will fake mental problems. t151 sometimes for attention, sometimes in order to get [16] out of work on a disability, get insurance money, th7 the whole gamut of things. If somebody was tis) claiming to have a side effect with the idea of $(19)$ using that to get financial remuneration, that par would in my mind cast doubt on the validity of it.
[21] Q: So someone whom you know to be prone to [22] make up symptoms would cut against causing you to [23] assume there is any linkage berween their drug |z4| exposure and their side effects?

Fage 90
(1) $A$ : Right.
-12 Q: Any other way that you distinguish Bi between what's Prozac-induced suicidal it preoccupation and what's not?
(31 A: It would also depend a great deal on $\mid 9$ their history.
7IQ: In what way?
(3) A: If the patient has been in treatment for ig a long time, if a great deal is known about the nom mature and course of their condition, and if this tu is a very distinct and clear change from their (12) previous
state and it really represents a ti3 qual itative change and then after the trial they go [if] back to assuming their previous course, that woutd tisi also be stronger evidence that the drug was causing [15] it.
(rt) Q: Doctor, do all of those explanations also tisi hold true for how you distinguish between whether 19 someone who commits suicide while taking Prozac is tap related to the drug or is not: Do you understand 214 my question? 122 A: Yes.
(2) Q: Okay: In distinguishing between patients is, who commit suicide while taking the drue. Y m
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II asking how do you determine which are caused or 2 a induced suicides by the drug, and my question 131 really is: Does your former answer apply to this id question as well?
Isf A: Yes, it does.
16 O : Is there anything additional you would $\operatorname{ri}$ add?
[ब] A: 1 think that covers the territor): You $\mid 91$ know, you have to change them a little bit. If t 0 p somebody does commit suicide you don't get any till additional data as to how they do after they stop (17) the drug
(19) Q : When in your opinion, sir, did Michael (14) Rosenbloom become obsessively preoccupied with lis1 suicide?
(16) A: Can I check something in here for a 117 moment?
(12e) Q: Sure And let me also ask youat the (19) same time while you're looking at that to make sure 1201 you have Teicher 22 in front of you as well.
[22] MR. GREENWALD: When are we going to [2] break for lunch?
[23] (Discussion off the record.)
[24] BY MS. GUSSACK:
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(II) $\mathrm{Q}:$ Doctor, you bave my question in mind?
[2) A : Yes. I'muryingtosecif in herelever (3) state that Rosenbloom developed obsessive til preoccupation with suicide. I can't see any part is in here where I say that he developed an obsessive (6) preoccupation with suicide.
[7] Q: Well, sir, is it your opinion that he pos did?
I9 A: I don't know if he did.
(10) Q: Turning to Teicher 22, sir, your April tin' '91 opinion, you say that Prozac coutd produce in marked worsening of depression or induce or amplify 133 suicidal thoughts. So my question to you, sir, is the what evidence if any do you have that [15] Mr Rosenbloom after --king Prozac had suicidal (16 thoughts
induced or amplified?
in $\mathrm{A}:$ Oh, Ibelieve his thoughts were (in) amplified; that he had what Dr. Sandler described tıg as inconsequential suicidal thoughts before taking [x] fluoxetine and after taking fluoxetine his suicidal :23, thoughts were so consequential that he killed tz himself.
23: $Q$ : And what is the evidence, sit, that you [24 have of that?

Page 99
(1) A: That he killed himself. I have Sandler'sp evidence that shordly before the thoughts were 3 ; inconsequentiat: then 1 have the fact that they if became so severe that he killed himself.
19, Q: And you are also aware that within the 16 last week of his life there were precipitating $\eta$ events that I think you described as psychosocial jal stressors. sir?
iv A: Yes.
t10: Q : You are aware of that, sir?
[1: A: Yes.
(12) Q : But referring to the same paragraph of t13) your Teicher 2, Doctor, you say that this obsessive (14) preoccupation with violent death can lead to usisuicide attempes or fatalities in those who would tre not otherwise be likely to kill or attempt to kill un themselves.
IIE: A: Excuse me. Where are you?
[19: Q: Teicher 2, your expert report, page 2, INs paragraph 3.
(22) A: Okay, yes, I see that.
(12) Q: Sir, how do you know who is likely to p39 kill or attempt to kill themselves? 124 A: We can assess relative risk.
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(12 Q: Based on what?
[2] A: Based on clinical knowledge, based on क1 statistical inference.
14) Q : Who of the depressed population is not at 151 risk for suicide attempts?
10) A : The vast majority are not at risk for m suicide.
(3) Q: Suicide attempts I said.
\% MR. GREENWALD:Wait a second now: tron Have you finished your answer, Doctor?
(111 BY MS. GUSSACK:
[12) Q: Did you understand my question? [15) A: Yes, and I was answering it in terms of (14) suicides.
(13) Q: But could 1 ask you to do that when I ask [16 you that question. My question was, who of the 1 IT depressed population is not at risk for suicide (18s) attempts?
(เ9) MR. GREENWALD:And he said the great 120 majority of them. That was his answer.
(21) A: No, I said of suicide.
[2] Q: Right. So could we just focus on suicide (23) attempts first?
t2e| A: Yes.
Page 10 :
(1) Q: Okay.

12: A: There are depressed patients who have no til history of impulsive behavior and who have no [t| history of suicidal ideation and who are isi absolutely tocally dead against suicide for any (s) reason.often because of strong religious beliefs.t? and those are oneswho are not likely to attempt is suicide.
${ }_{10}$ Q: Dr. Teicher, are you aware of any Hof evidence that Michael Rosenbloom was obsessively ini ruminating about suicide from the time that he was (12) prescribed Prozac until the time of his death?
(1) A: No.
(14) Q: Did he speak to anyone as far as you know (19) about obsessive preoccupation with suicide?
(16) A: No, he did not.
[17 Q: Doctor, you presented your observations unj based on your 1990 article at the 1191 Psychopharmacologic Drug Advisory Committee to the $20 / \mathrm{FDA}$. Correct?
I21 $A$ : That's right.
${ }_{121}$ Q: And that was in September 1991?
(12) $\mathrm{A}: 1$ believe so.
[24) Q : You were invited there by the committec
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(1) as a consultant to express the views that had [al triggered a lot of this debate within the is scientific community?
${ }_{14)}$ A: Yes, by the FDA. I'm not sure it was by isi the committec.
阿 Q: By the FDA?
ए $A$ : Yes.
ss Q : And you in fact attended?
(玉1 A: Yes.
(10) Q : And you spoke?

п1н A : Yes.
II2] Q: Sir, is it fair to say that the comr mittee ti3 by their unanimous vote rejected your position that inf there was a risk of suicidal preoccupation or t151 emergence of suicidal ideation with fluoxetine?

## In MR. GREENWALD: Objection.

IT7 $A$ : It was my impression that by their vote (t8) they indicated that the data was not yet in.
|19 Q: Well, sir, can you show me any document pop that you can refer to where they say that?
[21) A: Yes. It's in - Do you have the [2])
conclusions? And I remember their dis cussion that t231 they had and basically they indicated that they [24] would need to keep an eye on it. They certainly
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[11 were not saying "Gee your idea is wrong, it has no ia, substance, go anay" They were-
(3) Q: Well, is that how scientists talk to one iol another?
(is) A: If they feel that way they do. They were f6 more saying that the available data is not - in There isn't available data vet to support the (I) hypothesis; that more data is needed, and that at 191 this time we can't conclude that this is a valid n 10 association. And that is much more the way that inif scientists talk.
${ }_{132} \mathrm{Q}$ : Scientists and physicians look to data to n3s support orrefute conclusions. Correct?
ftil A: Yes.
(1s) MS. GUSSACK: Mark this, please.
116) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 29 murked 117 for identification.)
[18) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(19) Q: Doctor, have you ever seen before the $\{20 \mid$ Talk Paper issued bythe Food and Drug [21] Administration on October 18. 1991?
(22) A: Yes. I believe I have.
[231 Q: So you are familiar with the fact that [24) after the Psychopharmacologic Drug Advisory
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(1) Committee, the FDA issued a position summarizing 121 the conclusions of the committer's findings, [3] Correct?
14) A: Yes.
(5) $Q$ : And, sir, it is true, isn't it, that the $/ 6$ commitree unanimously agreed that there is no $[7]$ credible evidence of a causal link between the use 101 of antidepressant drugs including Prozac and (3i suicidality or violent behavior. Correct?
${ }_{11} 10 \mathrm{~A}$ : Yes.
iII Q: You were there when they took that (t2) unanimous vote. Right?
(13) A: Yes.
ti4) Q: And, sir, despite the fact that you told [15) themat that advisory committee that you feit that 116 fluoxetine presented a greater risk than the other $1 m$ SSRIs, the commitree rejected that position, didn't (18) they?
IIT MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
$1201 \mathrm{~A}: 1$ don't see that they specifically (21) addressed that one way or the other. But what's 122 important here is theyalso voted six to three 1231 against making a labeling change because they [24] considered increasing the wamings on
(1) and some of the committee members felt they should 121 and that there was a consensus that more research 131 is needed to further explore all the potential in implications of these reports, not only for Prozac is but for other antidepressants as well.
16: So what I took back wasa very clear mi need for further research and they were not closing [3] the door, that at this point in time there a3sn't th credible evidence but they fele that there was (tof clearly a further need for more rescarch.
Im Q: And the "at this time," sir, that there $(12)$ was no credible evidence they're referring to is 1331 October 1991 ? (14) A: Yes.
[ts) Q: If you look at page 3 of the exhibit that 116 is before you, you will see in the first full 117 paragraph that the comr mittee was asked to consider tis) whether or not the evidence supported a conclusion (29) that antidepressant drugs generatly and Prozac in ros particular caused the emergence or intensification f211 of suicidality or other violent behaviors, and they p2y said there was no such evidence. Correct?
[z9 A: Right.
(2x) MR. GREENWALD:Is this a good time
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It now to take a lunch break? If you're at the close 1210 of a topic, I'mjust wondering if it's a good time.
(39 MS, GUSSACK: Sure, sure.
(4) MR. GREENWALD: Good.
(3) (Luncheon recess at 12:10 p.m.)
[5] AFTERNOON SESSION
[7) $1: 10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
(1) BY MS. GUSSACK:

191 Q: Doctor, we have had marked as Exhibit 30 [10) your handwritten notes that were contained in a [in] folder labeled Drag Experience Reports, and Exhibit 112130 appear to be those handwritten notes and at the i23) top it bears the comment "up to $8 / 13 / 86$."
[14 A: Yes.
t15: Q: Are those your notes of your review of [16] drug experience repors that you were provided by Im plaintiff's counsel?
[28] A: Yes.
(19) MS. GUSSACK:For the sake of the [20] record, then, why don't we make the drug experience (21) reports which you reviewed in order to make those [22] handwritten notes $30-\mathrm{A}$.
[23] (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 30-A [24] marked for identification.)
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## (1) BY MS, GUSSACK:

12: Q: Teicher Exhibit 30 is an attempt to do [31 what, sir?
if A: It was to -
5) MR. GREENWALD:Objection to the word [6] "attempt."
F1 A: It was my extraction from the adverse [al reports all of the patients who had engaged in |r9 suicidalactions or had marked suicidal ideation frof that were listed on the adverse reports up until (13: 8/31/86. I believe.
(17) Q: Doctor, do you know whether the documents l131 that you were making notes of which are labeled (14] drug experience reportsthrough June 25,'89, are ist in fact a document that came from Lilly?
(16) A: I believe it was their adverse drug t17 experience reports, yes.
[18] Q : Do you believe this document. page 1 |19 through, well, it looks to be a series of 20 documents. Let me referto it this way. Are the [21] documents that are contained in Teicher 30 A as far 127 as you are concerned documents that existed at (23) Lilly?
(24) A: Yes.
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(1) Q: You are unaware, then, sir, as to whether 121 documents contained in 30 A represent data that has B1 been collected, organized or reorganized by isi plaintiff's counsel in this case or any other case?
(5) A: Correct.
(6) Q: We have had maried as Teicher 31 what you ri had previously identified to us as a proposal that iel you made to test the hypotheses offered in your in 1990 article, and I'm showing you what you had uol earlier indicated in folder called Lilly (II] Aggression Proposal.
[13] A: This manila folder that is sitting inside ta3 this green folder is not the manila folder that $[14]$ goes in this green folder.
(15) Q: How about if we put the manila folder [16] labeled Lilly Aggression Proposal in the folder 117 labeled Lilly Aggression Proposal and see if that (18: works. (Pause)
(19) Is that the proposal that you carlier 120) discussed you had made through the director of $[21]$ Mclean to Lilly to test the hypotheses in your 1990 iz2 articie?
[231 A: There's a piece of paper in here that's [2+] not relevant to this.
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(1) Q: Okay. Would you leave it in there. sir. |al And that piece of paper is called what?

Greer v.

## Eli Lilly \& Company

131 A: Letters to the editor.
${ }^{1+1}$ Q: Okay. But for the integrity of the file, is let's leave it in the file as we found it.
(6) But the other papers in 31 refer to :1 the proposal that you were making to Lilly?
ist A: Yes. And to clarify this most in accurately, I would say that this was a proposal to tiol explore the hypothesis that fluoxetinc is $[12]$ associated with aggression.
(12) Q : Not suicidal preoccupation?
(t) A: No.

11+1 Q: So you did not make a proposal to Lilly ins to test or - What was the phrase you used, sir?
(16) A: To explore the association between If fluoxetine and aggressive behavior. And that could t18 include selfdestructive behavior. It doesn't [199 exclude suicide, but it could also include other 1201 forms of aggressive behavior. (21) Q: We have had marked as Teicher 32 -
122 MR. GREENWALD: What's 31? What are 123) we calling that? What is it?
[29] MS. GUSSACK: The proposal.
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(1) MR. GREENWALD:To Lilly?

I2 MS, GUSSACK: Yes.
(3) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(1) Q: Teicher 32 is a manila folder labeled 151 Suicide Grant, sir. Is that right?
16) A: Right,
17) Q: What does Exhibit 32 represent?
(B) A: It seems to me to be thoughtsabout 19) submitting a grant to the National Institute of [10] Mental Health, which we never did.
[11] Q: What was the thought that you were going $m$ m to be looking at if you submitted something to the (13) National Institute of Mental Health?
[14) A : What I was beginning to think about (15) was - (Pause) I can't honestly recall what the [15] hypothesis was that we were thinking about doing. (17) There's a lot of notes on here about different [18) things to look at, but the bypothesis isn't (19) articulated on this sheet of paper.
[20) Q: Did you ever submit anything to NIMH as a [2] proposal?
[27) A: No.
(23) MS. GUSSACK:I am going to have (24) marked as Exhibit 33 a folder labeled Suicide
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## [11 Foundation Grant.

[21 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 33 mared (31 for identification.)

## IH BY MS, GUSSACK:

Is Q: Doctor, is Exhibit 33 the other proposal 16 that you made secking funding for a study to test mi the hypotheses that you published in 1990:
is: A: (Pause) Yes.
19: Q: Was that intended to look at suicidat ual preoccupation as a result of fluoxetine use?
(II1 A: That is certainly part of it.
II1 $Q$ : What is the other part of it?
(13) A: Well, part of it is to look for [ti+1 associated risk factors and petential biological [Is) markers.
(t6) Q: Doctor, if Lilly wanted to do a study to 117 further explore the observations and hypotheses trs that you generated in your 1990 article, could you (19) define the inclusion criteria for the patients that nop would need to be enrolled in such a study?
ini) $A:$ It depends on how they would want to do [22) the study. Imean, thereare different acceptable (234 and valuable scientific methods of exploring the 124! question. One would be a randomized prospective
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II] controlled trial. Another would be essentially a $[2]$ rechallenge study. Now, the criteria forentering bis into thosetwo studies would be different.
14! Q: Iet's rake the first one first, the 151 randomized controlled trial.
16) A: Randomized prospective controlled trial. (7) Then again the inclusion and exclusion criteria par would be based on what the hypothesis is that you is have and the question that you want to ask So tiol there are two basic ways that one could design such t1u a study.
(12) The first hypothesis may be to ask 1139 the question as to whether there is a risk of 110 p patients treated with fluoxetine developing [15) obsessive suicidal preoccupation or engaging in t19] suicidal activities. And you may want that to be int general information for patients who are likely to [18] be treated with fluoxetine and so your sample would 1195 specifically be those patients who are likely to pot receive treatment with fluoxetine and in this case |2il for depression. And that would be the hasis for [ 2 ) the sample.
[23. You may do a more firm test - [24] An alternative would be to do a more firm test of
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11) the case reports. And in that sinuation you may be 121 wanting to verify the ourcome or verify the case i3 reports by saying, well, this phenomenon was s! reported in complicated patients with preexisting |s| conditions, often a history
of medication $[6 \mid$ refractoriness, previous exposure to MAOIs, so you mo would want to design a controlled trial that would is) duplicate those kind of criteria and took into that 99 because you might consider that to be the high-risk top subgroup in a sense.
Yis So you could either do it two ways. in.21 You could get information about the general patient 113 population, which would be very useful information fi+1 from the standpoint of the clinician. Or you can (1s) try to look at the specific subgroup, which would 166 be scientifically interesting in terms of festing 117 the hypothesis in the most clearly at-risk group.
Isi Q: Are you familiar with prospective it9 controlled trials that have been done to look at $[201$ depressed patient popuations and determine if they 121 have an increase in suicidal thinking or acts? mal A: No, I am not.
129. Q: Youare not a ware of any that have been (24) done?
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i1) A: 1 am not aware of any that were done that 121 were specifically intended for that purpose with i31 adequate experimental design to test the [f] hypothesis.
(s) Q: Which trials are you aware of that have 161 inadequate design?
Pl A: The trials that were analyzed by Beasley, 18 ) the trials that were amalyzed by Tollefson. Those isi were not prospective trials; those were 101 retrospective analyses of previously conducted [11) trials. A very different situation.
i12 Q: Are you familiar with Dr. Tollefson's |23| agitation baseline study?
[17] A: Yes.
[15] Q: Is that a prospective trial srudy?
[16, A: No, it is not. That was a retrospective (17) reanalysis.
tis, Q: Are you Eamiliar with the War-shaw-Keller [19] article?
poA A: That was a maturalisic study. That w25 [2!| not a randomized controlled trial.
IT: Q:Andas faras you know, other than the 1231 Lilly triais that you believe are retrospective as [24] to this issue, you are not aware of any
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[1] prospectively designed controlled trials looking ar $[2]$ the issue of the use of fluoxetine and the 31 incidence of suicidal ideation or acts?
(4) A: No, 1 am not.

15i Q: Sir, are the proposals that you have 151 identified as Exhibits 31,32 and 33-1 withdraw in that. Let me ask you a

## different way.

[8] The proposal that you made to Lilly, 9 , Exhibit 31, I take it represented yourbest (10) thinking at the time about how to further explore fillthe issues of violence
t12: A: Aggression, which could include suicide.
1231 Q:Aggression including suicide at the time [14] you prepared this exhibit. Exhibit 31 ?
[151 A: Yes.
${ }^{16} \mathrm{Q}$ : And would that be true, sir, also as well it of Ehhibit 32, that at the time you prepared this. (18) this was your best thinking and most careful |Is attempt to identify how you would go about further i20) evaluating the issues regarding suicide, suicidal [a! behavior in conjunction with fluoxetine?
${ }_{[22]} A$ : That is the one that just has the one 123 sheet of paper?
[24) Q: No, sir.
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iII A: One sheet of my handwritten paper.
[2] Q: Yes.And three other documents in here.
is A: Can I please see that?
[1] No, this does not represent any type [s] of grant proposal or application at all. This was 10 just preliminary thoughts on the possibility of (T) submitting an application, which was never ${ }^{[8]}$ submitred.
19: Q: So 32 was never subminted; 31 you believe tro was submitzed?
IH: A: Yes.
Is2 Q:And Exhibit 33, a proposal to the suicide |13! foundation, you believe was submitted?
1141 A: Yes.
[15] Q: Was that your effort to accurately and 116 clearly define what your goals were in evaluating [17) risk factors and biological markers in patients (I8) with fluoxetine-induced suicidal ideation?
[29) A: Yes.
I201 Q: Doctor, bave you underaken any effort to [21] conduct a study, a prospective controlled study to pay test any of the mechanisms identified in your 1993 1231 drug safery article?
[24) A: Have I undertaken or proposed to
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111 undertake?
131 Q: Have you undertaken?
Bi: $A:$ No.
(16) $Q$ : And III bitc. Have you proposed to (s) undertake?
10. A: We đon't lave here the application tom NARSAD and I would have to look at that one.
(3) Q: Do you believe you have that application is in your office, sir?
$t$ tol A: It should be there, yes.
III Q: Let me ask that you identify it and :121 produce it.
(13) MS. GUSSACK:And I take it. |14) Mr. Greenwald, that you would want the doctor to [19 produce the document responsive to his answer here.
[16] MR. GREENWALD:The doctor will send 117 me a copy. I will uke a look ar it and forward it its on.
fim Make a note, Doctor, to look for raol that.

## [21) BY MS. GUSSACK:

(22) Q: Doctor, would you agree with me that your [231 case reports are not scientific evidence of a cause [20] and effect relationship between the use of Prozac
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(1) and the emergence of suicidal ideation or [2 preoccupation?
IM MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(4) A: I would agree they are not conclusive 15 scientific evidence.
(9) Q: And you would agree with me, sir, I7) wouldn't you, that you need to perform additional mis tests or srudies to obtain information that would or be conclusive?
(100 MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
[11] A: Yes.
112] Q: Are you aware, sir, of any tests or 123 studies that have been done which you find to be (14) conclusive on this subject?
i29) A: I am aware of several studies that ith provide important additional informarion that makes im the conclusion significantly more conclusive.
iss Q : Do any of them alone, sir, provide you [19 with conclusive information, scientifically pon conclusive informarion abouta causal link berween pu the use of Prozac and the emergence of obsessive [221 suicidal ideation?
1231 MR. GREENWALD:Objection to the form [24) of the question, I don't know what you mean by
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## (11) "conclusive."

## I2 BY MS, GUSSACK:

(13) Q: Doctor, can you answer, please?

141 MR. GREENWALD:And also that is not 151 the standard for the conclusion. But be can |6 answer.
In A: The answer to that very specific question Is, is, I am not aware of any one srudy that provides in conclusive evidence for the cmergence of suicidal not preoccupation. I am, however, aware of single in studies that provide what ! would believe is $[121$ virtually conclusive
scientific evidence that there (i3) is increased risk of suicide on Prozac.
[114 Q : Would you identify those studies. sir?
tro A: Yes. It is the BGA analysis that 110 ; conductedon data that ras submitted to the BGA.
(t) Q: You mean the BGA statistical an. alysis (18) that has been identified as an exhibit in this ur deposition?
[20) A: Yes.
t21) Q: Anything else. sir?
(22) A: It also applies to their response ts the I23I BGA, which is additional data. So analysis of (2) their own clinical trial data.
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III $\mathrm{Q}:$ Your reanalysis of Lilly's clinical trial ia data is the data that you betieve provides is virtually conclusive evidence of -
14: A: Increased risk of suicide attempts. (5) Q : Increased suicide attempts?
(6) A: Or suicidal actions on fluoxetine.

In Q : Is there anything else, sir?
${ }^{1}$ 涪 A: No.
M Q: Your 1993 article, sir, which has been t10 marked as Exhibit 25, presents again hypotheses of in ) mechanisms. Is that correct, sir?
$122 \mathrm{~A}: \mathrm{Yes}$.
(13) Q: And in Exhibit 25, I think it's at page (14: 187, you identify possible mechanisms that are (is) being offered in this article. Correct?
[16) A: Well, yes, that's true. I'm not sure it7) where on 187 it is.
ths Q: You're not sure what? Pardon me. [19) A: I'm not sure where on page 187 it says (20) that, but that's true.
1211 Q: And at the conclusion of your 1993 (22) article, Dr. Teicher, you say that sophisticated 231 studies would be necessary - page 207, "Very [24] sophisticated studies will need to be conducted to
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If ascertain whether" Do you see where I'm [2] reading?
(3) A: Can you help me find it?
${ }_{1+1}$ Q: First column at the bottom, page 207. Is1 Can you read thar sentence?
(ब) A: 'Very sophisticated studies will need to or be conducted to ascertain whether this is true if isi on balance the antidepressant produces an overall [9] incident rate similar to placebo."
(10) Q: Are you aware, sir, of any such [11] sophisticated studies that have been conducted?
[12] A: No. But this indicates - If I could (131 explain for a second? What I indicate
here is that [14] if the incident rate is virtually the same on drug lisi as on placebo. you would need to conduct very 116 sophisticated studies; and that what I was led to min believe as of 1993 Actually, when did I submit tis; the paper? Around 1993. I can't tell you exactly lis: when 1 submitted it. At around that time I was led i20| to beliere by the Beasley reanalysis that the [at incident rates were largely the same for fluoxetine [23 and Prozac. Excuse me For Prozac and placebo.
23) What thave observed in the BGA data $\therefore$ and in their first reapplication to the BGA, that
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(1) the incident rates were not the same.

The incidert |à rates were significantly different. That changes is the whole situation.
14) MS. GUSSACK-Let's mark this as 151 Exhibit 34.
|0 MR. GREENWALD:Are we going to call in that the red-paper-clipped file:
;ol MS. GUSSACK: Sure.
191 MR. GREENWALD: What exactly an we tiol call that that would be a little more (11] sophisticated? Doctor, what name can we give that (12) file with the red paper clips? Is that the BGA t131 marerial?
[14 THE WITNESS: In part it is BGA [15] material;in part it is Lilly memoranda and $116 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{e}$ mails.
!17) MS. GUSSACK: Well, why don't we mark [18) it first.
119: MR. GREENWALD:But how are we going 120$)$ to describe it?
(21) MS, GUSSACK:I'll describe it once [12] we mark it. How's that?
(23) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 34 marked [24] for identification.)
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(1) BY MS. GUSSACK:

12 Q: 1 have had marked as Teicher 34, Doctor, Bl your folder that contains documents obrained from |4 counsel as well as deposition uanscripts, and you iss have labeled on the side of the folder "BGA data." 16 Correct?
(1) A: Mm-hmm.
(8) Q: You have placed a series of paper clips ls, on these pages and l believe you told us carlier, thof to identify things that were of significance to 111 you?
[12: A: Yes.
(131 Q: Youhave previouslyidentified the page (1t) that you believe you used to generate your tis statistical analysis. Correct?

## ${ }_{116} \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes.

171 Q: Would you tell me, sir, where you
beliere (13 in Exhibit 34 are the documents that support your 1191 view that the risk is not the same as between ron placebo and fluoxetine for suicide attempts?
21: A: Okay. It savs here the first three \#2 paragraphs -
25: Q: Sir. can sou read the PZ number?
24: A: It's PZ2811692. The first three
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(1) paragraphs discuss patients who had made suicide ta attempts and which they wanted to exclude from B: counting in the tinal analysts.
is) MR. GREENWALD: They being? 1 m is sorry Just for clarification.
16 A: This whole document -
T1 MR. GREENWALD: Wait one second.
im Could I just ask the doctornot to is use pronouns so just so we'll be clear who we're stot talking about, he, they, you. We need to know who til you're talking about.If the "they" is Lilly, iffia the "they" is somebody else. Rosenbaum or whoever. 133 , it's just a litule difficult to follow since we |th| don't have the exhibit.
[1s THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
เ1G MR. GREENWALD:Go ahead.
(t) $A$ : This represents data from Lilly, Is was (ter a correspondence from Dr Johanna Schenk and it is t19 involving their endeavor to receive marketing $[20$ approval for fluoxetine in Germany, and this 121 provides a breakdown of attempred or successful [2] suicides of inpatients who are receiving the m31 various forms of treatments used in the controlled ise therapeutic trial, which included fluoxetine.
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[11 placebo, and comparative drugs. And in this they $[2]$ indicate the number of attempted or successful 31 suicides that were observed in the trials that they [t] presented initially to the BGA. And they indicated isi here that there was one out of 71 patients on 19 amitriptyline, twelve out of 1.352 patients on FI fluoxetine, zero our of 134 doxepin patients, zero 3 out of 394 persons on imipramine, and zero out of 191378 patients on placebo postrandomization.
(10) Then they eliminated from that one [iII patient on fluoxetine who ingested twice her tim prescribed dose of fluoxetine plus half a bottie of (13) rum. They concluded that that was not an attempred the or a successful suicide, so they dropped that tisiOtherwise there would have been thirteen out of 126 1.352 patients on fluoxetine.
[17) And that is the data to which I am [18) referring.
[19) Q: Doctor, have you analyzed the data that 1201 was submitted to BGA using
patient-years of $[21]$ exposure?
(2) A: No.
[23) Q: Would that be of significance to vou in $12+1$ your analysis?
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1. A:ft wasn't provided in that doeurnent.
(2) Q: WFould that information be of use to you in in making an analysis of the BGA data?
it| A: No.
*: $\mathrm{Q}:$ Why is that, sir?
30: A: It wouldn't be useful because most of the 77 effects emerged early and that was a problem in the is experimenta! design, that is, that they didn't sol allow for equal time on drug. They had procedures thof within their experimental design which they could til] cross patients over.
[12 Q: In how many studies, sir, was that a [191 design problem?
(1+1) A: I don't knowt the exact number of studies t15! in which that was a design problem.
(16) Q: More than one?
in $A$ : Yes.
(tis) Q: More than five?
is A: I have no idea.
row Q: How many studies were considered in your pa statistical amalysis?
[22 $A$ : 1 don't know the number of studfes.
[23] Q: Do you know what the treatmentyears of $|24|$ exposure were across treatment groups? Patient-
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(1) years of exposure over treatment groups.
(2) A: No.
(3) Q: Sir, did you apply a two-tailed Fischer (4) test to your analysis to either of these?
is A : Both two-tailed and one-tailed.
${ }^{161} \mathrm{Q}:$ Excuse me?
DI A:I applied both two-tailed and onetailed.
(id) $Q$ : And with a two-tailed Fischer test did 191 you find statistical significance?
110) A: Strong trends.

Im $Q$ : Did you find staricical significance?
[17) A: That's an arbitrary question. Yes, I19 that's significant.
(14) Q : Is it statistically significant using a t19 rwo-failed Fischer test?
п16 A: In that sample, yes.
(11) Q : And are you referring both to the (Is) analysis performed for 12-Gand 12-J?
(19) A: If I could see them, 1 believe they

Greer v.
are 1201 both $P$ equals .08 . (Pause) Yes, $P$ equals . 08 .
[21) Q: Actually, Doctor, before I remove this 122 from you, can you tell me what number did you use r2y as a denominator for your amalysis?

## is| A: There were several numbers used
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(1) denominators.
(2) Q: For your chat on 12-G what number of is patients were you comparing? - A: It was the number thar was foreach : 5 group. There'sa denominatorforeach group, so tof there's more than one denominator.
IT $Q$ : And that would be drawn from PZ2811692?
|क1 A: Yes.
(9): Doctor, 1 am showing you the Hamilton tron psychiatric rating scale for depression and lask tril you to turn your attention to item 3 of that, if II you would. Just by way of comparison. sir, I am 131 purting before you Teicher 16, yourslide [14]presentations on your 1990 patients, and on page t151 MHT643 you have a summary of the Hamilton 116 depression rating scale. Right? Item 3. Doctor.
IIt A: Yes.
(18) Q: Do you see, sit, that your description (19) for the rating number 4 on item 3 differs from the 1201 Hamilton depression rating scate, item 3 , category (21) number 4?

123 A: Yes, bur I don't know what this is. [23] Q: You don't recognize that, sir?
${ }^{[24]} \mathrm{A}$ : Well, this is a version of the Hamilton
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[1] depression scalc, but this is not Hamilton's 1962 (2) article. Do you have his arricle?
B7 $Q$ : You believe there is a difference in how [4] category number 4 is defined?
193 A: In this one it says attempts at suicide, [0] 4, parenthesis, "Any serious attemptrates 4 ," Tr close parenthesis. On my scale it is attempts [8] at suicide, parenthesis, "Only serious attempt is rates 4 .
1100 Q: Do you believe your slide presentation 111 ofitem 3 of the Ham D isthe accurate version of 112 item 3?
I13! A: I would have to check the original paper.
Ifi) Q: For purposes of my questions I think we (15) can use either one, whichever one you're [16] comfortable with.
IIT A: 1 think they're virtually the same. |is There is more ambiguiry in this one, but they are 1191 probably the same.

1201 Q: When you say "this one" you're referring [21] to the Hamilton depression scale I put before you, iza the complete scale?
23: A: Yes.
22: Q: So for our purposes now we are koing to
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ia) use Teicher 16 , and I also think you might want to ta have before you your 1990 article.
131 MR. GREENWALD: Wait a second. This in is a loose paper. Can I just ask. does it come is from this folder?
iol MS, GUSSACK: It comes from the m folder you have. It just came from there. yes.
(m) MR. GREENWALD:It did? Okay.
(9) (Discussion off the record.)
tiot BY MS, GUSSACK:
in Q: Before we leave Teicher 12-G, your (12) statistical analysis for the data submitted to BGA, tis) can you tell meand just forclarification I'll ta/ markit 12 H now - what the reference on your Is statistical analysis that you carlier described, 110 what the reference to -Significance tests are im suspect" means?
(18) A: Yes. This is a printout from a computer tis program called SYSTAT and they're providing data on pm in this case a threegroup comparison, that is, [21] fluoxetine, placebo, and tricyclic. And what it [2] showed was that the significant difference between 1231 the three groups couldn't have occurred by chance. 120 P Iess than .04 according to the Pearson chi-square
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II) and P equals .012 for the likelihood of ratio of an chi-square. There is, however, a problem with the Bi chi-square test when you have sparse frequency in 14 one of the cells, so that if the probability of an isl event occurring in a cell is very low, it renders 6 the chisquare test not highly accurate.
IT It is a good approximation, but you [8] bave to know that.And thar's why when I put the 191 data on for the other ones I used the Fischer Exact [10) test, which is not affected in the same way, And ini there's often a correction that you can apply to "12 the chi-square, which is the zates correction, [131 which makes it more accurate.
[t4] Q : So there are some questions about the Ins validiry of that conclusion?
$\operatorname{tif} \mathrm{A}:$ No,
(17) Q: Well. Doctor, if you have some concerns tisi about -
tis: A: No, 1 presented the Fischer data. The 120 Fischer data is not subject to that conclusion. [21) The chi-square data is subject to that conclusion.

## [22] Q: Okay, I understand.

123) Gan you tell me where you have 124 offered the scientific opinion that Prozac causes
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(1) people. depressed patients. to become obsessively in preoccupied with suicidal ideation or to commit 3 ; suicide other than in the expert reports you ve (4) offered in this case?
tst A: I haven't.
(6) Q: So the only place that you have offered ti that as a scientific conclusion is in the context is of this litigation?
is: A: Correct.
(120) Q: Let me turn to patient number 1 in (111 Teicher 10, your 1990 aricle. Sir, do you recall [In that when you were present at the (13) Psychopharmacologic Drag Advisory Committee you int testified about patient number 1 that she basically tas thought for about three seconds that she wanted to [19 take al! her pills, said it was foolish and went no (is) further?
[18] A: That was prior to fluoxetine.
(19) Q: Yes, sir.
t20) A: Yes. I would like to see where I said it (2) but I have some recollection of it.
[27) Q:I a pologize for my highlighting. But on [231 page 256 at the bortom ! believe there is a 124 reference to the patient. Correct?
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(1) MR. GREENWALD:I'm sarry. Where i2 does that come from?
[3] MS. GUSSACK: In the (9) Psychopharmacologic Drug Advisory Con:mittee is meeting.
16. A: That's what I said. I believe it's a $[71$ reasonably accurate quote.
(a) Q: So at the time that patient number 1 felt pl this way prior to her taking fluoxetine, how would [10 you have rated her on item 3 of the Ham D?
iin) A: She would have gotten a 3 .
(12) Q : And why is that, sir?
(13) A: It says suicide ideas.
[14) Q: Is that how you would have rated Micbael insi Rosenbloom the day that he was prescribed Prozac [16] before he took it?
II7 A: Yes, I believe so.
[18) Q: Now, did you prior to publishing the case r19] report have any occasion to look at Dr. Cole's case $10 y$ report forms that he completed on patient number 1 ? [21] A: No, I did not.
[22) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 35 marked $12 y$ for identification.)
[24] $\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{I}$ am purting before you what's

## been
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(11) marked Teicher 35, Doctor, a letter from Dr. Cole 121 to Dr. Houston at Litly dated Februry 26, 1986. as And you will see, sir. that the patient's name has :4 been redacted. Correct? Do you see that. sir?
(5) A: Yes.

161 Q: Do you recognize that the information [7) contained in this letter refersto patient number 1 is in your case series?
19; A: I don t know: It says here that she's a noi 58 -yearold single secretary and this is dated 1986 (11) and it says in case 1 that she's a 62 -year-old II woman, so there's a four-year age difference, so 131 T m not sure if they're the same patient. Now, 1141 there may have been a lag between when he wrote tis this letter and when she was started on fluoxetine 116 and when the case - But this would have been the inage that she was at the time she went on |is fluoxetine, so it would be hard for me to lam indicate. I can't say with certainty that this is 1201 the same case.
121 MS. GUSSACK: Off the record.
[27] (Discussion off the record.)
B94 A: Also they said in here she's also a (24) secretary and if I recall correctly, this was 2
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(1) Ph.D.
(2) MS, GUSSACK: This will be the next (3) exhibit.
19) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 36 marked is| for identification.)
19 BY MS. GUSSACK:
[7) Q: Doctor, I place before you Teicher ${ }^{(51)}$ Exhibit 36, which I am going to identify for youas ig the case report form that was submitted by Dr. Cole tro on patient number 1 , and ask if you would review in it, please.
${ }_{1} 121 \mathrm{~A}$ : (Pause) Yes, 1 see this in front of me.
(13) $Q$ : And do you recognize that that refers to [14] patient number 1 in your article?
(15) A: If Dr. Cole said it did, it does. Is n19 that the case, that Dr. Cole provided this for you tirl as case 1?
(18) Q: You see Dr. Cole's signature on this fis) document?
[20] A: Iknow this is one of Dr. Cole's. Iam 121) fully aware that this seems to be one of Dr. Cole's $[221$ patients. Did Dr. Cole verify that this is pas case 1?
124) Q: Dr. Teicher, 1 am going to ask you - 1
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[1] assume for the purposes of my questions now that 12 this case report form refers to patient number 1. is Otay?
ic: A: Okay.
TS $Q$ : And I aant to direct vour attention. sir, ;6 ifI can, to page PZ590"01. Do you have that page?
nI A: Yes.
J: Q: Do you recognize what that appears to be. (iv1 sir?
${ }^{120} \mathrm{~A}$ A: This is what they call the SCL 58 . It is :1:: a self-rating symprom scale and it is generally inn items, well, it is items extracted from t believe tim the Hopkins checklist 90 . So it is a subset of the 114 SCL 90.
(13) $Q$ : And this is a scale that is used by the ise patient as a selfevaluative measurement?
(1) $\mathrm{A}:$ Yes.
(13) Q:And in fact the instructions at the top 1919 of PZ590701 on this self-rating scale ask the [20] patient to circle the number that best describes pr! how much that problem has bothered or distressed inu you during the past week. Correct?
I24 A: Yes.
[24) Q: Let me direct your attention first, sit.
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(1) to itemnumber 15 , thoughts of ending your life. In Do you see that?
(3) A: Yes
(1) Q: You sec the patient has identified 4 is) under the category of extremely?
Is A: Yes.
IT Q: That means that the patient felt that she in was extremely bothered by thoughts of ending her $(9)$ life during the past week?
tiof A. Yes.
ini Q : And you will note, sir, at the top of (12) that page that this is visit one. Correct?
n131 A: Yes.
(14) Q: This would be before the patient has beear isistarted on medication in the suandard procedures (16S) for clinical trials. Correct?
III A: I wouldn't-I don't know how this t1s) works.
|191 Q: You don t know how a case report form rom works?
[2i) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. That's t223 not what he said.
I231 A:1 don't know how the compassionate use [24] protocol works.
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i11 Q: Well, Doctor, direct your attention
then [p and take a moment to look at the document starting 31 on PZ590693, and starting on PZ590694 you will see [H where it says "visit one" Correct?
$\therefore$ A: Okay, it says tisit one, yes.

* Q: And a history is being taken of the - patient excluding the present illness? © A: Yes.
in $Q$ : And information is completed about the ${ }^{[10 /}$ patient's physical examination?
[11 A: Yes.
12: Q: Dr. Cole has signed on PZ590695?
sis A: Yes.
tw Q: And you see on PZ590698 in fact there is lisi the Hamilton psychiatric rating scale for 110 depression?
${ }_{H}+\mathrm{A}$ : Yes.
[1s) Q: And under item 3, sir, you sec that (17) Dr. Cole has circled 3 on item 3?
(20) A: Correct.
(21) $Q$ : Now, turning back to the selfrating tzin symptomscale on PZ590701,you see item number 3, p2y sir, which asks "How much were you bothered by [24! being unable to get rid of bad thoughts or ideas?
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(13) And this patient on visit one has circled 4, 121 meaning extremely, Correct?
B1 A: Yes.
in: Q: Turning to item number 22 you see where is the parient has said that they were bothered by a 10 feeling of being trapped or caught, with a 4 under mo the category "extremely?
IIF A: Yes, mm-hmm.
BI Q: Similarly for item number 26, blaming t10 yourself for things, the parient has checked $t 11$ "extremely," number 4 ?
[12] A: Yes.
II Q : And also for item number 29 , feeling [14) lonely, item 30 , feeling blue? (151 A: Yes.
[19) Q: Item number 31. How much were you iIT bothered by worrying or stewing about things?" And (188) the patient checked "extremely," number 4. 11\% Correct?
1201 A: Yes.
121 Q: And item number 54, feeling hopeless [221 about the furure, this patient said they were ta3n bothered in the past week extremely and rated it a [24] number 4. Correct?
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in A: Correct.
[2) Q:So if I understand you correctly, sir, 13: you did not review the case report form or any [4] evaluative standards
completed by patient number 1 ist prior to publishing your case report. Is that 16 right?
MA A: Idid not review it, no. Do you have 13 additional case report forms on this patient?
(9) Q: May I have the exhibit. please Exhibit for 36 is the case report form for visit one Correct?
in: A: The one I just saw two seconds ago?
in Q: That represents the case report form for :13 visit one. Correct?
(14) A: Yes.
tist Q: Doctor, were any of these selfevaluative (16) comments made by the patient contained in your 1990 (m) articie?
|1s) A: Yes, to a certain extent. But I don't ti9 know - 1 would like more clar ificationas to her rom medication starusat the time that this was done.
[21] $Q$ : What do you mean by that, sir?
122 A: Well, it says visit one, and I don't know [23] what her medication starus was at visit one. For [24] all I know, and I have no way of knowing this, the
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i1) baseline might be visit zero. I don't know how (a) this thing is designed. Or it may be visit B for 13 baseline, I mean. I don't know if she was on if fluoxetine at this time or not on fluoxetine at |s this time.
16 Q : Patient number I was on medication how in long, according to your article, sir?
1s) A: It says that she received 20 milligrams is first week, 40 milligrams day eight to ten, 60 n10 thereafter. On day eleven she began to experience [11 forced obsessional suicidal thoughts. This led to 1121 some anxiety, that she felt that death would be a ti3! relief. And then it said fluoxetine was 1141 discontinued, So I would assume that it was around its! eleven days. It doesn't specifically say.
[16] Q: Let me show you what is identified as [m7 PZ950720, sir, and you will see that it has a [is] reference to visit two 2nd it says "drug stopped 1199 March 18. 1986."

1201 A : It says drug stopped on $3 / 18$.
(22) Q: 3/18/86. Correct?
[22] $A: 1$ would believe, yes
[23) Q : So if we count back eleven days. sir, we [2t get to visit number one, March 7,1986, PZ590693.
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in the document before you?
[2] A: Yes, that would be eleven days.
(3) Q: Okay. So can we assume that on visit one 14 medication had not yet been
started when this is| history was takea? (19) A: Yes.

Th Q: So we now have adequate clariffication wis that visit one occurred before medication -
का A: Yes.
(10) Q :- and this was information that this itil patient presented and contributed about her own in condition prior to drug treatment -
(13) A: Yes.

114 Q : - with fluoxetine?
:15t $A$ : Yes.
[16] Q: Now, sir, referring again now to the ar letter of Dr. Cole to Dr. Houston dared February fin 26,1986 , you see the chronology in which Dr . Cole I , seeking permission for compassionate use for a [20) patient?
[21] A: Yes,
[2] Q: I am going to ask you to assume for [231 purposes of my question, sir, that this patient 124 described in the February 26. 1986 letter to
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(1) Dr. Houston is in fact referring to patient number [2] 1 . And maybe we can by virtue of the questions in 아 fact confirm that to be the case.
(4) A: It seems like that's very likely.
is Q : It seems very likely that the patient 16.1 described in the letter dated February 26,1986 , is in in fact patient number 1 ? (3) A: It would seem from that document 36 and in this PZ590720 it is clearly patient 1. The letter, lof that's more probable.
(11) Q: More probable?
[12 A: I'm bothered by the age and occupational [13] difference.
[14] Q: Well, sir, it is true, isn't it, that [13 when you write case reports on patients you 1161 sometimes change some facts about the patients like [17 age or occupation or family member relationship in (15j order to conceal their identity?
(tan A: I wouldn't change age on a case report, r2or I don't think that would be Iegitimate. I would pn use a euphemism for occupation so that for somebody 122 who maybe, say, a lawyer Imight saya 1231 professional.
[24) MR. GREENWALD: Thank you?
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In THE WITNESS: III give fou guys the [2) benefit of the doubt.
13: A: But, no, I wouldn't deliberately distort. i4 I would just make it more vague. And I would not is) change age, I would not change gender, I would not 16 | changerace, nothing like that that may in some ml ways be important in terms of understanding the $|8|$ case.
is: Q: But, sir. if 1 understood you yes terday, tro you didn't prepare this summ ary with respect to fint this particula patient that appears in your 1990 i1: report. Correct?
1731 A: No, 1 did nor prepare that summ ary.
iti) Q: So it is possible that the person who did (is) prepare it may have changed age to conceal the [36 identiry of the patient?
It1 MR. GREENWALD:Objection to the form [14] of the question. Anything's possible.
( 19 A: Boy, I would hope-That's not- -20 ), I mean, yes, anything's possible. That is not 1211 within the bounds of what I would consider an plausible. I mean. what I would be more tikely to 123 , believe is that Dr. Cole dietated thisletter and 120 that he was not checking his records carefully and
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(1) that he approximated her age in this letter, and ia that in this letter to get her into a compassionate bl use crial it wasn't critical whether she was 58 or 4462 .
is $\mathrm{Q}:$ In this letter Dr. Cole says thatthe 16 patient has failed at least six tricyclics and two m MAO inhibitors, Xanax, adinazolam,oxaproriline. (w) lithium, Teg. retol, Depakote, and ECT?
iv1 A: Yes
(10) Q: Now, sir, that is generally consistent (11) with the description of patient number 1 being 121 extremelytreatmentrefractory ${ }^{2}$
113) A: Except that adinazolam and oxaprotiline (14) are not licensed. But it does say five [15] investigational compounds. 50 that's consistent. 180 And those are investigational drugs.
[II) Q: So it appears quite likely that this Ifs: letter is in fact referring to patient number 1 ?
191 A: Can I take one second? For my own [20] clarification.
[21) Q: Sure.
${ }_{1221} \mathrm{~A}:$ It does have the date of birth on here. [231 I would like to know how old she really was. She [2d was 61-1/2.
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ill Q: So now you're more assured that it is -
[2] A: Well, again, that has to do with this, (3) But this fits with that, and that is further (4) confirmation.
Is Q : That flurry of pronouns has confused me. (6] But can we agree that this letter appears to be a $m$ description of patient number 1 ?
(to) A: Yes.
19 Q: By Dr. Cole to Dr. Houston?

1101 A : Yes.
(III Q: Okay.
|12| I referyouto the first paragraph of (133) the letter where Dr. Cole describes the patient. It it quote. "She occasionally (about one day in 40 ) has 1551 a good day or two but mainly she barely survives at [16] work and spends her free time in bed. depressed and 117 helpless"?
(is) $A$ : Yes.
(19) Q: Were you familiar with that description |mo of this patient prior to her treatment with Prozac. [21] sir?
\& A: I had not seen this lerter.
i23 Q: Do you see, sir, in the sentence that 1241 I eartier read to you where Dr. Cole says that this
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(1) patient has failed ECT?
i2) A: Yes. You mean the second part of it 13) where it says antidepressant history?
(4) Q: No, sir. In the first paragraph, -She ts) has failed on at least six tricyclics" and the end igi of that sentence "and ECT."
$\square$ A: Yes, yes.
(s) Q: It is true, sir, isn't it, that your 1990 191 article states that she had a moderate response to 10 ECT?
[11) $A$ : Yes, it does.
II2 Q : We could have facilitated this for you a !13, little bit because I knew there wasa code I was (ty) looking for. You will sce in the right-hand comer t151 of Teicher 35 there is a reference to 022 and $135[16]$ and those match the code numbers for -
117) A: I see the 022, yes.

1189 Q: And the 135 right under it? It matches [19] the patient number on the case report that you have 120 before you. [21] A: Oh, this sheet has a 135. The other ones [227 don't have the 135, they have the 022. Bur, okay.
(23) Q: And in fact Dr. Cole's letter states that [24] she failed ECT?
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(1) A : Yes.
(2) Q: Does it anywhere in your summary of 131 patient number 1 appearing in your 1990 article [4] talk about how treatmentrefractory this patient |5| was?
(6) A: I'm not sure.
[1] $Q$ : You're not sure?
(8) A: It ceraainly does. It said "Previous th treatment trials have included most available thon tricyclic antidepressants, phenelzine, tul tranylcypromine, trazodone, alprazolam, [12] carbamazepine, lithium, methylphenidate, and five (133 investigational compounds." And then it says 141 Mis. A had a moderate response to a course of ECT Its) and to a trial of moxapine but the benefits were [16]
short-lived." So basically that indicates that she ini had been on virtually every known treatment and tist that you an infer from that she's treatment- [ig! refractory
i20, Q: Could you say from that that she's [21) extremely treatment-refractory?
i2: $A$ : Yes.
I23 Q: And the list of medications attached to (22;) Dr. Cole's alert of February 26. 1986. would be a
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i1) List of the antidepressants she has wken and 24 tailed presumably in the course of treating her (3) depression?
(4) A: These would be certainly the |S| antidepressants that she was on during the course 阿 of treatment.
ITO: Doctor, so that you can refer to pages in (s) Teicher 18, drafts of your case reports, if you (9) turn to page MHT687, you will see the entry about trof five lines down where it says ETOH?
itil $A$ : Where?
(12) $Q$ : about five lines down.

113 A: Yes.
(14) $Q$ : What does that stand for?
[159 A: Alcohol.
[19 Q: Drinks to escape?
in $A$ : Yes.
t2ib) Q: And then it says "drinks for relief. tig helps a bit with depression"?
[20) A: Yes.
[21) Q: Do you know, sir, whether this patient [23 was drinking during the tirne that she was taking 1231 fooxetine?
[24 A: It really doesn't say in the case
Page 150
(1) report. Does it?
[म] Q: No, sir, not that I know of.
B1 A: I didn't see it.
14 Q : About eleven lines down you see there is is a reference hospital, h-o-sp?
10. A: Yeah, times 16 months.

T1 Q: Does that mean that this patient had been $[3\}$ hospitalized ara Yale facility for sixteen months?
(I) A: Probably.
nos Q: Do you know when that hospitatization [11) occurred?
II2 A : It doesn't give a date.
[I3] Q: Sir, after the word "Yaie" above the line [14] it says "and here," meaning Mclean?
[IST A : This thing above the X , Im not sure what 146 that would say. What it looked like to me on first (1) glance was the word when." So I think that the [18] person who wrote this, which was Carol Glod, wanted 119 to know when that hospitalization was.
[20, Q: And these are Carol Glod's notes on t21 patient number 1. Correct? [22) $A$ : Yes.
2\% Q: Onpage 687 at the bortom you see the :2t reference where it says 'Somewhat fumpe on
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1) Wellbutrin, agitared, but no forced suicidal??
is $A$ : Yes.
13: Q: Do you know what that is a reference to. 14: sir?
$\pm$ A: tt seems to indicate what her response $\mid 61$ was to the drug Wellbutrin. [7] Q: Do you know when she had that response to t81 the drug Wellbutrin?
int A: No. 1 don't. It is not in the list of tio! antidepressant history that was provided before, so [11 my guess would be that it was after fluoxetine. (123 WelIbutrin was not available, it was approved about t131 the same time as fluoxetine, so ft wouldn't have [1w been on the market yet.
[15] Q: This was a patient who was so discouraged 166 that she couldn't participate in another controlled ing clinical trial and that's why Dr. Cole sought to [181 get her Prozac on a compassionate basis? 1191 MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
$1: 2$ A: It seems to be what Dr. Cole was implying 211 in his letter.
I23 Q:In a letter to the editor in the American 1231 Journal of Psychiatry in September '91 the [24] possibility was raised, was it not, that the active
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(I) metabolites of amoxapine remained in her system [2] when she began her Prozac treatment and that the 131 akathisia described with respect to patient number 41 may have resulted from that interaction. Do you 151 recall that?
16] A. Vaguely, yes.
(2) Q:I believe 1 handed to you earlier your af August ' 91 letter to the editor in the American [9 Journal of Psychiarry in which you reported that trop patient A had an abnormal waking EEG and MRI tuif findings that were, quote, compatible swith areas (12) of glyosis most likely due to microvascular (133) disease?
ti+1 A:I remember reading that, yes. I remember 1151 reading something like that, yes.
116) Q: And that she showed, quote, mild diffuse ull atrophic changes throughout the cerebrum?
[15) A: Yes.
th9: Q: Now, sir, did you consider what effect 120 this evidence of brain disease had on patient 1's [21] response to fluoxetine?
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I27) A: What I had said before was I wasn't sure (23) whether those neurological assessments were done i24| before or after she received fluoxetine. I think:
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In in the response to the letters to the editorwe didial talk about the possibiliry that patients who have 131 CNS abnormalities or abnormalities in their limbic (H) S)stem may be at increased risk.
is $O$ : At increased risk for?
soi A: For having an adverse response to 7) fluoxetine Can 1 secthar just to get the -?
(8) $\mathrm{Q}:$ The letter to the editor?
iv A: Yes. Just to get the wording more thol precise. (Pause) So basically 1 state here that t14 "While we agree that limbic system dysfunction may $12 \geqslant$ be a sig. nificant risk factor," so
113) Q: Were you finished?
(14) A: Yes.
(15) Q : Now, Doctor, turning to patient number 2 t16 in your case report, you identified this individual (17) as having a 21 -year history of dysthymia and (1s) episodic major depression without suicidal ideation [19) and managed by psychotherapy. Correct?
$130 \mid \mathrm{A}:$ Yes.
Fal) Q: 1 believe you also referenced that after 122 six months on Marplan he had passive suicidal (231) thoughts?
(2it A: Right.
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(1) Q: How long did he have those suicidal 12 thoughts for?
13. $A$ : It doesn't say in the arricle.
if) Q: Can you tell by looking at your notes or is: detailed synopses, Exhibit 18?
161 A: Let me look. (Pause) I indicated that rp he had some suicidal thoughts on $6 / 2$, on June 2 nd, t日j and that they were not active. There were no in further comments about suicidal thinking until ttol $7 / 15$, and I can't tell you from this whether they inil had gone zway or not. My guess is that they [t2] probably were present to a small extent.
i13) $Q$ : You believe that these suicidal thoughts |h1 were present on June 2nd, did you say?
[15: A: OnJune 2 it says "some suicidal (16] thoughts." And that would be after the And 177 passive suicidal thoughts, yes.
I181 $Q$ : And that is two weeks before he starts on (19) Prozac. Correct?
t20) A: Yes.
[21] Q: So although the article refers to him as 122 being without suicidal ideation, we know from 1231 referring to MHT675, Exhibit 18, that -
[24) A: Wait a second, wait a second. Where does

Page 155 in the arricle say that he was without suicidal [2] ideation?
3: Q: Referto your summary of patien? ?
It+ A: It doesn't say that he was withour. It I51 says but no previous suicidal ideation" up in the (6) top, in the third tine of the description. And on then he had no suicidal ideation until be developed (31 these passive suicidal thoughts.
19: Q: Do you say that in the articie, sir? 10) A: Yes, yes.
(1i1) Q: Could you tell me where. sin?
(12) A: Sure. It starts out that he's a 39 year-1131old man with a 21-yearhistory of dysthymia and It1 episodic major depression but no previous suicidal [151 ideation. He had managed successfully with [16] psychotherapy until two years ago when his un depression worsened. It goes on to say that he got [18) a therapeutic trial of isocarboxazid and then he lig1 developed tolerance to this. he became more [20] depressed, and he developed some passive suicidal $[21$ thoughts. So up until that time he had been (a2) somebody without a history of suicidal thinking: [23! now this is the first time that we'reaware of in 12 ef his clinical history that he had -
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(II) Q: Two weeks before be starts on Prozac?
121 A: Yes.
is) Q: Okay, I'm with you.
if) However, I am a limle confused by ist the reference on page 209 of Exhibit 10 where you (6) say "One patient had no prior suicidal ideation m (case 2).- Do you sec where I'm reading from, (b) under Discussion?
BI A: Under Discussion? Where is that? [1201 Q: Under Discussion, in the first [II] paragraph.
firl A: (Pause) I see that, yes.
(13) Q: And again on page 209, sir, in the second [tit column almost towards the bottom of the third [as paragraph you referto, quote, Patient 2, who had t10] no prior suicidal thoughts." Do you see where I'm (i) referring?
tis A: Yes.
119 Q : So this summary does not say this patient ryor had no prior suicidal thoug. hits until rwo weeks [21) before he started Prozac. It says he had no prior $12 z$ suicidal thoughts. Are we on the same wavelength [23) here?
[24| A: Were on the same wavelength.
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III Q: Okay, Now, sir, referring to page
681.121 you indicate in your notes that prior to Prozac 13 administration to patient number 2, Stelazine was iH ad ded. Correct?
is) A: 681?
(6) Q: Page 681, (Pause) 681 refers to trifluoperazine.
[8] A: Yes.
til Q:That's Stelazine?
${ }_{\text {in }} \mathrm{A}$ : Yes.
[II) Q: That was added prior to Prozac? (1) A: Yes, that was added before the Prozacas [is)anattempt to porentiate the isocarboxazid.
[14) $Q$ : Stelazine is a neuroleptic?
( (t) A: Yes.
ng Q: Now, you recall that in the subpoena we ti7 served upon you, sir (Pause)
(ti8) In the subpoena that we served on (19) you, Doctor, we requested you to produce all 1201 documents that you had in yourpossession [2ureflecting comments made by your peers at Harvard. 1221 Do you recall?
[23) MR. GREENWALD:Do you want to show (24) him that?
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(II MS. GUSSACK: Category number 3 .
(2) A: Yes, I see that,
B) Q: And also, sir, in category number 36 we f4 asked for any records of notes. referral notes, 151 correspondence that you had related to patients A (6) through F
(7) A: Right. I see that.
(\$) Q: Which, for purposes of consistencytoday, (9) we're referring to patients I through 6. Correct?
tio A: Yes.
(ili) Q: And you did not produce any documents [12] responsive to that, did you, sir?
(13) A: Do we have the response letter here?
(11) MA, GREENWALD: We do.
(15) (Document handed to Dr. Teicher by: [16] Mr. Greenwald.)
(17) A: So 36 was opposed and 23 was to my [18] knowledge, no internal peer review.
(19) $Q$ : What number are we up to?

I20|MR. GREENWALD: Can we take a break? [2n) I would like to take a bathroom break.
[22] MS, GUSSACK: Sure.
[231 MR. GREENWALD: Thank you.
[24] (In recess 2:45 p.m. to $2: 55$ p.m.)
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in BY MS. GUSSACK:
[21 Q: Doctor, you produced documents in (3) response to item 9 of the subpoena, which sought 14$]$ all correspondence sent to or received from 151 psychiatrists. physicians, or mental health 169 professionals including referral notes. In consultation reports, notes and letters reterring (3) or relating to the article published in the 191 American Journal of Psychiatry, patients A 101 through F?
(11) A: Number 9?
${ }^{\text {in }} \mathrm{I}$ ) Q : Yes. You are familiar with that request?
as: A : I'm fimiliar with the request. I'm (1) urying to see what our response was. (15) MR. GREENWALD: Where is the thing he [16 was just looking at? (Pause) Okay, here it is. (17) Item 9, isn't that the stuff that was redacted?
(tbi) THE WITNESS: A lot of that, I guess (19) I sent -1 tried to respond to it, sent what we [201 had.
[21] BY MS, GUSSACK:
(2) Q: Now, you've told me you know 1241 Dr. Rosenbaum at Harvard. Right, Doctor?
[24] A: Yes.
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III Q: He sent you a letter about patient number (2) 2, didn't he?
(3) A: Yes, he did.
(4) Q: But you didn't produce that to us, did is you, sir?
${ }^{\text {(6) }}$ A: No. That would have been confidential.
II Q: Well, Doctor, I have had marked as 18) Teicher No. 37 and am putting before you a letter 198 dated November 21,1991, to you from Dr. Jerrold 101 Rosenbaum. Does this letter-
(III MR. GREENWALD: Now, may I ask from 112 where this letter comes?
1131 MS. GUSSACK:Do you have some (14) question about the authenticity?
(15) MR. GREENWALD:I just want to know 16 ) where it came from.
(17) MS, GUSSACK: Well, Idon't think [: 1 ) that's a pertinent question right now.
[19] MR. GREENWALD: 1 think it is 120 ] extremely pertinent if it was confidential [2] information. In fact, I can't think of a more 1221 pertineut question. If Dr.Rosenbaumprovided that 1231 letterto you and breached a confidence, I think [24] that is very significant.
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(1) BY MS. GUSSACK:
12) Q: You will sec that the letter dated (3) November 21, 1991, has deleted any patient names or (t) identifying factors. Correct. Doctor?

## A: Yes.

15) Q: And this is a copy of a letter that you In received at or around the time of Norember 21, 81 1991?
(1) A: Yes.
ivi, Q: Now, sir. you see in the firs paragraph ;il, that Dr. Rosenbaum was adrising you that he saw (I2) patient number 2 in consultation on January 2 (13) 1989. Correct?
[16) A: Yes. 1 referred him to him for [151 consultation.
16: Q: You referred patient number 2 to
:- $D$ : Rosenbaum for consultation?
©5: $A$ : Yes.
ir9 Q: Okay, And that visit, by the way, 209 January 24,1989 , or that consult occurred prior to [21] the publication of your 1990 article. Correct? [22] Your article is dated February 1990, isn't it?
[23] A: No, no, the articie was recelved January [24] 1989.
(u) Q: Excuse me?
2. A: The article was received injanuary 1989.

139 Q: Was the article published prior to 14) February 1990?

15: A: Yes, bur it was received, revised, 19 accepted. So it sits in their press for a while, 71 so that it was a done deal well before it came out.
(s) Q:I think you misunderstand my question. DI. Rosenbaum's consultation visit with patient nol number 2 occurred prior to the publication of your (11) February 1990 article. Correct?

I12: A : Well, let me try to get the question (13) clear now. Could you please ask it again?
${ }^{\text {[14] }}$ Q: Dr, Rosenbaum is stating in the first iss sentence of his letter that he saw in consultation (it) patient number 2 on January 24, 1989, and that [m] consult occurred prior to the publication date of n18) your article in Febnuary 1990. Correct?
I19: A. I did not refer him to Dr. Rosenbaum in rom 1989. I referred him to Dr Rosenbaum in 1991. [z1] Okay? And this was -
I23 Q:1 appreciate that clarification, Doctor.
(291 A: So 1 am not aware of - I do not beliere [21] I am aware of what Dr Rosenbaum had seen in 1989.
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II Q: Thelettertellsyou what he goes on to [2] see and we can talk about that in a minute.
B) A: Bur I did not receive this letter until (4) November of ' 91 , long after the article came out is1 Okay?
19. Q: Yes, sir, Butall Iamestablishing is का
that the first visit that Dr. Rosenbaum reports ${ }^{\text {isi }}$ secing patient number 2 , January 24, 1989, was a 91 visit that occurred prior to the publication of (10) sour atticle?
: $1:$ A: Yes.
12: Q: And then he sayshesswhimagain on ins October 30, 1991
(1) A: Yes.

Its $Q$ : And we wouldagree that that visit 1191 occurred after the publication of your article?
(1) A: Yes.

15: Q : is it yourtestimony, sir.that you; 19 ; referred patient number 2 to Dr. Rosenbaum on only t20] one occasion?
(21) A: Yes.
(23) Q : And that would be the October 30. 1991 (23 consule?

1241 A: Yes.
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(11) Q: Now, sir, in the third paragraph of 12. Exhibit $3^{-7}$ you will sec that Dr. Rosenbaum refers (5) to the fact that "First, on January 24, 1989, w] I wrote to you that Mr.B reported to me that he 151 had experienced occasional suicidal thoughtsas (6) part of his usual pattern of depressive symptoms in well before he undertook a trialof pharmacotherapy fis! and my notes from 1989 indicate that periods of igl feeling suicidal were pre-
sent since his wife sent since his wife left tro) in 1981." Correct, sir? I read that accurately?
III $A$ : You read that accurately.
[121 Q: Okay, Do you have a recollection, sir, [13: that on or around January 24 , 1989. Dr. Rosenbaum 14t wrote you conveying the information I just read?
(15) A: I do not have a recollection of it. ${ }^{116} \mathrm{Q}$ : Would you have any records of it? It71 A: If I had it, I would have a record of it.
[18] Q: Do you know whether you do?
IIV: A: I don't knowif I have it or not. It 2 my doesn't ring a bell at all.
I211 Q: Dr. Rosenbaum rells you that the reason 1371 he's writing on November $215 t$ of 1991 is that upon t23 reviewing your summary of patient number 2 he twas, 124 quote, "startled by some of the discrepancies
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In berween my 1989 cvaluation, my 1991 evaluation, and 121 the case presentation in your 1990 report," (3) Correct?
itil A. Yes.
is Q:Now, Dr. Rosenbaum says on the next page, |f| I believe, at the January 1989 cvaluation that ma patient number 2 had not told Dr. Rosenbaumabout ast the, quote, constant suicidal preoccupation and liv violent self-destructive fanazies
ge 160 - Page 165 (28)

## on Prozac. tron Correct?

(til A: Correct, yes.
(I2) Q: And Dr. Rosenbaum goes on to write that, [131 quote, "Given the apparent dramatic narure of these [141 symptoms and the refertal for consultation (in 151 which 1 went on to recommend new trials with [:61 Prozac) I am surprised that neither he nor you had int thought to mention this adverse event." Correct?
(28) $A$ : Yes, he writes that.
[19! Q: Do you recall, sir, that Dr. Rosenbaum in 1 of fact recommended that the patient initiate in treatment with fluoxetine again in his consultation [21 and recommendation to you?
[291 A : I recall this note. I don't recall any $124!$ other note.
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(i) Q: Sir, do you have a file in your office iz from which you could tell whether you had received (B) a note at or around the time of January 1989 from [4] Dr. Rosenbaum?
13 A: Yes.
16 Q: Dr. Rosenbaum says "Patient number 2 m mentioned then and now," then meaning 1989, and now [B] the October 30,1991 consult, "that he experienced pr distressing physical symptoms on starring Prozac trof including headache and nausea although he had also tu reacted very badly with increased agitation and itzy anxiety to several tricyclics including desipramine (131 and imipramine." Correct?
(1+4) $A$ : Yes.
[151 Q: And is that accurate, sir, what 116 ] Dr. Rosenbaum just related? (Pause) Do you have 177 my question in mind?
(and A: If you could restate your question? [19] Q: Do you know whether Dr. Rosenbaum is $[201$ accurate when saying that the patient number 2 [211 experienced distressing physical symptoms on [23] starting Prozac including headache and nausea (23) although he had also reacted very badly with $[241$ increased agitation and anxiety to several
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[1] tricyclics including desipramine and imipramine?
i2 A: I recall that he wrote this,
[31 Q: Do your notes with respect to patient (4) number $2, \mathrm{Mr}$. B, provide you any basis on which to 151 determine whether Dr Rosenbaum was accurate in (6) stating that he had had increased agitation and [7) anxiety to several tricyclics?
${ }_{181}$ A: There's nothing in the case report that i91 would indicate it because his exposure to other (10) antidepressants was after this, not before this.
[11] Q: And what are you referring to as the t121 basis for the statement that he took these [13] tricyclies after the fluoxctine treatment?
itil A: That isocarboxazid was his first (15) antidepressant urial, which then led to his [:G fluoxetine trial. So I beliere it nass subsequent. 117 And then it savs "During the nert three months tre trials of imipramine, doxepin and methylphenidate 1191 provided littic relief," so the imipramine was rom after:
(21) G: Dr. Rosenbaum states at the bottom of the [22 first page of his November 21. 1991 letter that 124 wien he asked parient number $2, \mathrm{Mr} . \mathrm{B}$, to describe $[24$ his suicidal experience on Prozac he stated, quote.

Page 168
III I never thought that I was any more suicidal on |2| Prozac than I wasbefore or after, but Isuppose (3) Dr. Teicher is more sensitive to thisissue," endielquote. Did I state that accurately, sir?
(s) A: Yes.
(6) MR. GREENWALD:You mean is that what $\boldsymbol{n}$ it says on the paper?
Tm MS, GUSSACK:Ycs.
II BY MS, GUSSACK:
trol Q: And my question to you, sir, is did Mr. B (t) ever tell you that he didn't feel anymore suicidal 121 on Prozac before or after?
(13) A: 1 was very concerned when I received this [14] letter and I sat down with the patient and we went (1s) over it and asked him about that. And he indicated 116 to me that he did not have very good recollection, 117 so I pulted out my notes and I went over my notes. [18] And my notes are, as I've said, generally near- 191 verbatim notes from what he said. And as he went pop over the notes he said "Oh, yeah, yeah, I remember, 221 I remember' and he said, you know, That's what [22] I experienced."
[231 It is a very strange and perplexing [29] problem that this patient did not have good recall

Page 169
I1) for what happened. And. in fact, I guess a lot of 121 the information he provided Dr. Rosenbaum was very in inaccurate based on the nores that I took at the tartime. It's very surprising And he was able to 151 verify when he saw them and when there were other (f) external validators like his mother calling and th things like that that were all objective facts that is helped, you know, jog his memory:But he gave 19: Dr. Rosenbauma very different slant on what thoy happened than what my documented notes showed.
(11) Q:And, sir, the notes reporting on patient (12) number 2 's feclings at the
time that he was on (15) fluoxetine have nor been produced to us. Correct?
trit A: Correct.
tisi Q: Did you make process notes, as you call 166 them, of your conversations with Mr. B. patient it:) number 2, when you were reviewing Dr Rosenbaum's is letrer with him?
IESI A: I would have a process note for that r201 session. But during the process of reviewing? [al I wouldn't have made process notes of the process 131 of reviewing. because 1 ' m reading him the notes and 1231 can't...
i2d Q: Would you have a note, sir, of where he
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(11) said "Oh, yes, oh, yes, 1 remember that"? Would an that be in your records? I3 A: Probably, yes.
(4) Q: And those records have not been produced (5) here, sit?
(G) A: No.
i7 Q: Now, sir, on page 2 of Dr. Rosenbaum's (3) letter to you where he says"1 recognize that a l91 patient is not always an accurate historian," do nol you know where I'm referring?
(111) A: Yes.
[12) Q:And he goes on to say "For cxample, t131 Mr. B, patient number 2 . informed me he was [ive restarted by you on Prozac about a year' later and "15; tolerated it well on that occasion but that it was [16] discontinued for lack of bencfit. Your note, 177$]$ however, indicates that he again became suicidal on (18: the drug."
(19) A: Yes.
[20) Q: Sir, do yourecall that Mr.B, patient [21) number 2, was restarted on Prozac after his initial r2m treatment with it and tolerated it weII?
[231 A: He did not tolerate it well and he did 124 become suicidal on it. That was part of his-
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I11 After the event the patient felt that he had $\mid 21$ received some benefit from the Prozac. He was not (3) willing to attribute necessarily his marked 14] worsening of suicidal ideation to the Prozac and 1s: wanted to try it again, and we did it. We did it (6) very cautiously, very slowly, and at the first [7] signs that the suicidal ideation was reemerging we (3) stopped it.
19) Q:And that period of time was approximately $[10)$ how long on this second administration of (II) fluoxetine? [in A: I would have to check that.
(13) $Q$ : This is the same patient, sir, that you (14) said was challenged with clomipramine and became lisisuicida?

I16 A: No, no. I don't think so. Does it say [17) that?
[1s) Q: No. You told me this morning or It91 yesterday. I guess, when I asked you which patient [201 was treated with clomipramine and became actively $121!$ suicidal. sou said it was patient number 2.

122 A: Did I say 2? Well, then it was. But I23| we're talking a rechallenge now with fluoxetine.
124) Q: Y'es. I understand. But I just wanted to
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II) clarify, this is in fact the same patient that you [2] later treated with clomipramine and who later is) became suicidal on that drug?
(4) A: Yes.
(5) Q: Now, referring to page 2 of (6) Dr Rosenbaum's letter to you, in the thirdm paragraph you see where he says, quote, "Frommy is interviesv with Mr.Bin 1989 and again in 1991 it 101 is apparent that this is a chronically or at least itop recurrently suicidal man. The implication that his [til sticidatity disappeared with the absence of Prozac IIT is very misleading. Indeed, he reported to me most in recentlythar he continues to suffer suicidal [16] ideation but feels constrainedfromacting on it [ts because of the impact it would have on his young [16] Son."Do you see where I was reading from?
II7 A: No. I haven't found it. Sorry.
[is] Q: Paragraph 3, page 2, in the middle of 1191 that paragraph.
I301 A: (Pause) Thar's whar he wrote, yes. ${ }_{1211} \mathrm{Q}:$ Now, sir, did you believe that patient [22] number $2, \mathrm{Mr}$. B, was chronically or at least 1231 recurrently $a$ suicidal man?
[26] A: No. What I indicated in the case report
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[1] was accurate, and that his intense suicidal [2] thoughts persisted for a substantial period of 131 time, we're talking about months, after stopping (4) the fluoxetine and then theyremined on the is tranylcypromine; and 1 indicated that. And at that $[6]$ period of time he indicated, documented in my 01 notes, that be was free of suicidal ideation, which [8] is what I put in. I don't know, can'ttell frompt this what medication he was on at the time that he f10f saw Dr. Rosenbaum for consulation.
(1i) Certainly I never would have seat him [12] to Dr. Rosenbaum for consultation if I didn't I131 expect him to be very consistent with this. And (1w) I have continued to treat this individual and he is) has never made a suicide attempt and
he is largely $[10$ free of suicidal ideation, has some passing 117 suicidal thoughts, but be is largely free of it. (tes) Nothing like what he experienced, what he reported 19) to me during the times that he was on fluoxetine or 1201 in that case clom ipramine.
(z] MS, GUSSACK: May I have this marked :221 as Exhibit 38, please.
123) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 38 marked 24i for identification.)
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## is BY MS, GUSSACK:

\% Q: Doctor, 1 have had marked as Exhibit 38 is your December 4, 1991 letter to Dr. Rosenbaum which [i] you have stated was your response to isf Dr. Rosenbaum's letter?
:6 A: I believe so. Can I see it?
m Q: Imsorry.I thought it was infront of淂 you. (Pause)
99 May I refer you to paragraph 2 of nol your letter dated December 4. 1991. Doctor. And [11] agrin, sir, you will recognize that reference to [1z patient number 2 orMr.B,identifyingreferences 1131 bave been redacted. But do you recognize that this [14] letter refers to patient number 2 of your 1990 case t15) series?
(10) A: Yes.
(I') Q: Mr. B, same patient?
(15) A: Yes.
(19) Q: In paragraph 2 of your letter responding rap to Dr, Rosenbaum, sir, you state that you initially [21] saw this patient on Augus 11, 1987. And just to 122 put things in context, sir, your notes reflect that 1231 he was prescribed Prozac on June 16, 1988, aimost a [29] year later. Right?

Page 175
[1] A: Excuse me? What was the question again?
[an Q : You see you say that you first saw Mr . B, Bl patient number 2, on August 11 . 1987, and referring [9] to your cariier testimony he wasn't prescribed 151 Prozac for the first time until June 16, 1988. 16) Correct?

IT A: Fes.
13) Q: Okay Yousay.sir, when you initially 191 saw the patient on August 11, 1987, he denied any thon history of suicidal ideation Quote, I did not [II] feel that he was suicidal or posed any degree of [12 suicidal risk. He was, however, chronically t131 depressed."
[14] A: Y'es.
Ins Q: And you then go on to say, sir, that be l:6 experienced having a self-destructive fantasy in 117 May 1988 ?
118: A: Yes.

IIs Q: That would be, again, prior to his first law use of Prozac?
1211 A: Yes.
221 Q: Now, sir, I believe you describe in yourian letter to Dr,Rosenbaum that you began lithium :2t, potertiation of the Prazac:
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(1) A: Yes.
? Q: That w:25 in July 1988 ?
is1 A: Yes.
*) Q: On July 15, 1988, he developed constant is obsessive suicidal ideation with viotent suicidat (6) fantasies and you made changes in his lithium and (7) fluoxetine regimen over the next ten days by pin telephone?
|v| A: Y'es.
tion Q: So he was not sucha great suicidal risk [11] that you felt you had to hospitalize him?
i12 A: No, we did not hospicalize him.
ItM O: And you didn't have to bring him in to be (ti) seen, you could adjust his medications by phone?
I!s1 A: I'm sure that I saw him but I was also [16] changing the medication by phone.
im Q: Do you have any records, any notes in inf front of you that would show that you saw him in [19] these ten days after he became obsessively suicidal 120 with violent suicidal fantasies?
[21) A: I couldn't tell you - Wait a second. [211 (Pause)
[231 I would imagine; Ican't tell you for [2+1 certain, but he would probably have been seen on
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(1) 6/24,7/15 and 7/26.
[7] Q: And what are you referring to, sir, for $B 1$ that?
(4) A: I am referring to the cyse notes and the is dates in the case notes.
191 Q: Can you give me a page number?
(T) A: MHT00661,00662.

IG1 Q: Do you know whether those were phone try calls or visits in person?
tind A: These were almost certainly These ill were very likely to be visits, these dates.
(in Q: Do you know for sure?
[t3 A: I don't know for sure, I haven't [14] checked.
Iss Q: At the bortom of page 1 of your response $\{16\}$ to Dr . Rosenbaum, sir, you say that you (17) discontinued the fluoxetine and then resumed it Its again and that you didn't feel it was necessary to [19 detail this brief withholding of fluoxetine in your [20) summary of patient number 2 published in your case tan

Martin H. 1 eicner, M.
VoL 2, October 30, 1996
series.
121 A: Right,
1231 Q: Why is that, sir?
12+1 A: I only held the dose from 7/19 to $-21$
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1! and then resumed it again on $7 / 22$. That's a very is brief period of time. The fluoxetine would have [3i remained in his system.
14, Q: What was the last phrase you said. sit?
$i_{i}$ A: The fluoxetine would have remained in his 561 system).
I7) Q: This patient's motheras well as his I51 girlfriend, you say. called because they feared $[9$ this patient had become so suicidal and were flof concerned about his safety. Correct?
(11) A: Yes.

In2 Q: So it was obvious to his elderly mother (13) and his girifriend that this patient presented a (14) suicidal risk?
(15) A: Yes. They were concerned enough to call.
[16] Q: I believe, sir, and I ask you to confirm tin this, that in your August '91 lecter to the editor tist of the American Journal of Psychiatry, which we r19] should have marked as the next exhibit, but before [20 we mark it, is this the letter in which you |21| describe the rechallenge of patient number 2 ?
I2) $A$ : Yes.
123) MS. GUSSACK: Can we have that marked $|24|$ as Exhibit 39.
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11) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 39 raarked in for identification.)
(3) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(4) Q: Sir, the Exhibit 39 letter to the editor $[5]$ refers to the fact that at the patient's request he $[6]$ was rechallenged with Prozac Correct?
何 A : Yes.
(5) $Q$ : And that the rechallenge occurred over a i91 rwo-month period?
(10) A: Yes.
[r1] Q:And that the patient gradually became [12] more depressed as the dose was increased?
133 A: Yes.
(14) $Q$ : And finally began to ruminate incessantly [IS] about suicidic. Correct?
116] A: Ye5.
inil Q: The trial was stopped and the symptorns [18 abated within two weeks? 1191 A: Right.
1201 Q: Now, sir, can I turn your attention back 121 to Exhibit No. 38, your letter to Dr.Rosenbaum, |22! On page 2, paragraph.
number 3, would you review 1231 there where you describe the rechallenge with [24] fltoxetine that you administered to patient
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(1) number 2;
(2) A: Okay, "As I noted in my brief note prior i31 to sour last consulation, the patient was it rechallenged with fluoxetine" -
(3) Q: Sir, you can review it to yourself before 16 I ask you quacstions.
IA: Oh. I thought you wanted me to read it, is les, yes.
I5 Q: You are familiar with that?
[10, A: Yes.
[11] Q: Now, sir, at the time that youbegan to [12! administer fluoxetine to patient number 2 for the (13) second time he had mild passive thoughts, correct, [14] of suicide? Quote, Hie had mild passive thoughts [1s] that he could easily put out of his mind.
$11 \oplus$ A: That's there, yes.
In7) Q: And then you say "On August 27. 1990," Its and I calculate for you, sir, as best I can, that's I19] fiftecn months later, not two months later as you pop describe in your August'91 lerter to the editor in [211 the American Journal of Psychiatry, that patient [22] number 2 became very suicidal.
!23! MA. GREENWALD: What's the question?
[34] A: The $5 / 23 / 89$ to $8 / 27 / 90$ is fifteen months,
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[1) 50 my guess is that's $5 / 23 / 90$, not 5/23/89.
[2) Q: So you believe you made an error in your Bl letter to Dr. Rosenbaum or do you believe there is [f] an error in your letter to the American Joumal?
15: A: No,no, Ibelicve that this $5 / 23 / 89$ |6| should be 5/23/90.
i71 Q: Well, you go on to say, sir, in the is letterto Dr Rosenbaum thar you told the patient 191 to stop taking fluoxetine. Correct?
Itol A: Y'es.
III! Q: Nonetheless, sir, he continued to take 11 ? the fluoxetinc, didn't he?
I131 A: Yes, he did.
(111) Q: Unbeknownst to you, according to your insi notes here.
[16] A: Fies.
(17) Q: Sir, how did he get three months' worth lis of fluoxetine unbeknownst to you?
(17) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
r2of A: I imagine that the prescription I wrote (21) had refills.

1221 Q: Three months worth of refills? 123) A: That is often the case. I usually write [24] for three months.
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1. O: For a patient whi became intensely 11 suicidal on the drug:
(2) A: In my wildest idea 1 would no: imagine a iti patient would continue it is they were having a bad isi response on it And I don't know how much he had if saved up from his previous trial, so it don't know mi where he got the medication to continue.
i, Q: Wias he secing anyone else fo: psychiatric 191 care during the time that you were secing him other tios than people you referred him to?
[11] A: Not to my knowledge, But I don't know (12) that for a fact.
[131 Q: So during those three months that he was (t4) still taking fluoxetine butkeps it from you, sir, Inss you report to Dr, Rosenbaum he continued to remain Ise: actively suicidal?
i17 A: Yes.
(1a) Q: And, sir, did you have any concerns about $[19]$ rechallenging this paticnt with fluoxetine as an [zop outpatient when he had become actively suicidal t211 during the first course of treatment with [22] fluoxetine?
P31 A: I cerminly had concerns and we discussed [2ay them. At one point I had discussed with him the
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f11 possibility of hospitalization. He was able to [3] provide assurances for his safery. And although he [3I suffered, he remuined safc.
(i) Q: Sir, in the entire time that this patient |s| was actively suicidal as you describe him, did he 161 ever attempt suicide?
IT A: I think he indicared at one point that he 181 believed he had come close to making an attempt but is be did not make an attempt.
Itol Q: In the final paragraph of page 2 of your [II] letter to Dr.Rosenbaum you say that the one thing in2] about patient number 2 that needs to be noted is, (13) quote, "that he often withholds information and he $[4+1$ is not encirely forthright." Correct?
[15] A: Yes.
(16) Q: And you found that to be true of patient [17] number 2 in providing information to you?
118) A: Yes, Do you want me to check that May $1191^{\prime} 89$ or ' 90 date?
1201 Q: Yes, sir. Do you have anything you could [21] refer to that's here with you today to check that 129 ' 89 date and see whether that is an error?

1234 A: I don't have anything here I could [2+] check. I could check in my office.

Page 184
II Q: What would you be checking in sour in office?
13. A: My notes.
i+1 Q: Those would be your process notes?
15; A: Yes.
(b) Q: And those would be the notes that you in haven't produced in this litigation Correct?
s. A: Correct.

9: Turning to patient number 3 in your case t10) series, sis, and you have before you Exhibit ill No. 18, your draft notes-
(12) A: Do you know what page number the whole (131 thing stared with?
(14) $Q$ : The exhibit?
(15) A: Yes.
(116) Q: Do you have Exhibit 18 in front of you?
(17) A: I'm trying to find the start of it so (18) I can put it back in order again.
(19) (Discussion off the record.)
[20] BY MS, GUSSACK:
1211 Q: Patient number 3 was the adolescent 122 inpatient. Correct?
1.3; A: Yes.
120) Q: And this was a patient who was not under
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ii) your personal care?

12] A: Correct.
B1 Q: And whom you did not personally observe?
[4] A: Correct.
(5) Q: And Nurse Glod was the one who summarized 16 her case and went to the hospital records to [r summarize it for purposes of the article. Is that [is correct? (1) A: Yes.
(10) Q: Referring to page MHT657 of Exhibit 18 , [ill rowards the bottom of the page before that final (12) paragraph there's reference to the "intermittent [13! past times of suicidal." Correct?
(14) A: Yes.
(15) Q: And, Doctor, just for context sake, can [16 you tell me when fluoxetine was started with [17 patient number 3? And 1 would refer you maybe just [18) to page 656 where you will see an entry sort of t191 halfway down that first paragraph, $4 / 28$ on the r20 right-hand side. T'm sorry, wrong page. On 657, [27] the page that you were on, at the top it says 122 "fluoxetine started $5 / 2,20$ milligrams." At the 1231 top there's an asterisk.
(3) A: Yes.
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III Q: Okay. Referring back to page 656 now of [2] Exhibit 18, there is an entry labeled "priv, |3 colon." Is that relating to privileges in the is hospital?
B: A: Where? Oh yes, yes.
isi $Q$ : And I see dates that refer to, for : instance. $1 / /$ fourlines down? Dated $1 / 4$ : fil $A$ : Yes.
(9) Q: Four-points for assault'?
t10) A: Assault risk, yes.
(in) Q: For assault risk?
12, A: 31 m -hmm.
(13) Q: What does that mean, sir?

I14) A: That she was put in four-point restraints tis because they perceived the risk that she might (10) assault herself or assault somebody eise. It (177) doesn't say who.
frof Q: It then says she was boarded for yelling, t191 What does that mean, sir?
$120 \mid \mathrm{A}$ : It means that she was moved to another [2] hall.
12: Q: Why?
(231 A: I guess for yelling and being out of 124 control.
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(1) Q: Out of control is a quote fromthe 121 record, sir?
B1 A: Yes.
(19) Q: And she kicked the wall?
${ }^{\text {I51 A }}$ A I guess on $1 / 7$. Or, it looks like it's 19 "kicked wall $1 / 7$."
Th Q: Then there is an entry, for instance, in tis the next line, "1/22, sup sharps." What does that 191 mean, sir?
tioj $A$ : Supervise sharps.
In Q : What does that mean?
112) A: It means that if the patient wants to use (13) a sharp object like a knife, pair of scissors, that [14] a staff needs to be present to supervise it.
[151 Q: And why would that be, sit?
[16) A: It's generally because at that morment IIT) saff does not trust them to use them safely.
(18) Q : Because they present a risk to themselves 1991 of violence?
1201 A: Or to others. Or it may be part of some [21] kind of program they're on; 1 don't know.It r2m usuallymeans there'sa risk.
(23) Q: Referring to page 656 of Exhibit 18 , do [24] you see the entry, sir, where it says after
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[1) November 25, in that same line, four lines from the (2) top -
(3) A: After what date?
14) Q: November 25, 11/25, page 656 .

## (5) A: Okay,

i6; O: "Suicidal thoughts but farorable outcome. [7 not a decision to commit suicide, not actively rol suicidal?
$\rightarrow$ A: Yes.
ith Q: That is reporting on the patient's :2) condition several months before she takes in fluoxetine?
113) A: Correct.
(t); $Q$ : And then jumping down about six or eight (is) lines you see the entry dated
$i / 22, \sin ^{2}$ ? $+/ 22$, sir?
!3, $A$ : Yes.
$\|^{-1} \mathrm{Q}$ : And the next line says "suicidal un: ideation, jumping in front of train"?
(19) A: Yes.

501 Q : "Looking more depressed and irritable"?
121| A: Yes.
(22) Q: "Purging"?
${ }^{1231}$ A: Yes.
[24] Q: "Suicidal ideation turned out to be
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(1) chronic"?
(2) A: Correct.

B1 Q: That is a description of the patient it|about ten days before she's on Prozac for the first [s] time on May 2. Correct? (Pause) We earlier to agreed based on page 657 where the asterisk was in mithe left margin that she was started on Prozac 5/2, 88120 milligrams.
회 A: Yes. Okay.
[tol Q: So, sir, am I correct that that is a [11] statement about the patient's condition about eight 121 or ten days prior-Let me finish my question. [131 That the entry dared $4 / 22$ referring to "Suicidal (14] ideation, jumping in front of train, looking more [151 depressed and irriable, purging, suicidal ideation rio turned out to be chronic" is a statement of her u7n conditionabout ten days prior to starting ts fluoxetine?
IIS: A: The way $T$ understand that is that that 1201 statement when they said suicidal ideation turned [21] out to be chronic meant that it then went on for pay the next several months.
123 Q: As of Apri] 22?
[34] A: Yes.
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If Q: Prior to when this patient began [2] fluoxetine the descriptionappears"suicidal Bl ideation, jumping in front of uain, looking more ( 11 depressed and irritable, purging, suicidal ideation |ss turned out to be chronic." Correct?
(6) A: Which, again, "turned out to be," meaning in she continued to have suicidal ideation for several [B] months thereafter.

19: Q: And approximately ten days prior to [10] starting fluoxetine this patient had thoughts of [1I] jumping in front of a train?
1121 A: Yes.
(131 Q: And the entry i/28, which is again prior $12+1$ to when she begins fluoxetine. has an entry (15) "chronic suicidality always an issue ${ }^{-}$before the [16|statement begins fluoxetine"?
${ }_{15}$ A: Yes.
11s) Q: Now, sir, patient number 3 was commented 1191 on by one of the peer reviewers who reviewed your $12 p$ article in 1990. Correct?
[21] A: You would have to show me.
(22) Q: I believe you produced it as MFTOO800. 1231 While we're gettingit out let me just read to you [24] the references that this reviewer said, quote.
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(1) "Case 3 is an example where some of the adverse |a| effects (akathisia) are also probably due to the isi metabolic potentiation of Perphenazinc." Do you (t) recall that?
[s) A: I don't disagrec with you. I don't havelof a good recall for something Iread six years ago or m seven years ago.
[8 Q: I am showing you what has been identified $\mid 91$ as MHTOO800. That is a letter that you received, 110 sir , as a peer review comment upon submission of (tn your article -
fiz A: Yes.
[13! Q : - to the American Journal?
(14) A: Yes.
[1s) Q: In the third paragraph, you sec where [16] I was reading from?
[17] A: Yes.
11世 Q: Now, sir, do you believe that that is a !191 possibility, that in case 3's situation some of the $[200$ adverse effects are probably due to the metabolic (21) potentiation of Perphenazine with fluoxetinc?
(221 MR. GREENWALD: Objection to the form [231 of the question.
124) A: Well, I think it is a - The argument by
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[1] the peer reviewer has a lirtle bit of substance but [2] not a lot of substance. The data that does not [3] support the reviewer's contention is, first, that $\mid 4 l^{\prime}$ it worsened even though the Perphenazine dose was is) lowered. Second, when fluoxetine was discontinued, 19 even though she was still on Perphenazine, the in suicidality seemed to largely abate. And finally, is she was on a combination of Ibelieve nortriptyline is and Perphenazine, and nortriptyline potentiates 1 ol Perphenazine in much
the same wayas fluoxetine by firj raising blood levelsandshe did not have it on (12: that combination. So although I can't dismiss that [13] explanation categorically, it doesn't seem to be a [14] good accounting for the ficts.
(15) Q: Doctor, would you agree with the classic li6r sort of definition of akathisia that it requires in both a subjective and objective report of motor ini restless ness?
[191 A: The traditional definition is a 120$]$ disturbing sense of inner restlessness usually 1211 accompanied by motor signs of agitation and 123 ) restlessness.
izy Q: And it requires a report by the patient [26] as well as the clinician's objective appraisal?
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(1) A: In the clinical assessment of akathisia $[2$ in clinical practice you want to find both. You [3 would like to see both components, yes.
(4) Q: Doctor, are there any case control [5] studies that you are aware of that demonstrate that 19 patients who develop akathisia become suicida?
in A: There is a literature on akathisia and m suicide but I am not aware of welldone case ig control studies that would specifically address fiof that. I would say thar at this juncture it is m I reasonably well accepted in the field.
Ifa Q: Largely based on case reports? тı A: Yes.
(H) Q: You are not aware of any prospective case fist control trial which has demonstrated that the 1151 presence of akathisia induces suicidality or $I 17$ contributes to suicidality?
118; A: Yes.
(191 MR. GREENWALD:Objcction. I'm not 1200 sure I understand your question.
[21) MS, GUSSACK:But Dr.Teicher did. [22] MR. GREENWALD:1 understand that. [23| But I want to undersuand it too. Just talk simply [24] for me so I can understand what you're saying.
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## [1] BY MS, GUSSACK:

121 Q: Your answer was "yes," Doctor, there is 131 no such suady that You're aware of?
(4) A: Let me think for a second.
(3) Q: Patient number -

161 A: I'm still -
PI Q: Yes, I know, you're still thinking
(5) A: I'm still thinking.
(9) MR. GREENWALD; The man has a right t10 to think.
(In) A: Can lask you, since we need to be on the [12]same wavciength, how do you
define a case control t13s study?
(14) Q: Well, sir, it is not imporant how I do. [15] How do you?
[16] MR. GREENWALD: For the purposes of [17] your question I think he needs to know what you're iss talking about.
(19] MS. GUSSACK: $\Gamma \mathrm{m}$ going to adop: the ! 30 doctor's definition. So why don't we have the (21) docror define it?
I2ม A: I would usually define a case control t23 study as one in which patients are recruited and 124 studied over tirne and that it would be a blind
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it assessment. And as the question becomes: Would (2) you include in the definition of a case control i3 study rechallenge studies?
(4) Q : No,sir, Iam distinguishing berween the ts) two.
(6) A: That is often also considereda case ग control study.
(6) Q: For my purposes when I ask the question 191 I am not referring to rechallenge studies. I am thon referring to prospective randomized controlled (11) trials.
IIม A: Then I am not aware of a prospective [131 randomized controlled trial which has shown that $[34$ ) akathisia can produce, did yousaysuicidal!asideation or suicidal attempts?
(16) Q: Both.
(17) A: Yeah, I'm not aware of a prospective $\{18\}$ controlled trial.
[19 Q: Doctor, can you rum to page 689 of ta0) Exhibit 18. On that page of Exhibit 18. page 689, [2u you will see that there is an entry dated $2 / 12$ [23] referring to case number 5 ?
129) A: Yes.
124) Q: It says "2/12 fluoxetine started"?
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[1] A: Yes.
(2) Q: And then you will see several lines above B1 "January 5, "88, got fired/transferred. " Correct?
14) A: Yes.
(5) Q: That would be a fairly significant life $[6]$ stressor for patient number 5 . wouldn't it, getting [7] fired?
\{组 MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
is A: Well, she actually got transferred.
(10) Q: How do you know, sir, looking at "gotilil fired/transferred"?
[12 A: Because that's what I recall happened.
(13) Q: But would that be a significant stressor [14] for her?
1151 MR. GREENWALD: What? Gerting (16) transferred?
(17) MS. GUSSACK:Yes.
(tเ) MR. GREENWALD: Well, there were two |is things you were asking. I want to be sure which 120 one you're asking him. 121) BY MS. GUSSACK:
i2 Q : Would the change in her job starus have ram been a stressor for her, sir? Was it?
(20) A: It certainly had some impact. Had some
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III both good and bad impact.
2) Q: Let me refer you to the entryabuve it 13 dated December ' 8 ', where it says "insecure at |4! work, not working as well, fects others aren't is pleased and doesn't fecl ontop of things." That 10 is a description of this patient not feeling good [7] about what's going on in her worklife. Correct?
[8] A: Right. And part of that was allev. liated ivi by being transferred.
(10) Q : That was a stressor at the time? 1 111 A: Right.
(12) Q: And on February 23, sir, there is an 1131 entry again that problems she feels at work rti] continue. That's after the entry of January 5, (ts1 ' 88 , where you believe she was transferred. [16 A month and a half later she's reporting that in7 problems she feels at work continue. Correct?
(118) A: That is certainly what's indicated in (19) this synopsis of the notes. What I am not exactly tzo sure of right now is what that means. My 211 impression is it means that she's having some [22] difficulty concentrating at work. It is not 1231 specifically referring to what she was experiencing $\mathrm{tav1}$ on $12 / 87$ in terms of insecurity. The $12 / 87$ with
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11) the insecurity was the insecurity that one felt [2] when they knew that they weren't functioning up to $[31$ standards and they were going to get transferred to |tia less difficult position. So that was her 151 insecurity. She was perceptive enough that others $[6]$ weren't pleased with her work performance and it m resulted in a transfer to a less busy office.
(8) And so that's what happened with (i) those two notes. And then $2 / 23$, one of her 100 problems in treatment was that she sometimes had til difficulty concentrating at work, and I think II2 that's what is being referred to on $2 / 23$.
[13) Q: So in your article when you say that you fis looked for issues such as loss and abandonment, (15) sir, you considered these complaints by patient 1161 number 5 and determined that they were not 17 significant?
${ }^{(118)}$ A: Right.

IIs $Q$ : This patient suffered a seizure on the 1301 day before March 1 st. Do you see the entry on 689?
tai A: Yes, "seizure previous day"
i2y $Q$ : And she reports "very depressed. apathy: i23i having relationship strug. gles?
2ee: A: It doesn't say having relationship what?
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(a) Q: I'm sorry, At the bottom of that page, [2] March 8, "depressed, having problems with is relationship? Do you see the last line on page 101 689?
(5) A: Yes.

76 Q : And then again on page 690 under the [7) entry for March 18, you see "problems with mi relationships, struggles' again referenced?
In A: Yes.
nop Q: "Not able to do anything but work," so tal this patient is now able to work?
(12) A: Excuse me?

113 Q: This patient is now able to focus on nef work?
tis) A: Well, she's not able to do anything but (10) work. I'm not saying - It is not a comment that [in she's able to work. It is a comment that she's not (18) able to do anything else. She was attending: she (19) twas showing up at her job and doing her work. I'm 201 not indicating how good her performance was.
[21) Q: Patient number 5 was an epileptic, sir?
[2] A: She has basically some psy. chomotor type [23 seizures. As indicated in here she suffered from ray temporal lobe epilepsy, psychomotor seizures.
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in Q: So she was an epileptic?
in A: I would not use the term. I would s2y i31 that she had psychomotor seizures. You know, it [4] was not associated with loss of consciousness; she is didn't wear an epilepsy badge. She had episodic 19 paroxysmal disturbances in cognition and ir concentration that were scizure phenomena.
is Q : That were?
II: A: Seizure phenomena. I just don't like to tron use the word epileptic in thatBasically when rup epileptic is used in lay language it usually $[121$ indicates somebody who acrually has seizures with 131 loss of consciousness.
(14) Q: So she had a scizure on March 1sf but youlss don'tbelieve she had a loss of consciousness?
IIS: A: No, she didn't.
[17) Q: Sir, in your draft which you submitted to [z8| Dr. Baldessarini on page 6.

Exhibit 19, you have : 1 g made reference to patient number 5. Correct?
(30) A: Which page again? I'm sorry.
[21) Q: Page 6.
12) A : (Pause) Yes.

123 Q: I believe it savs there on page 6 that an i2ut early attempt to resume isocarboxazid therapy after
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(1) patient discontinued Prozac led to intolerable side 121 effects?
(13) A: Yes.
'w Q: Do you know w hat those intoler. able side is effects were?
If A: (Pause) It says on 5/14 "Marplan, but in got nauseous and bad headache. very frustrated."
In $Q$ : Is there a reason that you didn't (9) describe thase in the case report on patient number tien 5?
IIII A: In the article?
H2) Q: Yes.
(1) A: Just space.

In+1 Q: Just space requirements?
(151) A: Yes.
(16) Q: Sir, you mentioned yesterday that you tar have been sued for malpractice. is that correct?
(1) A: Yes.

129 Q: More than one occasion?
1201 A: Yes.
(21) Q: On one occasion the malpractice suit was I2 brought by patient number 6 in your ase series. 123 Correct?
[24) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
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in A: Yes.
12 Q: What was the other circumstance in which B1 you were sued?
If MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(S) MS. GUSSACK: Do you want a ןब continuing line of objections to this subject area?
m MR. GREENWALD:Okzy.
(8) MS. GUSSACK:Sure.

IT THE WITNESS: I'm free to answer it? no MR. GREENWALD; Well, I can't [u] instruct you. I am not your attorncy, so you have [12 to use your own judgment. Or if you have an III attomey giving you instructions, follow those. [16] But I am not your lawyer so I can't instruct you. IISI A: The pther case in which I was sued for ${ }^{[16}$ malpractice regarded a patient of mine who was in 177 the hospital, who escaped from the hospital and $\mid$ tol committed suicide.
[is) Q: That was a patient under your care?
t201 A: Yes.

Greer v.
Eli Lilly \& Company
[211 Q: For whom you were responsible? 221 A: Yes.
(23) Q: Had you predicted that patient was at 129 risk for suicide?
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(1: A: At very high risk for suicide.
(7) Q: The person was at very high risk for 131 suicide?
© A A: Yes.
(5) Q: And the patient's family sued you?
© A: Yes.

- O: For not preventing the suicide?
(8) A: Right.

19) Q: Now, patient number 6 was a patient of tol yours for, what, six years? III A: I'm happy to discuss what's here in the [iz] case, what's here in these notes. My attorney 1331 Mr . William Dalcy has instructed me not to discuss [14] any details of this case.
[t5] Q: Well. sir, I am going to ask you IIG questions because whether you want to or not, you [17] have injected yourself into this litigation, and (18) patient number 6 is a patient reported on in your 1193 article and it is relevant here. Mr. Daley is not izon counsel of recond in this matter and has no [21] authority here. So I am going to ask you the [2] questions and I ask you to give me your best laslanswers. sir.
[24] A: To the extent that he has instructed me
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(1) not to discuss the details of the case, I will not ri discuss the details of the case. 151 Q: Why don't we take it one question at a [4] time and see where we go.
(5) Patient number 6 was a patient of 161 yours for six years?
[7] MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
IBI BY MS. GUSSACK:
(9) Q : Is that right, sir?
f10, A: His instructions to me were not to [in) discuss the casc, and I think that -
II $\mathrm{Q}: 1 \mathrm{I}$ am going to ask you to listen to my 1131 question. Dr. Teicher, very closely.
(14) A: Yes, and anything that I've written that [IS] is available on this I will verity and will (16) discuss. But to the extent that you're asking [17] information that is not here, additional [ts] information, I am going to refuse to answer.
[19] Q: Doctor, if you would let me ask my [20) questions and if you would listen to them closely, [21] we'll see what you can answer and then we carf [I2 decide what we're going to disagree about.
(23) A: 1 heard your question about the six[24] y ears. I don't see any data here that would
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(1) independently indicate that she was my patient for [2] six years.
(3 Q: Doctor, would your notes of your [ 4 : treatment of patient number 6 that you have brought is with you reflect how long you treated this patient?
in A: I do not believe they would.
FI Q: So you are unable to sare here in this it litigation, referring to patient number 6 on whom in you have published a case report how long you thon treated this patient?
(ia) MR, GREENWALD:Are we now having a l1a speech or a question?
(1) MS. GUSSACK:That's a question.
[14) MR. GREENWALD; It sounds like a 1151 speech.
[1s] A: I am willing to -
in Q : I asked you, are you unable to?
[1ํ A: I am unwilling to.
119 Q: You have stared that patient number 6 was $[200$ the plaintiff in a malpractice suit brought against [21] you Correct, sir?
[22) A: Yes.
[23) Q: And that malpractice suit has been t20) setuled. Correct?
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(1) A: Correct.

I2 Q : And it has been reported that \$500,000 is was paid to settle that case.
(4) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(5) BY MS, GUSSACK:
(5) Q : Is that an accurate statement, sir?

IT MR. GREENWALD:Iassume Ihave my (8) continuing line of objections that we started is about, what, eight or nine questions before?
t10y MS, GUSSACK:Oh, cermainly. To the [1i] subject with regard to the malpractice with regard [12 to patient number 6?
[131 MR. GREENWALD:I think it was with [14] regard to all malpractice issucs. But since we 155 have already passed that, I think you asked me if (16) I wanted a continuing objection when you asked the [ry firs malpractice question and I believe I said (13) I did. So I believe we have agreed that I don't In9 have to say "objection" after every question that $t 20$, you ask here because the reporter will understand 1211 that I am making one. Or if you want me to start !2n in again and make objections, I will.
[23| MS, GUSSACK:Your objections are [20) recognized.
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II MR. GREENWALD: Thank you. [21 BY MS, GUSSACK:

Martin H. Teicher, M.D., Ph.D. Vol 2, October 30, 1996
(3) Q: Dr. Teicher, is it accurate that you 4 settled the tawsuit brought against you by patient is1 number 6 for $\$ 500.000$ ?
(6) A: There's a confidentiality agreement 171 regarding the settiement and ! have been asked and is, instructed and agreed as part of that not to $r$ discuss it
(10) Q: Did you make an independent promise, sir, thl not to discuss it. not to disclose the amount?
(12) A: I think that was part of the ins. confidentiality agreement, yes.
(14: Q: Are you aware that in the December 13, as) 199- Boston Globe the amount of the settlement was the disclosed as an estimated $\$ 500.000$ ?
(IT) A: Yes, 1 am aware of that.
(ts) Q: Did you write The Globe to tell them that 1 I9 that was an inaccurate statement?
(200) A: I did not write The Globe to tell them [211 all of their statements were inaccurate.
I2 $Q$ : Is that an inaccurate statement. that [23) this case was settled for an estimated $\$ 500,000$ ?
[24) MR. GREENWALD: I think he's said he
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(1) is not going to talk about it.
[24 A: I've told you I feel I annot discuss (3) that.
(4) Q: So you have a secret settlement in the 51 lawsuit brought by patient num ber 6 which you 16 believe precludes you from telling us how much you [? paid in settlement of the action?
(8) A: Yes.

9: MR. GREENWALD: I believe he said it [tol was a confidentiality agreement. Now, can I just [11] make an observation? If that confidentiality was 112 breached and you have information by someone who 1131 breached the confidentialiry agreement, I think we [14] have a right to know that. At least the doctor has I1sI a right to know that. Is that the case? [16] Ms. Gussack? Has somebody breached his [17 confidentiality agreement by providing you with Ins information?
(19) MS. GUSSACK:I asked a question. nal I got an answer.
[21) MR. GREENWALD:No. I asked a [21] question and I was looking for an answer.
[23] MS. GUSSACK:I will discuss it with
[3] you privately after the deposition.
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[1] MR. GREENWALD: Because I think he In has a right to know that if someone has breached is the confidentiality agreemcnt.
(4) MS. GUSSACK: Could we pleasc have

## (s) that marked.

161 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 40 marked m for identification.)
(3) BY MS. GUSSACK:
19) Q: Doctor, I amputting before you what's tho been identified as Teicher Exhibit 40 . which is a 111 complaint that is captioned Jane Doe and John Doe lut F. Marin Teicher, M.D., sir, and ask you is this u131 the complaint that describes the allegations made (i41 by patient number 6 against you?
is) MR. GREENWALD: We still have our 16: continuing objection:
(17) MS. GUSSACK: Yes.
[18] $A: 1$ believe that it is.
(19) Q: Now, sir, in the course of the $120 \mid$ malpractice lawsuit brought by patient number 6 \{21\} against you, you were deposed, were you not?
[27) A: Yes.
1331 Q: You told us that took place on three [24) separate days. I think. Right?
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III A: Yes.
12) Q: And patient number 6, Jane Doe in that B1 malpractice lawsuit, was also deposed in that (6) action. Correct?
(s) A: Yes.
(6) Q: Have you reviewed her deposition 17 testimony in that case?
(8) A: Yes, 1 have.

191 Q: And, sir, have you reviewed your own 1101 deposition testimony in that case?
(ii) A: At some point in time, yes.
|121 Q: Do you believe, sir, that in that [13] deposition testimony there is isformation about [11] patient number 6 that is of interest with regard to nis) her medical stanus and the issues of evaluaring her 169 reaction to fluoxetine?
$[171$ MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
${ }^{[183]} \mathrm{A}$ : In my deposition or in her deposition?
[19] Q: In your deposition.
T20] MR. GREENWALD: $\mathrm{I} m$ confused. Can r211 I ask you a question for clarification?
122 MS. GUSSACK:Could I first hear from i231 the doctor whether he understands the question?
[24] MR. GREENWALD:Do you understand the
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[1] question? Because I'm confused by it,
[27 MS. GUSSACK: We can do it the easy 131 way or we can do it the hard way.
${ }^{141}$ BY MS. GUSSACK:
Is) Q: Do you understand my question, Doctor?

IG A: I understand your question,
MQ: Can you answer it, sir?
131 A: I guess you need to clarify the 18 question. I think you said "of interest: tiof Q: Of significance; of clinical tit: significance. How's that clarification? is there inz information contained in your deposition testimony (13) in the malpractice lawsuit that is clinically (it) significant in evaluating patient number 6's lisi response to fluoxetine?
(:5) A: I would have to look at that more in carefully with that specific question in mind.
(is) Q: Okay, Doctor. May 1 ask you to return to t191 page MHTOO66 6 of Exhibit No. 18 that is before you.
1209 A: Yes. I bave it.
21. Q: On page 664 of Exhibit 18 there is the 1221 entry that reflects that this patient, patient (231number6, was started onfluoxetine on February 2. p4, Correct?
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## : $A$ : Yes.

z: Q:Now, sir, these are your notes of patient is number 6. Correct?
10) A: They are either my notes or Dr. Glod's is notes but probably my notes.
|6| Q: You see in the fourth line, and correct $m$ me if 1 read this inaccurately. these notes refliect ${ }^{3}$ that patient number 6 had a history of intermittent ig suicidal ideation and has made three significant 110 suicide gestures, first age 17 , and though had (11) significant suicidal ideation episodically during 1121 last five years, made no action since daug. hter's (13) birth five years ago. Is that correct?
[14] A: Yes.
[13) Q: On page 664 as well there is a reference 116 in October 1987 that a brief trial of Surmontil in occurred bur was discontinued due to dysphoric I1s! mania?
ris) A: 10/87, brief trial Surmontil, yes.
[20) Q: I have read that accurately?
[211A: Yes, you have.
I221 Q: And on this same page, sir, in January 1231 ' 88 the patient is identified as hypomanic?
[2+ A : When?
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(II) Q: January " 88.
[1] A: Yes.
B1 Q: Then the entry for Eebruary 2, the day 14 this patient is first given Prozac, sir, could you [s] read the entry that appears there?
(6) A: For February 2 ?

円Q:Yes.
(3) A: -Intense anxiety, progressed in past
to is nearly housebound, coming down" and should be "from tiol hypomania, selfloathing, suicide ideation," |111 I guess, doesn't say, "but no plan or intention. (12] Feels exhausted, tike giving up, hopeless. empry"

1) Q: Could you read the entry for March 1- hat now sir?
(15) A: "Felt shaky, DES plus high,"
2) Q : What does that mean?

127 A: That It think is the dissociative (18) experience scale. That measures the degree of [i9] dissociation. "In pain, testicss. buzzy with ito nervous energy: dizzy, internal skathisia and [21] agitat-
fon. ion."
[2] Q: And could you read the medications that $t 23 \mid$ she was on, sir?
[24) A: "Meds. 4 milligram Haldol," looks like
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(11) 2 comma 5 but should be probably
-2.5 milligrams of 121 clonidine, 800 milligrams Tegretol, 250 of Bi Synthroid, 40 of Valium, 40 milligrams fluoxetine, 4 ; and 100 milligrams of Benadryl."
15) Q: Of the medications that you read, sir, 10 some of those are neuroleptics.
Correct? Correct?
IT A: The Haldol is.
is Q:And that is classically associated with lol zkathisia?
$1101 \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes.
( 111 Q: I think you told us yesterday that when (12) this patient became intensely suicidal in a manner (13) that you attributed to her use of fluoxetine you th ) were away. Is that correct?
tis MR. GREENWALD: He was what? [16] MS, GUSSACK:Away; out of town. [II] A: Part of that episode.
Ins: Q: What do you mean by part of that episode?
I191 A: I was away for a brief period of time out $t 20$ of that episode. I wasa't away for the entire [2] episode. If I recall, I was away for a day and a [23; half and had come back that evening and there was a [231problem earlier in that day, I was back that 12+1 evening, And that was probably March 31.
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III Q: Sir, in your 1990 article you say that [7) patient number 6's self-destruetiveness continued is to intensify, she planned a lechal overdose and put [14 a loaded gun to her head,
$151 \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes.
161 Q: Werc you available in your office to t71 patient number 6 when she planned this lethal (3) overdose and put a loaded gun to her head?
in A: No.

## Greer <br> v.

Itol Q: Did you speak to her at the time that she [II] did that?
[12) A: I spoke to her later that day but not at (13) the time.
trit Q:At the time she did that Nurse Glod spoke [Is: with her?
155, A: Yes.
[17 Q: And Nurse Glod was the person to whom she 1181 reported how she was fecling at that time?
(เ9) A: Yes.
1201 Q: And then you believe you spoke with her |211 later that day?
(22) A: Yes, I did, that evening.
${ }_{1231}$ Q: Did patient number 6 know that you were [20] going to be away at the time?
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[1] A: Oh, yes, and that Nurse Glod or Dr. Glod [2] as she now is was covering. And she also knew BII I would be back that evening.
in Q:Are you able to read, please, the entry 151 for March 24 on MHiT665?
161 A: Yes. March 24?
(7) Q: Yes.
(17) A: "Sinking, barely hanging on, No in difficulty with DC, detached and withdrawn."
(10] Q: Do you know what DC means?
[11] A: It means discontinuation, but
(12) Q: Discontinuation of what?
i13 A: I don't know what it's referring to here.
[13] Q: Okzy.
t151 A: If I can check this for a second.
isel Q: Well, Doctor, if you refer back to page |IT] 664 you see the entry on March 22-
[15) A: Oh, it says DC fluoxetine, right:
[19] Q: So on March 22 the entry says
"severely [20] dissociated and much more so, therefore discontinue [21] flooxetine"?
[2n A: Right. So it says then no difficulty [23) with DC, so she's not having any physical reactions [2f] to discontinuing the medication.
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[1] Detached and withdrawn. I wish [2] I were dead. Ifecl like I am dead. If not 131 dissociated and attached, then suicidal panic and 161 with voices inside shouting at me to commit Isl suicide, Joan came out one voice wants" - 1 wish tof that wasn't there-"wants Doe to die because DI she's fat, ugly and" -
(8) MR. GREENWALD: Eẋcuse me. For the iv sake of continuity, can we redact that from this (10) document and ask the court reporter to just put Doe ta1 in its place? For the preservation of 12 ) con-
fidentiality.I think Dr. Teicher would feel a t13 lot better about that.
(14) MS. GUSSACK: All right. Let the (15) record reflect that at counsel's request we are [161 redacting the reference to "[BLANK]" in the [m March 24 entry on Exhibit No. 18 and changing it to |ts| "Doe." Referring to patient number 6 Correct, nis Doctor?

## (301 THE WITNESS: Yes

## [21) MR. GREENWALD:The

 problem with that [2] is we still have "[BLANK]" in the record. What [23 we're trying to do is get "(BLANK]" out of the [2v] record, so instead of saying "[BLANK]" why don't wePage 218
IIH just say we have redacted a name on 00665 and par changed it to "Doc.-W" alt know what we're is talking about, but there will never be a record in that has the word "(BLANK]" in it. That's what tsi I am trying to achieve.
(6) MS, GUSSACK: That's fine. And we m will fix that at the end of the session today, |㫙 We'II go back to the record and with the agreement 19 of counsel we'll make that change in the record. nor Okay?
(til MR. GREENWALD: And the reporter can |I make a note in the record that by the agreement of 1331 counsel a name on page 00665 was redacted and t14] changed to "Doc," and that way it will never appear tist in the record. Which is what the doctor would (16 like. Okay?
[I7 BY MS, GUSSACK:
tus Q : Is this the first time, Doctor, March 24 , II9 that you were aware that patient number 6 had roo multiple personalities? [21) A: No.
[22) Q: So were you aware prior to March 24, this 1231 entry, that patient number 6 had multriple [241 personalities in which one of the personalities
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(1) wanted another personality to die? (Pause) Do you la have my question in mind, Doctor?
is A: Yes, I'm thinking. T'mtryingto see if (4) there's any specific statement to that here.
is) Q:And you are referring to Exhibit 10?
$15 . \mathrm{A}:$ Yes.
In Q: What about in your notes summarizing in patient number 6 that you have before you?
I9. A: There's no mention in this material of 110 the voice inside or the multiple persomality ItI presence shouring at her to die. And I can't say f12 with cerainty whether it had ever occurred at any nas time before this.
(t4) Q: Your process notes would reflect whether lis! it had. Correct, sir?
${ }^{[161}$ A: They may. What the process notes would (17) reflect is whether she told me it did.
is) O: Sir, would you say that patient number 6 ;19; was an accurate historian: [20) A: I'm sorry, 1 would tike to help bur 121) I think that leads to my discussing details of the |2y case that are not in this material.
(23) Q: Well. sir, you have answered me with (24) respect to patient number 2 and told me that you
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(11) thought there were problems with him as a [2] historian. Correct?
is) $A$ : Yes, I did.
(4) $\mathrm{Q}:$ He was not forthright and candid with is you. You told us that.
(6) A: Yes.
of Q: Now, with respect to -
(4) A: I feel free to discuss case number 2 (9) because I have not been instructed by my attorncy 100 not to discuss case number 2 . I have been tit instructed by myattorney not to discuss the |12 details of case number 6.
(13) Q: Case number 6 and the lawsuit that was the broughtagainst you we have agreed has concluded. its) Correct?
(10) A: Yes.
(17) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
(1) BY MS, GUSSACK:
(II) Q : Why is it that you believe you have some 200 inhibition about discussing the subject matter of pil patient number 6 with respect to that lawsuit?
${ }^{122} \mathrm{~A}$ A: Because there is an ongoing legal matter [23] regarding the Board of Registration in Medicine.
[24) Q : That is the licensing board in
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III Massachuserts?
121 A: Yes.
(3) $Q$ : And there is an ongoing proceeding (4) against you, sir, in which patient number 6 is is making atlegations?
15) A: Yes,
(7) Q: Can you answer the question, sir, whether ray youbelieve patient number 6 is an accurate 193 historian?
noi MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to object. (111 That really puts him in a box in the sense of the 11 a other fssue, because it goes to the issue of 1131 credibility. I think that that at this point would (14) be an improper question and 1 object to it. (11s) MS, GUSSACK:Andy, and De. Teicher, IG: let me explain what T'mgoing to do here.
[17I I don't think there's any question I18) that the information that I am seeking about 199 patient number 6 is directly relevant to the $\{20$ matters that are at issue in this case, including [21] specifically your case report reporting on patient i2y number 6 . an article that you have placed at the 1231 center of this litigation by virtue of your expert :ze report. The fact that you have a disciplinary
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111 proceeding pending before the Board of Registration 2! of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts does not make is patient number 6 , her history and your involvement 4 with that patient, any less significant or relevant is here.
161 Now you may have objections, and r: 1 understand that, but 1 am going to ask these [8] questions; and if the doctor does not want to ig answer, he is going to have to tell me that and we tol are going to go to the judge - when I'm finished tin) you can tell me what you want to tell me. okay? - 122 and 1 am going to seek ar order compeling li31 Dr. Teicher to answer these questions. Because [14] while Dr Teicher may have legal problems in his (13) personallife, they arenot relevant here with 119 respect to patient number 6 . He has voluntarily 117 injected himself into this litigation and these [18! issues are relevant.
119 When we go before the judge and I ask 1201 for the order compelling Dr Teicher to return and [21] answer these questions, tam going to ask that he [27] be compelled at his expense, paying for my costs 123 incurred in returning for these questions.
[24) Now, I would like for the convenience
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(1) of the courr reporter and the parties present that |2| if you're going to make objections, either make a [3] standing objection or simply state, Dr. Teicher, ff] that you're unable to testify, so that we may is) create a record that we can go to Judge Penn with. $[6]$ And I think that's a fair and reasonable way of $\quad$ proceeding. Would you agree?
is MR. GREENWALD: If Dr. Teicher is not is1 going to answer a question. he should explain to nop you why he is not answering. He has explained to 1 in you that he has been instructed by his attorncy - (12] that's obviously not me not to discuss these $t 131$ issues. We have agreed that I have a continuing [14] objection to this whole line of questioning.
[15] And 1 disagree with your position [16) about the relevance of this issue because I don't a7t think that the 1990 article is the centerpiece that (181) you think it is

With respect to this case So tim I disagree with that issue with respect to $[20$ relevance. And I think it is now 4:30 of the an! second day of Dr. Teicher's deposition where he has izy been asked an incredible amount of questionsabout $\because$ the 1990 article. about all of the case repors in 2 ze it. about his notes and all other issues relating
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$\therefore$ to it, and the doctor has repeatedly testified that [2] the 1990 aricle only created a hypothesis, which 13i you have difcussed with him.
+. And forthat reason and other reasons is. that we can discuss I disagree with you on what youla believeto bethe extreme relevance of the 1990 marricle.
s: So if you wish toask the judge for ign an order compelling you certainly have the right toy to do that and we will take the appropriate action th1 if and when you decide to do that and the court (12 will make a decision one way or the other. But 113 I think that Dr. Teicher is within his rights, if 144 he is following the advice of his private counsel, uss to do so. And thoughts about expense and all of [16] that stuff I don't think is appropriate to try to 117 get the doctor to - Are you listening?
nit MS. GUSSACK: Go ahead.
25: MR. GREENWALD:To try to get the 20.) doctor to not follow his attorney's adrice simply taij for fear that he may have to pay somebody'splane man fare. So that is, I think, a kind of unfair 123 threat. But I understand why you've said it.
i2ç MS. GUSSACK:I am not threatening. Page 225
If I am simply stating my intent and my goal.
[21 MR. GREENWALD:Fine.
B3 MS. GUSSACK:My comments were simply ff| directed to explain that 1 2m going to ask these is questions. For the sake of convenience here, 66 I would suggest if Dr. Teicher is not going to m answer, that he simply say so and tell us why he $[8]$ says so. But for purposes of creating my record, 191 I am going to ask erery question which I believe ftof 1 am entitled to an answer to. Okay?
[11] Now, may I have the last qquestion (12] read back.
[13] (The reporter read back as follows: [14] ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ Question: Can you answer the [39] question, sir, whether you believe patient 116 number 6 is an accurate historian')
117 MR. GREENWALD: And I objected.
IISI MS. GUSSACK:I am going to recognize |19 your continuing objection to this entire line of 1201 questions.
I2ITHE WITNESS: And had 1 re-

## sponded?

122 MR. GREENWALD: He answered the [13 question. The docror answered the question. He [241 said This relates to the issue that my atrorney

Page 226 1. has instructed me not to answer." He's already |2 answered that question.
[3) MS, GUSSACK: I'm trying to clarify [4] for my sake where we are.
(s) MR. GREENWALD:1 understand. But (6) when you say what was the last question, he's ip answered the question. Hestated he oasn't going im to answer it. (9) BY MS. GUSSACK:
trof Q: Doctor, is that your position, you are nill unable to respond to the question whether patient ti2l number 6 is an accurate historian?
(1)3 A: Yes.
114) Q: And that is on the advice of your [151 malpractice counsel Mr. Daley?
t16: A: Yes.
I'i Q : Who is representing you in an ongoing (t8) proceeding before the Board of Registration in the (19) Commonwealth of Massachusetts?
1201 A: Correct.
[21) Q: A proceeding which puts your license at [2] issuc?
1231 A: Possibly.
B4, Q: You may lose your license to practice
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(1) medicine in the commonwealth of Massachusetts?
121 A: That's a possibility.
134 Q : Doctor, do you have any recollection (4) sitting here today whether patient number 6 ever is told you prior to March 24 that she had 16 personalities that were encouraging other on personalities to die?
163 MR. GREENWALD: Let me make one other isjobservation. Dr. Teicher doesn't understand, 110, I think, or wouldn't, because he's not a lawyer, [mi any issues about waiver when he testifies; and his (ii) lawyer is not here.
ti3I I would assume that if he does answer itil some questions for you that he feels he cananswer, 155 y you will not use thatas an argument that he has म1ब waived his right to follow his attorncy's advice. (17) That doesn't go into the issue of whether the $[181$ advice is appropriate or not. Is that correct?
It9 MS. GUSSACK:I am not giving $[\mathrm{mal}$ advisory opinions. I'm asking questions and I'm [2] looking for answers.
[2] MR. GREENWALD:If you are going to 123 argue that whatever answer he gives is a waiver of $|24|$ what he said
before, then he ought to consider that
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ill before he answers anyching at all. |2| BY MS. GUSSACK:
3: Q: Doctor do you have a recollection
+: sitting here today of any prior ofcurrence before 151 March 24 in which patient number 6 told you that 6 one of her personalities wanted another personality It to die?
ol MR. GREENWALD: Excuse me. Ithink i9: he has a right to understand what saiser means. If lso you are going to argueat some point waiver (111 I think he has a right to know that.
[1:2] MS, GUSSACK: Neither you nor 1 are nim his counsel, Andy, and Iam not giving him legal (14) counsel today.
Iss MR. GREENWALD:1 am not giving him tigl legal counsel either, but I think the man has a 477 right to know that by answering some questions you thil may argue that he has waived his right not to (19) answer others.

1301 MS, GUSSACK: Can we continue, [21] pieasc?
(2) BYMS. GUSSACK:
(23) Q: Dr. Teicher, can you answer the question?
[24] A: I thought 1 already had.
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(1) Q: You said you might have notes. I'm 12 asking you today sitting here, do you have a 131 recollection one way or the other-
1t A: And I thought I had answered that the 151 material is not contained here and since it is not $|6|$ contained in this and in that, the materials that mI submitted, 1 would have to decline answering the is question for the reasons that we've just discussed.
191 Q: The reasons you've just discussed being llot what?
(iIi) $A$ : The advice of my anomey.
(i2) Q: Mr Daley?
(13) A.Yes.
[14] MR. GREENWALD: Can we agree that the tisl attorncy is Mr. Daley so we don't have to keep (16) repeating that?
1171 MS. GUSSACK:I don't want there to [181) be any confusion about who's doing what here.
1191 MR. GREENWALD: Good.
t20) BY MS. GUSSACK:
${ }_{1211}$ Q: On page 665 of Exhibit No. 18, Doctor, izilyou have a reference backto baseline in terms of 1231 suicidal thoughis, Correct?
[21) A : Yes.
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if Q: What was baseline for suicidal
thoughts 121 for patient number 6 ? i3 $A$ : Intermittent suicidal thoughts and with (4) no intention to act on thern.
(5) MR. GREENWALD: Doctor, where are you 6 reading from?
I: THE WITNESS: Reading from case 6 isi description.
mas MR. GREENWALD: But what exhibit are thol you reading from?
IHTHE WITNESS: I am reading that from |12 Exhibit 10.

## IIM BY MS. GUSSACK:

124) Q: Doctor, it is true, isa't it, that (15) throughout the time that you treated patient number (16) 6 she was raking Valium?
(in) A: As I wrote in Exhibit 10 , she was on tisi diazepam, 40 milligrams, Valsum 40 milligrams per 199 day, and during the duration of the chronology that 1 mm we've described in this case she was on Valium.
[211 Q: Was she on Valium, sit,throughout the i23 six years of treatment that you protided to her?
129 MR. GREENWALD:Objection. He never L2ulsaid he treated her forsix years.
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II) A: Again, I will decline answering that [2 question on the advice of myattorney. bi Q: Now, sir, in your deposition tes timony 14 taken in the case of Jane Doe versus Martin Teicher 151 you in fact provided information, didn't you, about (6) patient number 6's medical history? Correct?
m MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
is) A : On the advice of counsel I will not on discuss the deposition testimony.
troi Q: Well, sir, I am going to put before you nu the deposition of Martin Teicher taken on October (12] 20,1993, and ask you to refer to pages starting 131 at 97 .
[24] MR. GREENWALD: Is this the whole [ist thing?
${ }^{[16]}$ MS. GUSSACK: Yes.
Im BYMS. GUSSACK:
(13) Q: Doctor, before you look at page 97, can [19] you describe for me the allegations made against tov you by patient number 6 in this malpractice [21 complaint?
12\% MR. GREENWALD: I'm sorry, I mic sed t231 the question completely. I'm sorty.
B+1 BYMS. GUSSACK:
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I11 Q: Can you describe for me the alIegations tal that Jane Doe, patient fuumber 6, made against you 131 in the malpractice complaint?
14) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(5) A: On the advice of counsel I will not.
(16) Q: Well, sir, you would agree that she in complained that you were negligent in your (8) prescribing of multiple medications for her at the 19 same time. Correct:

## (i) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

it: A: I have indicated I will not discuss this.
(12) Q: Sir, did she also allege in her complaint 1131 and in her deposition that you had engaged in (th) multiple acts of sexual relations with her?
iff MR, GREENWALD:Objection.
I16) A: Again, I will not discuss this or: advice 1 of of counsel.
(18) Q: Now, Doctor, let me be clear. You are 119 again not answering these questions on advice of pop counsel because of the pending matter before the [2I Board of Registration?
[231 A: Correct.
123 MR. GREENWALD: Can we shorten this 241 by having him say that's the reason he's not going
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(1) to answer all of the questions? Unless he has a 121 different reason, he will provide one. So we don't Bi have to -
H1 MS. GUSSACK:I'm understanding
him is prety wrll Okays him is pretty well. Okay?
${ }^{161}$ BY MS, GUSSACK:
(T) Q: Could you referto page 97 of your (3) deposition of October 20, 1993. Doctor, please.
Th) MR. GREENWALD: This deposition says tholat the top of it "confidential." May I ask how it tit was obrained if it's confidential?
(ti2 MS. GUSSACK:1 will be glad to l131 discuss that with you after the deposition.
[14] BYMS. GUSSACK:
115] Q: Could you refer to page 97, sir.
[16) A: Yes, I see the deposition.
(17) Q: Now, sir, you see where the question is (18) asked "Have you been with Jane Doc in any hotels, Ity motels or inns?" Do you see that question?
[20) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
I2II A: On the advice of counsel I will not [2] discuss this.
(23) Q: Your answer at that time on page 97 was [24| "No." Is that correct?
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II MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
[2) A: It is the advice of counsel that Inot (3) discuss this matter.
(3) Q: Doctor, is it accurate to state that on [5] October 20, 1993, in your deposition you described 161 Jane Doe as suffering from, from being diagnosed as
[7] a grand hysteric during her first hospitalization [8] at McLean? (9) MR. GREENWALD:Objection. f101 A: Again. I will not discuss this. (ti) Q: And. Doctor, on page (12) MR. GREENWALD:I am going to move l13 when you're done to strike all of this based on It 1 what I perceive to be the use of a confidential (15) document.
[16 BY MS, GUSSACK:
(t) Q: Doctor, on page -
(18) MR. GREENWALD:As well as the wher :1川 reasons we've talked about.
(20) Q: On page 98 of your deposition taken in (2t) this malpractice case, is it accurate to state that $\mid 221$ you identified a grand hysteric as someone who [23] would make up all sorts of things for attention?
[24] MR. GREENWAL.D: Objection.
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(1) A: Again, I will not discuss that.
(2) Q: You stated during this deposition. didn't 131 you, that she remained a grand hysteric during the (4) entire time that you treated her?

## (s) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

19 A : Again, 1 am not able to discuss that.
[7) Q: She was treated for this disorder of (s) grand hysteric but did not do verv well. Correct, ir sir?
n10: MR. GREENWALD: Objection. (1ii) $\mathrm{A}:$ I am not able to discuss that.
1124 Q: Doctor, it is true, isn't it, referring (131) to page 99 of the deposition, that patient number 6 [14] suffered delusions the entire time that you were [1s) treating her because you described it as a chronic (16) problem?
(IIT MR. GREENWALD: Objection. [28] A: I am not able to discuss that.
(19) Q: Doctor, referring to page 101 of the $|20|$ deposition, you stated that patient number 6 had [21] serious problems with reality testing, 122 distinguishing fantasy from reality in all areas. [23] Correct?
12+1 MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
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[1) A: I am not able to discuss it.
in Q : Doctor, it is true, isn't it, that when 131 you first began treating patient number 6 in 1986, In that Nurse Glod told you that she was, quote, one [5] of the sickest patients she's ever encountered, end $[6]$ quote?
(7) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.

161 A: I am not able to discuss that.
19) Q: You can't discuss whether Nurse Glod told nol you that in the initial course of your treatment [1I| with patient num-

## ber 6?

fir A:I have been instructed not to discuss the II3 details of the case. If it's in the article, if thel it's in these notes, I'm happy to discuss it. If (is) it's not there. I think that that goes against my bio دromer's adrice.
\# O: Siryou have testified, haver't you at is: page 110 of the deposition taken on October 20.11911993 , that Nurse Glod toid that you there was much isp greater transference under the surface than you a) were picking up in the therapy sessions and you t22 should be very careful and that Nurse Glod 1231 en courged you to, quote, "maintain as rigid net boundaries as I can," end quote. Isn't that right,
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## (2. $\operatorname{sir}$ ?

12) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
13) $\mathrm{A}: 1$ am unable to discuss that.
if) Q : It is not that you are unable to discuss is it, you have chosen not to discuss it, sir, |G Correct?
n MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
m BY MS. GUSSACK:
ol Q: You are unwilling to discuss this?
tho) $A$ : Unwilling, unable.
(13) MR. GREENWALD:I think he said be's 121 following his counsel's advice.
(19) BY MS. GUSSACK:
(11) Q : Turning to page 185 , sir, of the 119 deposition, you srated that patient number 6 was 116 physically dependent but not addicted to Valium ur throughout the time that you treated her. Correct?
[18] MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
IIश $A: I$ am unable to, unwilling to discuss it.
I20 Q: Do you know whether your notes reflect(21) that, sir, the ones that you bave before you?
[22] A: The notes that are present do not refer 1231 to the entire period that I was treating her.
(34) MR. GREENWALD: is this an extra
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(1) copy?
[21 MS. GUSSACK: No. That is my only is: complete copy.
(4] MR. GREENWALD:Are you making this is an exhibit?
16. MS. GUSSACK:I haven't decided yct. nI BYMS, GUSSACK:
18: Q: Doctor, referring to page 190 to 191, ig 1 want to direct you to your testimony where you tron said that Jane Doc would callyouat home. Isn't tulthat right?
I12: A: I am unwilling to discues that.
(13) Q: She called you at home throug. hout the $1 t+i$ course of the time that you were treating her. (15) Correct?
If6) A: I am unwilling to discuss that
II Q: You have sestified in sour malpatictice iss deposition -
IO) MR. GREENWALD: I don' know why so I keep saying objection. I have a continuing (2): objection.
122 MS, GUSSACK: Right.
t231 Q: - referring to page 190 to 191, that int throughout the time that you treated patient number
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111 6 she was often very suicidal at night after her [2] husband went to sleep. Correct?
i3) A: I am unwilling to discuss that.
1.1 Q: She had a grear deal of difficulty at ist night, that was the worst part of the day for her, $[6]$ Correct?
M A: I am unwilling to discuss that.
(8) Q: She was, quote, "very lonely,very (9) frightened, often very suicidal after her husband noi had gone to siecp." Sir, is that accurate?
(11) $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{I}$ am unwilling to discuss that.
(12) Q: You would admit, wouldn't you, sir, that (133) you have stated in the second part of your (14) deposition in this case. taken on June 30. 1994-
IIS MR. GREENWALD: Is this the whole 116) thing?

IIT Q: - on page 175 that during a large part Ins of the time that patient number 6 was a patient of fi91 yours, she was at risk of suicide. Correct, sir?
T201 MR. GREENWALD: Wait a second. What (an page are you on?
[231 MS. GUSSACK:Page 175.
1231 MR. GREENWALD:That's not what my p24 page says.
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(11 MS. GUSSACK: Iam in Volume 2 June (21) 30,1994

131 MR. GREENWALD:Is this the whole it) deposition or is there another one of these?
[5] MS. GUSSACK:This is day two of 161 Dr. Teicher's deposition, on page 175.
DI MR. GREENWALD:My 175 says (a) "Mr. Daley: 1 am going to instruct the witness in not to answer."
tion MS, GUSSACK: That's Volume 2, You [til must have Volume 1 in front of you. (12) MR. GREENWALD:That's why I as ked 133 you, is this the whole thing? Ital MS. GUSSACK:Well, that's the whole tis thing for the first day and this is the whole thing it6 for the second day. IIT MR. GREENWALD: Well, when I said [18] whole thing I meant the whole
broughtyz! with him? Because we know it's not in the article.
[231 MR. GREENWALD: Wait a minute. Now [34) I'm confused. You are not talking about the
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(1) articic:
:21 MS. GUSSACK: Is it in Exhibit 18 is anywhere? That's my question.
(*) MR. GREENWALD: I hate to ask this. (5) but while he's looking through that, can we take a [6] two-minute break? ! need to do that.
$\rightarrow$ MS, GUSSACK:Sure.
in (In recess $4: 55$ p.m. to $5: 05$ p.m.)
(9) MS. GUSSACK:I am going to have tion marked as Exhibit 41 Volume 1 of -
III MR. GREENWALD:Now, 1 want to say 112 somethinger I have noticed in skimming some of this [13] that this person's name appears in these [14] depositions.
[151 MS, GUSSACK: Off the recond, if you (15) would. for a second.

เท MR. GREENWALD:Off the record. Itsi (Discussion off the record.)
[am MS. GUSSACK:One thing at a time. (200) I will mark this as Exhibit 41.
(21) MR. GREENWALD: What is it?
[22] MS. GUSSACK: It is the order lifting 1331 the confidentialityby the judge in the case.
[24] (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 41 mar ked
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(1) for identification.)

## (2) BY MS, GUSSACK:

(3) Q: Let me show you Exhibit 41, Doctor, which (4) has on the side the handwritten notation "Allowed is] after hearingon the record, the court not having 16 been persuaded that good cause exists for $[$ in impoundment, Impoundment Rule 7." Have you ever [g] seen that before is my question.
19) A: No, I haven't seen it.
tiof MS. GUSSACK:Let's have marked as (11) Exhibit 42 Volume 1 of the deposition of Martin [12) Teicher in Civil Action No. $92-0947$. In fact, 131 let's make Exhibit 42 all three volumes. (14) (Teicher Deposition Exhibits 42-A, t15] $42-\mathrm{B}$ and $42-\mathrm{C}$ marked for identification.)
[16, MR. GREENWALD: Can I ask you a [17] question? Off the record.
(18) MS, GUSSACK:Yes.
[19) (Discussion off the record.).
\{rof BY MS. GUSSACK:
[211 Q: Doctor, I have had marked as 42 A the 1221 first day of your deposition taken inJane Docon 1231 October 20,1993.42-B
is the second day of your [20 deposition. taken on June 30,1994 . And $42-\mathrm{C}$ is
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(1) the third day of your deposition, taken on July 6, rn 199.4.And let me just ask you if you would. sir, 13 can you identify this as the transcripts of your i+| deposition restimony which you have previously is: revicaed reflecting your testimony in the if malpractice action brought by patient number 6 ?
5, MR. GREENWALD: I am going to object rs: because it would require him to read ever: single ist page to determine whether thar's histestimony.ftorAnd you have probably - I don't know - five t1: hundred pages here. More than five hundred pages.
[121 BY MS, GUSSACK:
t13! Q: Doctor, can you answer my questjon?
n41 A: Skimming the record, it looks like more tis) or less like that material It looks a lot like it (1s) but I haven'r read every word to verify it's IT7 accurate.
[13] Q: I understand you haven't read every word, etsy sir, but it certainly looks like your deposition [20) testimony that you have previously revicwed in the [21] case of Jane Doe or patient number 6 versus Martin [2a) Teicher. Correct?
123: A: I'cs.
(126) Q: Thank you, sir.
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[1] MR. GREENWALD:And 1 know you are [2 marking them as exhibits to the deposition but Iam mi objecting to them. I think they are irrelevant and 14 we can argue the issues -
t51 MS. GUSSACK: You have that 101 continuing objection. All right?
IT MR. GREENWALD:Yes. But it also mis includesthe marking of these deposition 19) transcripts as well as the impoundmentorder, fio! Exhibit No. 41 that you just marked.
[11] BY MS, GUSSACK:
[12] Q : Doctor, is it true thar during a large (13) part of the time that patient number 6 wasa [14] patient of yours she was at risk of suicide?
Iss MR. GREENWALD: I still have my tie continuing objection?
[17] MS. GUSSACK: Yes, sir.
Iisi MR. GREENWALD: To every question on l191 patient number 6 that the doctor feels he cannot pon answer?
[21] MS. GUSSACK: Yes.
[22) MR. GREENWALD: Okay.
123 A: What I can say is that from the material 1241 provided in Exhibit 10, from the case description,
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(1) the answer to that question is yes.
(2) Q: And, sir, she remained a suicide risk If al the end of 1990 when sou stopped treating her:

+ MR. GREENWALD:Objection. Did he "5: testify that he stopped treating her in 1990? (6) I don't think so.
[7] BY MS, GUSSACK:
(3) Q: Did you stop treating patient number 6 in 191 1990?
t1on A:I ann unwilling to answer that question.
I11 Q: Did she continue to remain suicidal, sir, ital or a suicide risk throughout 1990?
(13) A: I am unwilling to answer that key. (14) Q: Did she remain at risk for suicide from (Is) the time that you published this case report, sir, (16) until 1990?
It7 A: The case report was published in 1990.

Ins Q: Tm sorry. From the time that you 191 submitted it for publication until it was t201 published.
[21) A:I am unwilling to answer the question. [27] She remained a risk during the period of time that 1231 I described the case. It was more intense when she 129 was on fluoxetine; it was less intense during the
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11) periodIdescribed after the fluoxetine was 21 abandoned. But certainly the risk did not go away.
[3) Q: W/as the risk after parient number 6'suse (4i of fluoxetine different thanher risk for suicide isl prior to her use of fluoxetine?
(6) A: No. It was about the same.
(7) Q: Now, sir, is it correct that patient in) number 6 suffered from a depressive form of manic-lil depressive illness with periods of dysphoric mania [10] which were drug-induced?
[11] A: I indicate in Exhibit 10 that she had 112 bipolar disorder, which is a manic-depressive [131 illness.
114] Q: Was it a predominantly depressive form of (1s) manic-depressive illness?
[15] A: (Pause) I indicate in Exhibit 18 again In that she had a history of bipolar disorder and 1181 indicate a period of hypomania and indicate a ng period of dysphoric mania.
[301 Q: Which were drug-induced?
[211 A: I indicate that the bricf trial of [2] Surmontil was discontinued due to dysphoric mania, 123 but I did not indicate in this specifically whether 124 it was druginduced.
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enchilada.
(19) BY MS. GUSSACK:
[20] Q: Doctor, is that an accurate statemcat?
jail MR. GREENWALD: Wzit a minute now:
i22 $A$ : I have been advised not to answer 123 MR. GREENWALD: May I say for the [2t) record that this is also marked "confidential" and

## Page 241

(1) I have the same concerns that I expressed with ia regard to the furst volume.

## (3) BY MS, GUSSACK:

(4) Q: Doctor, there was a confidentiality (s) impoundment order entered in the Jane Doe v. 161 Teicher matter. Is that right?
in MR. GREENWALD: 1 'm sorry. 1 totally (8) missed that question.
m BY MS. GUSSACK:
(1아 Q : Wasthere an impoundment order, 2 |in confidentiality order entered in the case, do you 1121 know?
1131 A: To the extent I understand these legal the questions, I believe so.
(15) Q: And are you also aware that that order (16) was lifted by the judge at the conclusion of the (IT) case at the request of The Boston Globe?
(1s) A: 1 believe so.
1391 Q: Doctor, could I refer you to page 175 of rom day 2 of your transcript in the case and ask you to (211 confirm for us that you have testified that during ry a large part of the time that jane Doe was a 123: patient of yours, she was at risk of suicide. Is (24) that correct, sir?
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[1] A: I am unable to answer that.
121 Q: Well, Doctor, based on yourtreatment in notes and your records before you, can you answer (4) that?
(5) MR. GREENWALD: He has just said he's |6] not going to answer.
I7) A: According to item 10 , casereport 6. i81 I indicated that the patient bad Specifically. is intermittent suicidal thoughts bad continued during forf the past five years is something that $I$ indicated tul at the beginning, and then at the end I indicated (12) the severity of her suicidal and destructive $[13$ t thoughts and her need to act on them had abated.
[14] Q : Well, sir, is intermittent -
[15] MR. GREENWALD: Wait a minute. Can I19 I just ask, what were you just reading from, $(17)$ Doctor?
[18) THE WITNESS: I said item 10.
(19) MR. GREENWALD:You mean Exhibit 10 ?
tro THE WITNESS: Exhibit 10. Excuse me.

## I21 BYMS. GUSSACK:

122 Q : Is intermitrent suicidal ideation zi Consistent with your testimony in the malpractice [2+] case that this patient was suicidal on a nightly
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(11) basis and frequently called you about it?
12) A: 1 am unwilling to answer that.
(3) Q: So you cannot tell me whether a statement it) in Exhibit 10, your 1990 case report series, is [s] consistent with a statement that you have made $[6]$ under oath in a deposition?
[7] A: Correct. It is correct that 1 am |si unwilling or unable based on counsel's adviec to ts answer that question.
(10) Q: Doctor, it is true, isn't it, that you [11] prescribed Buprenex for patient number 6?
[12] A:I am unwilling to answer that question.
(131 Q: Well, is it in your treatment records [14 that you have before you? Not fust the articie usi reporting on it but Exhibit 18.
IIG A: III check Exhibit 18. (Pause)
imi Q: While you're looking, Doctor, ean you (is) tell me, what is Buprenex?
II9] A: Buprenex is a Schedule 5 opioid 120) amalgesic.
[21] $Q$ : Is it a controtled substance?
[22] A: Schedule 5. That means it's sort of in ta3 the same category as Lomonil. It is not considered [24] to pose any significant risk of addiction or

## Page 244

[1] dependence as opposed to, say, like Tylenol No. 3 [2] or something, which would be a Schedule 2 drug.
[3] I can'tsec anymention ofBuprenex [1] in Exhibit 18 or Exhibit 10, so I can't answer your (s) previous question.
10. Q: Sir, you prescribed Buprenex to patient r] number 6 in late 1987 or early 1988 in an sol injectible form. Correct? is A. I can't answer that question.
${ }^{n} 0$ Q: Doctor, what is polypharmacy?
iII $A$ : It refers to prescribing multiple 112 medications.
(131 Q: You prescribed Buprenex for patient [14] number 6 for drug detoxification, pain control, and Its! because she was addicted to Percocet. Correct?
Ing A: I can't answer that question. I am $[17]$ unwilling to answer the question,
[18; Q: You have testified to that in your 1191 deposition in the malpractice case, haver't you?
[20) A:1 2m unwilling to answer the
question.
[21] Q: Do you bave the deposition transcript in [22] front of you?
(29) MR. GREENWALD: Which volume? 2+1 MS. GUSSACK: Volume 2, June 30.
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.1) 1994.
i2 MR. GREENWALD: Volume 2 is right (3) here.

## (4) BY MS, GUSSACK:

(5) Q: You have seen that transeript before, is! Doctor?
IT) A: Yes, I have.
ta Q: Can you tell me whether what 1 am is describing about the testimony in the transcript is 110 accurate or not?
itil A: Unwilling to discuss it.
[12] Q : This is your testimony, isn't it, that we 1131 have before you, June 30, 1994?
(14) MR. GREENWALD: Let me object and say tIS you have provided a document marked confidential t16 which states Jane Doe and John Doc, Plaintiffs. [I7] versus Martin Teicher and purports to be a (18) deposition taken on June 30 . 1994.
[19] BY MS, GUSSACK:
1201 Q: Doctor, could you look at that deposition [z! and tell me if you have any question as to whether man that is your testimony in the ease Jane Doe v. [23] Martin Teicher?
(24) MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to obiect.
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(1) I think he would have to read the entire deposition [2] to besure whetherit was his testimony or not.
(3) A: Without reading the entire testimony, it in looks like it.
Is: Q: It looks like your testimony in the (6) malpractice action brought by patient number 6?
하 A: Yes.
${ }^{181}$ Q: Now, sir, is it true that patient number 1916 was injecting herself as many as fourtimes a day 1 lof during 1988 or 1989 when she was using Buprenex? (1it A: Unwilling to answer.
(I2) Q : Is it true, sir, that patient number 6 (13) had a history of cocaine abuse?

1141 A: Unwilling to answer.
[15] Q : Is that contained in your treatment 1 gl records on the patient that you've brought with [17] you? It is not reported in your article.
[18) MR. GREENWALD:The question is, is (19) it in the case reports? Is that the question?
120 MS. GUSSACK:NO. Is it in the (21) records, his detailed synopses that he's

## [1] Q: Do you believe it to be drug-induced?

12) A: I am unwilling to answer that.
(3) Q: Sir, it is true, isn't it, that as carly lalas July of $198 \div$ patient number 6 was having 151 problems or symptoms of akathisit?
*) A: If it is not in this material. I am unwilling to answer that.
(3) Q: Well, sir, do you have a recollection 19) that that's true about paticnt number 62
itv A: I am unwilling to answer that. Iam not in! willing to discuss details of the case that are not [12] presented in the details I've given you, on advice [13] of counsel.
[14) Q: Doctor, is it accurate that during the list time that you treated patient number 6, whatever (16) that period of time was, that you prescribed to her [17] 2s many as fifry different medications?
[58] A: Unwilling to answer that.
I193 Q: Ir is true, isn't it, sir, that patient 120 ) number 6 experienced akathisia and restlessness at 1211 various times during the time that you were iza treating her? 1231 A: There is, Ibelieve, a mention of 2261 akathisia in case 6 on March 3.
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(1) Q: What about episodes of akathisia prior to 12 March 3 as reported in your records on patient is1 number 6?
[4] A: It looks to me that the earliest report is of akathisia in the materal available here that $|6|$ Ive made available in this indicates March 3, and (7) I am unwilling to answer about material that Is predares what's here.
191 Q: So, sir, it is not that she didn't nom experience akathisia prior to March 3 , it is simply [tI] that you are unwilling to answer as to whether she $[12\}$ did in fact experience akathisia prior to that [13] date?
[14] A: Correct.
[15] Q: And you are unwilling to provide that $[16]$ information based upon advice from counse?
[17) A: Yes.
[18; Q: Sir, is it true that beginning in 1987 (191 but becoming more serious in 1968 patient number 6 (20) and her husband were facing significant financial 121 problems?
[22 A: Unwilling to answer.
123 Q: Well, were her financial problems
one of [24] those life suressors that you considered in
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(1) evaluating whether her suicidality was attributable 12 to her use of fluoxetine?
(31 A: What I will say is in all of the cases 541 that we reported we considered life circumstances is1 and the significance and severity of life $[6]$ circumstances in evaluating the phenomena for all [7] cascs.
3: Q: Specifically with respect to patient 7) number 6 did you consider the financial tion difficulties that she was experiencing in 1987 and (1) then more seriously in 1988 as life stressors that (an would provide the altermate explanations thar you [13 said were significant in evaluating whether there 114 is a causal link berween the use of fluoxetine and [15) suicidality?
It6 A: I am unwilling to confirm or deny that $[17$ there were financial difficulties at this juncture.
(in: Q: Doctor, did patient number 6 pick up a fi91 gun to threaten someone who was threatening a faor lawsult against her husband?
i21 A: I am unwilling to answer that.
122) Q: Her husband wassued, wasn't he?

I2w A: I am unwilling to answer that.
[24) Q: Well, Doctor, you have testificd, haven't
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(1) you, on the third day of your deposition in the (2) malpractice proceeding that patient number 6 picked bi upa gun to threaten the person who was suing her 141 husband?
I51 A: Unwilling to answer that.
661 Q: Now, did that event occur prior to the $[71$ time that patient number 6 took fluoxetine?
isf A: I am unwilling to answer that.
191 Q: Do you think it is significant, sir, in t10) determining whether patient number 6's hostile or [in] aggressive acts either towards others or herself ina are related to her use of fluoxetine?
(13) A: I am unwilling to answer that.
[14] Q: So, Doctor, is ir fairto saythat there [151 is information that youare unwilling to provide us [16] that may be clinically significant in evaluating 1 Ir patient number 6 and the claim that you make in tis) your article or the observation that you make in [19] your article that her obsessive preoccupation with par suicide sas induced by fluoxetine?
[21] MR. GREENWALD:Could you run that by $12 y$ me one more time?
I23 (The reporter read the question.)
2a) MR. GREENWALD: Wait a sccond.
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III MS. GUSSACK: You have a standing [1] objection.
©i MR. GREENWALD: Iknow, but I just |a want to think about what the question
was for a 151 second.
(6) BY MS. GUSSACK:

T1 Q: Doctor, do you have my question in mind?
क A: Yes. I am unwilling to answer it
(9) Q: Doctor, is there information that you are $\{10$ unsilling to proside that would be clinically as; significant in evaluating that issue with respect (12) to patient number 6?
[131 A: You just changed the question now. The (14) first time you said that mas be and now you said is that would be 116; Q: Yes.
It MR. GREENWALD: I still have my (ts; continuing objection on these questions. Right?
(19 MS, GUSSACK: Mm-hmm
r201 MR. GREENWALD: Is that mm-hmm a [21) yes?
[22] MS. GUSSACK:Yes.
[23) A: I am unwilling to answer that question.
[24] Q: You can't tell us whether there is
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(1) information that you are unwilling to provide that |a is clinically significant?
BI A: Correct.
(4) Q: And you can't tell us because you believe is1 you are operating at the direction of your counsel (6) representing you before the disciplinary board?
円1 A: Yes.
(玉) Q: Doctor,youtestified, didn'tyou,on the 199 third day of your deposition in the malpractice [toj case that in 1988 patient number 6's personality [11] Joan dec peratelywanted patient number 6 to die. [12) Correct?
[13] A: Unwilling to answer the question. [14] Q: And although in 1986 it is true, isn't [155 it, that these personalities were yelling at her tie less often to kill herself but there were times II7 when they still would yell at her to kill herself. [18) Correct?
(191 A: Unwilling to answer that.
1201 Q: Now, Doctor, it is true, isn't it, that [21] in the course of the malpractice suit brought by 1221 patient number 6. patient number 6 alleges that you 1231 had sexual relations with her starting in the fall [24] of 1984. Correct?
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(1) A: I am unwilling to answer that.
[2] Q: And she further testified, sir, didn't [31 she, that you had sexual relations with her at the (4) Battle Green Hotel. Correct?
(5) A: I am unwilling to answer that.
(6) Q : Doctor, are you denying those [7] allegations?
(8) MR. GREENWALD: That is an unfair (I) question. He said he's not going to discuss any of 110 this. By asking him questions to make it appear [11] like he is giving answers I think is unfair.
12: MS, GUSSACK:I am not suggesting [131 that he is giving answers. Im asking him if-
[(*) MR. GREENWALD:Just a minute. If (15) you want to put your question on the record, you 126 have every right to do that, but -
IIT MS. GUSSACK: That's my question.
is. MR. GREENWALD:- to ask him |n questions that are in a sense trick questions to 200 him, like the last one, I think is inappropriate [21 and unfair. The man bassaid onadvice of counsel (22) he is not going to discuss anything except what's 12sy in the papers that he has produced. (24) BY MS, GUSSACK:
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(1) Q: No trick intended, sir. Do you [5] undersand my question? Can you deny those Bl allegations?
(4) A: I understand your question, but I believe $(51$ I have to refuse to answer the question.
(6) Q: So you are not able sitting here to deny $m$ those claims by patient number 6 ?
(5) MR. GREENWALD: Just a minute, i9 That's not what he said. What he said is he is not ${ }^{100}$ going to discuss it on advice of counsel. And [11] that's an unfair representation of what you think $[12]$ he just said or what you've made up that he just les! said.
|141 BY MS, GUSSACK:
(15) Q: Doctor?
(16) A: You know, I would love to answer the [I7] question, bur I would really love to speak to my [z8] attorncy about whether I can answer that question [19] or not.
t201 Q: ShouldIaskit this way? Doctor, do you l21 denythat -No , strike that. Let me ask it even [2n more precisely. Have you denied, sir, in your $\{231$ testimony in the malpractice case brought by [24] patient number 6 that you had any inappropriate
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[1] touching or kissing with patient number 6 ?
[2] MR. GREENWALD: And, again, the B1 documents speak for themselves, Whatever's in his 141 deposition is in his deposition. He said he can't (5) tell you and on advice of counsel he's not going to 16 discuss the subject marter. So it is an unfair $\eta$ kind of insinuation that whatever he answers he's is really not denying something, when in fact he is $|9|$ not saying thar; he's saying he can't tell you
(10) whether he's denying anything.
[11] MS. GUSSACK-It seems to me the [1] doctor has made very clear that his answers to It31 these quesrions are cither ves with information or $\{14\}$ no with information or I can' answer that because [is! my counsel has adrised me not to,

## (10) BY MS, GUSSACK:

II7 Q: I am asking you, sir, and the objection lus is noted, have you denied in the context of the n9 malpractice suit brought by patient number 6 her pan testimony and allegation that yut had inappropriate [24] touching and kissing with patient number 6?
(22) MP. GREENWALD:And again I think [231 you're doing the same thing to him.
[26) MS, GUSSACK; Can we go ahead,
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## 11 please?

(2) MR. GREENWALD:Even though 1 have a B! continuing objection I am still compelled to say 14150 .
(5) A: The information is there, but under the is)advice of counsellam unwilling to answer the m question.
in Q: And when you say the information is in there, sir, are you referring to your deposition lof testimony in the lawsult? (11) A: Y̌es.
(ti) Q : It is true, isn't it, sir, that in the i23) course of the deposition of patient number 6 in (14) this lawsuir she testified that sexual relations [ss occurred between you on multiple times. Correct?
n⿴囗 A: I am unwilling to answer the question.
II7 O: She testified, didn't she, sir, that on [18) three or four occasions she had sexual relations i29 with you at your home. Correct?
1201 A: 1 am unwilling to answer the question.
[21) MS. GUSSACK: Off the record.
[2] (Discussion off the record.)
[23] MR. GREENWALD: 1 just want to put [24] one thing on the record. My concern with your
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(1) questions is that the way many of them are phrased, [2] they may tend to give the impression that the $[3]$ doctor has not denied something by the way he bas 14 answered when in fact he is not responding to the [s] question at all on advice of counsel And Idon't 16 want the record to appear that when you say"Are I7you denying," that because his answer is on advice isi of counsel it would appear that he therefore is not is denying something.
[101 I want it to be clear that he's not inn answering at all on advice of counsel.
(12 THE WITNESS: Would it be better to (13) say 1 am unwilling to answer on the advice of $(t+1)$ counsel? Would that be better?
is! MA. GREENWALD: That's fine.
,ist MS. GUSSACK: That's fine. Doctor. :\%. I just aant to make sure that you understand - Iet tis, me finish - that you're clear about my position. If91 which is that 1 want you to give me as complete an (20) answer as you can, And those questions that you lan feel you are unwilling or unable to answer because :221 of the advice of counsel. you simply need to say (23) 50. Okay?
124 THE WITNESS: Yes.
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in MR. GREENWALD: And you understand [2] that wr carlier tricd to discuss with you the [3] concept of waiver and how it would affect answers [4] with respect to some that the doctor might give or 151 not give, and you did not wish to get into that 16 k kind of a discussion on how you would react to F that. Therefore, we're procecding as we are pi proceeding, so go ahead.
Iश BY MS. GUSSACK:
niof Q: Doctor, you are aware, aren't you, that nu patient number 6 has alleged that you engaged in (12) oral sex, intercourse and anal intercourse with you II\# on a number of occasions -
(14) MA. GREENWALD: I'm sorry. Can we go [1s] off the record for a second No. sever mind. Go fte ahead.
II7) Q: - on a number of occasions during the tas period that you treated her.Right? I19) A: I am unwilling to answer on the advice of 1201 counsel.
[21] MR. GREENWALD: I still have my [22] continuing objection. Right?
1291 MS. GUSSACK-Yes.
[24) BY MS, GUSSACK:
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[11) Q : It is true, isn't it, Doctor, that you [2] saw patient number 6 at your home. Correct?
B1 A: Unwilling to answer on the advice of [4] counsel.
[51 Q : It is also true, sir, isn't it, that 161 patient number 6 is the only patient that you have (T) ever seen at your home for psychiatric care?
(8) A: Unwilling to answer on the advice of 191 counsel.
not Q: It is true, sir, isn't it, that you don't (11) maintain an office for psychiatric care at your |Iz home, do you?
Ir3i A: Un willing to answer on the advice of 1141 counsel.
(1s) Q: Now, sir, is it true that you had sexual nug relations with patient number

## 6 in your office?

(it) A: Unwilling to answer on the advice of (18) Counsel.
(19) Q: Are you aware, sir, that patient number 6 l20 has testified that you bad sexual relations with (13) her in your office countless times?
(21) A: Unwilling to answer on the adrice of 123 counsel.
(24) Q: Sir, is it true that you provided
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(4) psychiatric care in one of your sessions with in patient number 6 while you were working at the 13 Charles River Hospital?
(4) A: Unwilling to answer on the advice of (5) counsel.
(6) $\mathbf{Q}$ : And is it true, sir, that on occasion you 71 had patient number 6 assist you in the preparation ter of some work or slides that you were preparing?
(9) $A$ : Unwilling to answer on the advice of noy counsel.
itil $Q$ : Sir, is it accurate that considering the 1121 office visits that patient number 6 had with you 133 between 1984 and 1990, on 65 to 70 percent of those (14) visits you engaged in serual relations with patient [15) number 6 ?
[16] A: Unwilling to answer on the advice of 127 counscl.
[18, Q : Now, sir, you have admitted, have$n^{\prime} t$ you, 199 that you have given gifts to patient number 6 ?
[20) A: Unwilling to answeron the advice of |2] counsel.
|22) Q: You gave her an artificial plant, didn't 1231 you?
[24) A: Unwilling to answer.
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(1) Q: You gave her a pair of earrings, sir? Is in that right?
[3] A: Unwilling to answer.
(4) Q : You sent her birthday cards on a number 151 of occasions signed Tove, Marty" Isn't that 161 right?
(7) A: Unwilling to answer.
(s) Q: Throughour the time that you were |l treating patient number 6 you gave her various 1 io books, didn't you?
(II) A: Unwilling to answer.
(11 MR. GREENWALD: Various what?
131 MS. GUSSACK:Books.
[14 A: Unwilling to answer.
(13) Q: Isn't it true, sir, that you sent patient 116 number 6a card at some point during the time that "IT you were treating her in which you said, quote, (1n) "I love you greatly, your smile is the brightest 119 and most beautiful smile in the worid"?
120) A: Unwilling to answer.
121) Q: Dr. Teicher, is it unue that you gave [22] patient number 6 cassette tapes of recordings of pi21 you playing the guitar? (22+) A: Unwilling to answer.
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in Q: Did you provide patient number 6 with a pa copy of a book called How To Be Your Own Best 31 Friend?
16) A: Unwilling to answer.
(s) Q: Did yougive her abook of Monet (6) pictures?
-1 A: Unwilling to answer.
isi $Q$ : Did you insubscribe in the book To my 91 special friend"?
f10) A: Unwilling to answer.
(1ı $Q$ : Have you admitted doing these things, 112 sir, in your deposition testimony in the 1131 malpractice case?
[14] $A: I$ am unwilling to answer that.
(ts) Q: So if I put before you your deposition 110 testimony in which you have in fict admitted it, in you would be unwilling to answer those questions?
(121) $\mathrm{A}: I$ believe so.
(19) Q: Just for the record, so that we save [20) ourselves time, if I gave you page and line (21) references -
[22] A: Yes, yes.
1231 Q: Let me finish. - to each place where [211 you have testified about giving patient number 6 an
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I11 artificial plant, a foldour fan, carrings, birthday [2] cards or holiday cards signed "Love, Marty" copies i3 of books, including How To Be Your Own Best Friend, (1) The Tao Or Pooh, The Courage To Heal, or Monet, or (s) casserte tapes of music or a necklace, you would be l9 unwilling to answer the questions 25 to whether you in in fact admit to doing so. Correct?
(s) A: Correct.
(1) Q: Doctor, you have accepred gifts from thal patient number 6 throughout the time that you were fill treating her, didn't you:
(12) A: Unwilling to answer.
(13) Q: Sir, if I direct your attention to page [141 213 of your deposition testimony taken in the [15) malpractice case, would you be able to confirm for 116 me that you haverestified that you accepted a [17] cardholder, Ietter opener from patient number 6?
[18) A: Unwilling to answer.
I19) Q: Now, sir, I think you have told me that 201 this case was settled. Correct?
[21] MR. GREENWALD: He didn't say that.
[22] MS. GUSSACK:He did say that.
(33) MR. GREENWALD: No, he didn't say [2]) that.
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${ }_{11}$ BY MS. GUSSACK:
${ }_{12}$ Q: Did you say that. sir?
(3) MR. GREENWALD:No, he did not. Hic (4) told you there was a confidentialit: agreement and is you said there was an article in The Boston Globe.
(6) MS. GUSSACK: Iam going to letthe : record speak for itself.
(अ) BY MS, GUSSACK:
in Q: But. Doctor do vou believe tha: you told tion me the case was settled?
[iil A: If I understand the question, I think you (12) asked if the case was settled and I think 131 I responded in the affirmative.
[14] Q: Okay. Now, Doctor, is the disciplinary lisi proceeding that is ongoing before the Board of tag Registration the only disciplinary proceeding that (17) you have ever been involved in?
(18) A: Yes.
(19) $Q$ : In the aftermath of the malpractice $[20]$ action brought by patient number 6, were your [2] privileges at McLean limited in any manner?
(2) A: No.
[231 Q: Were you required to have a senior [24) physician review your cases or your paticnts?
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(1) MR. GREENWALD: Objection.
12) A: The answer to that is that that was not Bi part of a disciplinary process. That was part of a (4) hospital investigation, so it was an assessment to is see if there were any problems, at which point none (6) were found and no discipline took place.
[7] Q: Did this assessment occur at or around 18 t the time that the malpractice proceeding was is pending?
fiof A: It took place, Ibelieve, afterwards.
[11) MS, GUSSACK:I am going to mark this [12] as Exhibit No, 43.
${ }^{1} 131 \mathrm{~A}$ : Wait a second. What did we call this [14] again? You said did this something take place ar !1s1 around the time of the - ?
[10] Q: I used your word, "this assessment."
(17) $A$ : This assessment, okay.
(18) Q: Was there something else you wanted to 199 call it, Doctor?
$[20 \mid$ A: No. I was just thinking that you called 121 it something else and 1 had agreed to you calling $[22$ it something else.
1231 (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 43 marked [24] for identification.)
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## (1) BY MS. GUSSACK:

121 Q: I am placing before you and coun5el. (3) Doctor, a document captioned In The Commonwealth Of it Massachusetts, Board Of Registration In Medicine, ${ }^{51}$ In the Matter of Martin H . Teicher, MD. Have you th seen this document before, sir?
I-1 MR. GREENWALD: I am going to object 做 to Exhibit No. 43 . I think it is irrelerant and in I think it is solely for the purpose of harassing for the witness. I move to strike it.
[11) MS. GUSSACK:Just for the record, [12) I amgoing to I think state the obvious. which is $\{131 \mathrm{Dr}$. Teicher's professional standing, qualities, 114$\}$ training, experience, and status are all relevant [15] and appropriate areas of inquiry for an expert [16] witness who has voluntarily elected to inject [I7] himself in this litigation, holding himself out 25 It8 an expert in psychiatry. psychopharmacology, (191 suicidology, 1 believe he said, and there might [20) even be other areas. So I recognize your objection (21) and you've heard me on the subject.
[27 MR. GREENWAL.D: Good.
[23] BY MS. GUSSACK:
[21) Q: Have you seen this document before,
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[1] Doctor?
[2] A: Yes. It's what we've been referring to, [3) right?
141 Q: Exhibit No. 43?
isi A: Yes.
(6) MR. GREENWALD: You asked him if he mhad seen it before. That was the question.
[B] BY MS. GUSSACK:
(9) Q: What did you mean when you said this is (10) what we had been referring to? [11] A: When we taked about the matter regarding inn the Board of Registration in Medicine, this is the 1131 matter regarding the Board of Registration in [14] Medicine.
(15) Q: Now, isn't it truc, sir, that there was a 116 ] prior proceeding before the Commouwealth of [17] Massachusetts Board of Registration in Medicine (18) that was brought and then dismissed?
[19] A: It was the same case.
[2Q1 Q: W2s it a separate proceceding?
(21) MR. BREENWALD:Do you know what i22she's talking about, first of all? I don'tknow 231 that he understands what you're talking about.
[24] MS, GUSSACK:But he is going to tell
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(1) The if he doesn't because he is a very, very smart [2] fellow.
[2] BY MS GUSSACK:
© : Do you know what Im referring to. 25: Doctor?
5. A: Yes.

- Q: Wias there a prior procecding that mas in dismissed by the Board of Registration in Medicine (9) in the Commonwealth of Massachuserts?
Iten A: I am not sure about the meaning, the :\% legal word -
12: MR. GREENWALD: If you don't :15 understand -
(14) A: - proceeding.
[15] MR. GREENWALD: Hold it. If you (to don't understand what she's asking, you havea [17] right to ask her to explain. And if you don't IIs) understand the legal ramifications of what she $119 \mid$ might be asking, then you obviously can't answer. isoi MS. GUSSACK: Are you acting as his [21) counsel now, Attorney Grecnwald?
124 MR. GREENWALD:No.
[23] MS. GUSSACK: Thank you.
[24] MR. GREENWALD:I am acting 25 a
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II] person sirting here next to a person who is being [21 asked legal questions without them being explained 131 to him. and I think in all fairness he has a right [4] to know what you're talking about. Thar's the kind!siof person I'mactingas. (6) BY MS, GUSSACK:

In Q: Doctor, I want you to tell me any timels: you don't understanda question I asked.
ISI. A: That's why I asked about the word [10] "proceeding."
[II] Q: Okay.
[12] Are youaware of any other charge [13] brought against you regardless of whether it is the [14] same charging party prior to this statement of [1st allegations marked as Exhibit 43?
[15) A: That's why I was trying to get [17] clarification, because -
(t3) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(19.) A:-1 would use "statement" in that i20] they' re allegations and that it is ease of [211 allegations, I would say, and that the terminology [22] that I believe has been used is that the case w2s 1231 reopened. So I would consider them to be one and $\mid 241$ the same. Dismissed once, reopened a second time.
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II) Q: Okay, thank you, Doctor, for that I2: clarification.
[3iAre youaware of another document [4] which has a statement of allegations that
is 151 different from Exhibit 43?
iof A: Not that I am aware of.
-I Q: Now, Exhibit 43 represents a starement of tel allegations brought by the Board of Registration in gi Medicine. Correct. Doctor?
io MR. GREENWALD: 1 am going to [1!] object. You're free to lookit this. Doctor. I've Ia kind of taken it out of your hands fora minute.
(151 BY MS. GUSSACK:
:1+1 Q: Can you answer the question?
*) MR. GREENWALD: What's the questton?
(t6) BY MS. GUSSACK:
i17 Q: This is a statement of allegations |181 brought by the Board of Registration in Medicine. (19) Correct?
t20] MR. GREENWALD:I'm going to object. [211 43 is whatever it says it is.
[2ข BY MS, GUSSACK:
${ }_{123} \mathrm{Q}$ : Is that right, sir?
(24) A: Unswilling to answer.
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in Q: The Board of Registration in Medicine has [2] issued a statement of allegations against you in 31 which they state they have reason to believe that (4) Martin H. Teicher, M.D. has engaged in conduct [5] which calls into question his competence to 161 practice medicine. sexual misconduct, boundary m violations and improper termination with a (3) psychiatric patient between 1984 and 1990. 191 Correct?
[tof MR, GREENWALD:I am going to object, in I assume I still have my continuing objection to 1121 all of this.
1131 MS, GUSSACK:Yes, you do.
[14] MR. GREENWALD:But I also object (Is)additionally, This document is whateverit says it $[16]$ is, purportedly.And as you well know, anybody can [17] make any allegation against anybody.
fas MS. GUSSACK: Well, we're not talking $119 /$ about anybody, Attorney Greenwald.
[20) BY MS. GUSSACK:
[21) Q: We're talking about the Board of (22) Registration in Medicine has issued a statement of [23]-allegations. Is that correct, sir?
[27] MR. GREENWALD: Continuing
objection.
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II A: I am unwilling on advice of counsel to (I) discuss this pending legal matter.
(3) Q: Just so there is no confusion about the $H 1$ prior matter that you thought was closed or $[51$ reopened, it is your understanding. Doctor, that (6) this statement of allegations brought against you [7]

Greer v.
by the Board of Registration in Medicine is an (b) ongoing proceeding?
ir MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
frof $A$ : There is an ongoing proceeding
(tr) Q: Sir, have you testified in that :12: proceeding?
(15) $A$ : Yes. I have.
(14) Q: Has patient number 6 testified in that |1si proceeding?
(16) $A$ : Unwilling to discuss that.
(1): Q: Who else has testified in the proceeding?
.1s, MR. GREENWALD: Same objection.
199] A: Ithink onadvice of counsel I'm [20 unwilling to discuss aspects of this pending, [21] ongoing legal proceeding.
22: Q: Well, Doctor, can you tell me what is 23 your understanding of when this proceeding will be |24| concluded?
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(II A: It may well be concluded by the end of 121 next month
$\because$ Q: Pardon?
(1) A: End of November.
(5) Q: You are not an expert, sir, in 161 psychiatric echics, are you?
M MR. GREENWALD:I'm sorry?
(8) Q: You are not an expert in pry chiatric bi cthics, are you?
(to) MR. GREENWALD:Is that a question or (11) a statement?
(i2) MS, GUSSACK: 1 question.
(13) MR. GREENWALD: What does that mean? $[1+1$ I don't understand.
(19) BY MS, GUSSACK:
[16] Q: Do you consider yourself, as we discussed in7 yesterday, an authority on psychiatric ethics?
(18) A: I would say that I am not what I would (19) regard as an authority on psychiatric ethics. If [20] you asked if I was an expert in the way that [27] 1 understand, nor being a lawyer, that "expert" is [22] used, that is, somebody having more knowledge than 1231 the average person, then I would have to say [24] I certainly have more knowledge about psychiatric
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(1) ethics than the average person. And whether you ipl would say that any board-certified psychiatrist has [3] cxpertise in psychiatric ethics that would be [f suitable to be called an expert. I don't know.
(5) I would say that if that's the case, 15) then I certainly do.
(7) Q: Do you recognize Spencer Eth as an expert (8) in psychiatric ethics?
(9) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
$1101 \mathrm{~A}:$ Yes.
[1i] Q : That means he has greater authority in 1 II this subject area than you do?
[13] A: He'sa board-certified psychiarrist. (1+i) Q: Yes, but Im drawing on the distinction (1s) that you made. Does Dr. Eth have greater knowledge 16: and authority in the area of psychiatric ethics in than you do?
(19) MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
(1s) A: I don't know I haven't discussed it 1201 with Dr. Eth.
[zı Q : Have vou published anvarticles in the : $\#$ arear of psychiatric ethics?
[2; $A$ : No, 1 have not.
t291 Q: Has Dr. Eth?
Paga 2 a1
(1) A: I don't know

I2 Q: Doctor, is it accurate that during the (3) course of your care of patient number 6 you [4] admitted patient number 6 to Mclean Hospital on Is five cccasions?
(i0) A: I am unwilling to discuss that.
IT Q: Now, is that repored in your case report (3) on patient number 6?
ir A: I see no discussion in Exhibit 10, case niof 6, of hospitalizations.
(1i) Q: Doctor, let's be clear about something. 1121 You are not answering questions about patient Ins number 6 because you believe she is the complaining [14] party behind the proceedings pending before the [151 Board of Registration?
[16] A: Correct.
(I7) Q : So the reference to patient A in this [15] statement of allegations refers to patient number $6 / 199$ as far as you know. Correct?
120) A: Right.
[21) MR. GREENWALD:Are you almost done? 122 Because it's ten to 600 .
[23) BY MS, GUSSACK:
[24! Q: Now, Doctor, you have testified under
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(1) oath in the proceeding pending before the Board of [2] Registration. Correct?

Is A: I am unwilling to discuss it
it Q : You are unwilling to confirm whether you is] have in fact restified under oath before the Board (6) of Registration in Medicinc?
IT MR. GREENWALD: I am going to object siagain. You have refused to discuss with him the ig issue of what you may argue is a waiver on certain nof questions and in order to protect himself, 25 [11) I understand it, he is refusing to answerall these (II questions on advice of counsel. (13) MS. GUSSACK:No,that's not why he
[14) has refiused to answer.
(ts) MR. GREENWALD:My impression is that [16] that has a lot to do with what's going on.
${ }^{111}$ BY MS. GUSSACK:
is, Q: Doctor are vou concerned abou: waiver?
19 A: Since it's been brought up. ves
1201 Q: What is it that you are concerned about |ni) with respect to uziver?
[21) A: That if I answer some of your questions, !23; that somebody mould sal that the cow is out of the *-; barn sot know, and that since some information is
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(1) divulged, that I would then be compelled to divulge in all information because thad established some sort 3 of precedent for producing information. So based (1) on that concern I believe I have to be very $|s|$ cautious in not providing information that would be 16 used to compel me to produce other information that [1 I would not otherwise be required to produce, and tal that my attorney has advised me not to discuss any (9) aspects of this case because of the pending 1 to litigation.
(111) So 1 have chosen only to discuss (12) those aspects of the case that are published in n31 Exhibit 10 or that I had previously provided you as tiv| part of the subpoena in Exhibit 19. And otherw. ise Hss I feel I cannot, based on advice of my attorney. 116 discuss it.
IIT $\mathrm{Q}:$ : Doctor, is it true that patient 6's 18 ; medical history included a year of using cocaine on (19) a daily basis?
(20) MR. GREENWALD: 1 assume 1 have my [2n] continuing objection, Nina, and I think this is [27) reallyat this point getting kind of oppressive and 123 harassing. I understand that you want to put [24] questions on the record, but you've been doing this
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III Ithink for probably over an hour and Iy MS. GUSSACK:Thank you. I have your 13 objection. Because of the concerns with time, (4) 1 would like to conclude
IS MR. GREENWALD:You know, under Rule 161301 could say let's just stop and go to the judge.
m MS. GUSSACK:I don't think you can. (18) I don't think you can without very severe tg consequences. And if you want to make that choice. 10 please do so
111 MR. GREENWALD:I am not sug. gesting th21 that I am doing that at this point. But I think it (13) is -
(14) MS. GUSSACK:I would like to finish its) my questions of the doctor We have some time [16 constraints here.
［17］BY MS．GUSSACK：
［18）Q：Doctor，is it true that patient $6^{\prime}$＇ 1197 medical history included a year of using cocaine on［20 a daily basis？
（21）MR．GREENWALD：I object．You frave inn already asked questions with respectio druguse of as：patient number 6．Iou have asked questions already ise with respect to cocaine use of patient number 6 and

## Page 205

（1）I believe you are now repeating questions．Because｜a I recall yourasking questions about cocaine usc，3：about drug use，and I think those questions have［4］already been asked and he has already indicated his $[5]$ position as eloquently stated just a minute ago i6） when you asked him what he under－ stood waver to［7 be．So if you bave something new to ask，that＇s tof one thing．but to rehash I think is in－ appropriate．
（9）BY MS，GUSSACK：
（ 10 Q Q：Doctor，did patient 6＇s history of drug In abuse complicate your ability to cvaluate her（12］limbic system damage？ ［131 A：Unwilling to answer．
（24）Q：Doctor，did patient number 6 abuse［ts］cocaine and marijuana during the time that you［16 treated her？
II A：Unwilling ro answer．
（1s）Q：Did you make any reference to patient 10 number 6 ＇s substance abuse in Exhibit 10，your case［20 report on patient number 6 ？
（23）MR．GREENWALD：Again，I know I have［21］my continuing objection to all of this．Right？
［231 MS，GUSSACK：Yes，And IH even［24］ give you a bigger objection if you just let me
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（1）finish the questions because I could really be［2］finished．
B1 MR．GREENWALD：But the document says 14］what it says．I mean，aren＇t we just wasting（5）time？
${ }_{161} \mathrm{~A}$ ：There is no mention of substance abuse on $[1$ case 6 in Exhibir 10.
f81 Q ：Doctor，is patient number 6 iden－ tified in 191 the 1990 article as a bor－ derline personality？
［10，A：There＇s multiple personality but not［11］borderline personality．
（12）Q：Would you agree，sir，that she was a 113）borderline personality？
（14）A：Yes．
（15）Q：And，sir，it is true that borderline ［16］personality disorder patients present a high risk［17］for suicide．Correct？
［18 MR．GREENWALD：That＇s a general 119 question？
［20］MS，GUSSACK：Yes．
［21］A：Yes，And also my statement about I2 borderline persomality was derived from the fact pal that most patients with multiple personality［24；disorder are borderline personality disorder
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${ }^{1}$ ．patients．
i2 $\mathbf{Q}$ ：Is it a fair characterization of pati－ ent I3 number 6 that she was very brittle in response to id drugs？
5：A：Unwilling to answer．
o．Q：Is it your experience in the ireatm－ ent of 1 patient number 6 that during periods of depression（ib）when you would add a medication to alleviate the 19：depression，it would push her into a suate of ram dysphoric irritability？
（：3）A： 1 am unwilling to answer．
I12 Q：Doctor，if I showed you your testimony（13）that was provided in the contert of the $[14]$ disciplinary pro－ ceedingon pages 583 and 584 ，would（1s） that enable you to answer the question？ iso）A：Unwilling to discuss the casc．
［1］MR．GREENWALD：May I see that a ［10 second？Can I see that，Nina？
［15：（Document handed by Ms．Gusack to pm Mr，Greenwald．）
［2］BY MS．GUSSACK：
\｛2］Q：Doctor，are you aware that your testimony［23 in the disciplinary pro－ ceeding is a public record？
［21）A：Yes．
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（1）Q：That is not a surprise to you？
［2］MR．GREENWALD：I＇m sorry？What w2s（3）that last question？
（4）BY MS．GUSSACK：
（5）Q：That＇s not a surprise to you？You＇re （6）2ware of that？
mA：Yes．
［ह］Q：Doctor，is it true that in 1985 patient｜g number 6 became psychotic and delusional while t10 using Nardip？
［11］A：Unwilling to answer．
In Q ：Doctor，is it true patient number 6 was（13）at a very high risk for suicide in 1984？
［16］A：Unwilling to answer，
［151 Q：Would you fairly characterive pati－ ent $116 \mid$ number 6 as somebody who had made numerous attempes［17）earlier at suicide？
（18）MR．GREENWALD：What does＂earl－ ier＇tig mean？Earlicr than what？
［20）MS，GUSSACK：Prior to the 1990 ［21］ article．
I2्य MR．GREENWALD：Okay．I just didn＇t ［23｜know what＂carlier＂meant．
［24）A：I indicated in item 10 that there
were
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3：）three significant amempts or three［21 significant．．．
2：So would vou deny that there were more i than three attempts？
：A：I indicated that there were three．
（6）Q：Do fou have anyrecord that would tell［7］you whether there were more than three attempts？
［⿵冂⿱一口㇒⿵冂卄 A：This should be an accurate re－ flection of 191 my records．
Hul Q：Weil．sir，if wouve testified that there＂t！were numerous artempes at suicide，did you mean $[12\}$ more than threc？
t131 A：I think three is subsumed under numerous．
［24］Q：It is truc，isn＇t it，Doctor，that as（15） early as 1984 during your treatment of patient（19 number 6 she was ruminating about suicide．［17）Correct？
（ts）A：Unwilling to answer．
in Q：Do you havea recollection，sir，of a ｜20）frantic period in 1984 where patient number 6 had［21］pills that she was thinking of overdosing on，she 122 had a razor blade that she was cutting herself with r23 and she wanted to take pills？
pef A：Unwilling to answer．
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（11）Q：Now，sir，is it accurate that 1986 was a 121 very risky year for patient number 6 in terms of［3］suicide？
［4］A：Unwilling to answer．
（3）Q：Have you testified to that effect？
（6）A：Unwilling to answer．
Iग $\mathbf{Q}$ ：Doctor，is it true that in your opinion（h）patient number 6 presents a greater suicidal risk in as her daughter grows older？
tiol A：Unwilling to answer．
［11］Q：Doctor，have you tostified in the Board［1a of Registration proceeding that berween 1984 and 1311987 hardlya session went by with patient number 6 it it in which you didn＇task herabout her suicidal t1s1 thinking and try to assess it？ ［10］A：Unwilling to answer．
（if）Q：Docror，what does＂innumerable＂ mean to［18］you？If numerous means three，what does［19I innumerable mean？ （20）A：I didn＇t say means three．I said［an） numerous means any number．Three would be subsumed 122 under numer－ ous．Innumerable means too many to（29） count：too numerous to count．And that depends on［24］what you＇re counting．
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in Q：On page 601 of your testimony before the 12 Board of Registration，sir， you stid，quote．＂There is were in－
numerable times in which she," referring to it patient number 6 , "would call and tell me that she $[5]$ was desperately suicidal. There were times when lo her husband would call and tell me that she was tri suicidat." Is that accurate, sir?
ts $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{I}$ am unwilling to answer.
9: Q: Doctor, between 1988 and 1989 was patient 101 number 6 experiencing grievous financial problems (11) at home that added a lot of stress to her liat situation?
(13) MR. GREENWALD:You've already asked |he that question.
(15) MS, GUSSACK: Tasked a different [16) time period.
[I7 MR. GREENWALD: You asked if he was (18) aware that financial problems were a stressorand nslyou went into that whole thing already. And even $[201$ though I have a continuing objection I would like [21] to compound my objection because we've now been $[22$ going at this since after 9:00 in the morning, it's [23 6:00 p.m., and we are getting a whole lot of 24 repetitious questions here.
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[i] A: I am unwilling to answer.
12) Q: You are unwilling to answer that, sir?
(3) A: Correct.
14) $Q$ : Doctor, do you believe that patient 51) number 6 threatened the family who was suing her 161 husband with 2 gun because of a reaction to the $M$ financial stress that the family was experiencing?
(8) A: Unwilling to answer.

191 Q: Doctor, is it true that borderline 110 , personality syndrome generally involves feelings of tur emptiness and alozeness which make patients t12) desperate and sometimes make them suicidal?
[13] MR. GREENWALD:Is this a general (14) question?
nIS| MS, GUSSACK:Yes.
[16] A:To the extent it is a general question, 1171 yes.
[18] Q : And was that true of patient number 6?
119) A: Unwilling to answer.

1201 MR. GREENWALD:Are you making this [21] an exhibit, by the way?
[22) MS. GUSSACK:I don't know yet.
[23] BY MS. GUSSACK:
134 Q: Doctor, did patient number 6 see your
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(1) bedroom?
(2) A: Unwilling to answer.
[31 Q: You have admitted, haven't you, that you [4] met patient number 6 at a
motel?
(5) A: Unwilling to answer.

161 Q: You have admitted, sir, in sworn on testimony that you gave patient number 6 a (a) Valentine's Day' Card with the message, quote, ig 'A simple card to let you know how much I care and fiof how wonderful and special you are," end quote?
(tit A: Unwilling to answer
(12) Q: Doctor, you have admitted in testimony. 131 haven't you, taken before the Board of Registration [nf under oath that you have sent patient number6altsicard with the message, quote, Tlove youvery much [16 and respect your courage. You are brave, daring tir and wonderfully complex. Perhaps you are the most (13) interesting person I've ever met." Is that right?
[I9: A: I am unwilling to answer.
R20) Q: What year was it, sir, that you sent [2i) that?
124 MR. GREENWALD:Objection.
123 $A$ : I am unwilling to answer.
[24) MR. GREENWALD:Have you stopped
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in beating your....
I2 BY MS, GUSSACK:
(3) Q: Was it your practice to give all of the is) patientsthat youwere secing your phone number so [s] they could reach you when you were out of town?
(6) A: Unwilling to answer.

IT Q: Did you give patient number 6 your phone isinumberso she could reach you when you were out of 191 town?
fiof A: Unwilling to answer.
(III) Q: Did you believe that these cards and $[12]$ messages and gifts that you provided patient number t131 6 were essential in terms of helping to keep her [14] alive?
[15) A: Unwilling to answer.
(16) Q: Doctor, you have admitted, haven't you, im giving patient number 6 a cassetre recording of [8] Earl Klugh?
II MR. GREENWALD: Who?
120) A: Unwilling to answer.
[21) Q: Doctor, if you would turn to Exhibiti221No. 25 , the 1993 arvicle, I want to direct your 1231 attention to the entry on Rhonda Hata. You see [24] that, sir?
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[1] A: I'm trying to find it. Yes, Ive found 121 her name here.
I3) Q: Now, Doctor, you have previously (4) testified that you spoke with Mrs. Hala and with isl Mr. Finz, her lawyer.Correct? (16) A: Yes.

T1 Q: And you received from Mrs. Hala and is1 Mr. Finz, her lawyer, information that led to your ol summary presented in your 1993 drug safety arricle?
IIOI $A$ : Yes.
(11: Q: Did you everspeak with any of : : Mrs. Hala's doctors?
(13) A: No, 1 did not.
(114) Q: Are you familiar with Dr, Mitcheli Banks (1s) who treated Mrs. Hala?
[16] A: 1 am not familiar with him, no.
(17) Q: What page are you referring to, sir?
tia: A: This is page 197. Was I referring to H19 it?
r201 Q: With respect to Mrs. Hala.
(21) A: Yes.
[22] Q: And. Doctor, it is fair, isn't it, that 1231 you state in your '93 article on page 197 that (24) Mrs. Hala was initially treated for anxicty and
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(1) depression with fluoxetine and buspirone. Shordy $[2]$ thereafter for the first time in her life she (31 purposefully and intentionally cut herself?
(1) A: Yes.
(5) Q: Fluoxetine was discontinued thereafter, 格 Correct?
[71 A: Yes.
Q Q Sir, do you have any records with you tir that would show the date on which Mrs. Hala was trof first prescribed Prozac?
[11] $A$ : No.
H2 Q: Let me refer you to Exhibit 16 at page 1131 643, one of your slides in which youreport on [14] Mrs. Hala. Does that tell you, sir, when Mrs. Hala [15] was first prescribed Prozac?
115] A: No, it doesn't.
[17] MS. GUSSACK:Let's have this marked [18) as Exhibit 44.
(19) (Teicher Deposition Exhibit 44 marked (20) for identification.)
${ }^{[21]}$ BY MS. GUSSACK:
122 Q: Doctor. I am purting before you what's 231 been marked as Exhibit 44. which is a letter from [29 Dr. Mitchell Banks dated November 28, 1989 ,
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[1] regarding Rhonda Hala. Do you have that before [2] you, sit?
(13) A: Yes.
[14) Q: And you see in the first sentence, [s] Doctor - And, by the way, we can agrec. sir, fo can't we, that this letter was sent before the m publication of your 1990 article. Right?
(is) A: That this was sent before the pubLication 9 of the 1990 article?
(101 Q: Yes.
IIII A: It was sent to -
(12) Q: Metropolima Life.

If31 A: It was dated November 28. '89. I don't :141 know whether it was dared. it isn't signed, so fts: I don't really know: Just the date that's on here (16) was before this article appeared. ves.
tiन Q : And, Doctor, it is truc, isn't it, that 11s) in Exhibit 43 , the letter from Dr.Banks to the In Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, Dr. Barks 130 states that he has been secing Mrs. Hala since [2n Septeruber 22, 1983. because of depression. self [21 mutilating bchavior, suicida! ideation, crying 1231 spetts, anhedonia. guilt, and low selfesteem. pat Correct?
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(1) A: That's what it says.
${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ Q: And then Dr. Banks, whom you've never को spoken with - correct?
141 A: Yes.
131 Q: - says this condition has persisted for 19 approximately two years. Is that correct?
(7) A: Correct.
[s) Q: Two years, sir, would take us back before by the time that Prozac was available on the market?
f10) A: If that statement is truc, yes.
I11 Q: And, sir, are you aware that at the time [12] of the letrer it is referenced in paragraph 2 of (13) Exhibit 43 that Mrs. Hala was then on Prozac at 20 [14 milligrams, Correct?
[151 A: Yes.
[36) Q: Doctor, do you know whether Dr. Banks is [17) a psychiatrist?
(18) A: It says M.D. and Idon'r have any (19) specific information.
f20) Q: Okay. In paragraph 2 you will see that 121 Dr. Banks presents Mrs. Hala's diagnosis, which is [22] axis 1 major depression recurrent severe, axis 2 [23] borderline personality disorder. Correct?
[241 A: Yes. That's what he's written.
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[1] Q: Do either of these diagnoses appear in I2 your 1993 article in which you were describing 13 : Mrs. Hala?
(4) A: The 1993 article? I have her under the (5) category borderline states of hostility and we're 16 discussing the postulation that certain patients $m$ who do not suffer from borderline persomality (B) disorder may have a druginduced borderline state, wi so that we are certainly in the framework of rao borderline personality.
[II Q: Well, Doctor, you state, don't you, on 112 page 197 of your 1993 article, quote, She had no insi known history of
depression or borderline [14] personality and bad never seen a mental health nis! professional"?
I20 A: What I said, to be very specific. wาว -
tr Q : First. Doctor is that in yourarticle. ish that sentence 1 just read?
15. A: I'es. And that was referring to some t201 point in time, and that was referring before she i21 weat on medication treatment, that's the $122 i$ information I was presented with. So that up until ias the time when she had her back injury she had been latt free of known psychiatric problems including
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In depression and had not seen a mental health |\# professional. This would be in adrance of her br secing Dr. Banks.
(4) Q : You are reciting a history that is in is) advance of secing Dr. Banks?
16 A : Yes.
M Q: What is the date that you are dating vour in information from, sir?
3. A: Oh,because what she'sindicated is that thof she had never seen a mental health professional, [11] that she had no history of depression or borderline $t: 2$ personality disorder. She then became depressed, 131 ancious, and at that point she did engage in [14, treatment. So the inferenceand what Ibelieve to [1sibe the case is that at that point she was secing 116; Dr. Banks, after she became $5 y \mathrm{~m}$ promatic.
117 Q: Now, Doctor, when was Mrs, Hala's first [1EH psychatric admission?
[19) A: Admission? Where is that?
130 Q: Do you have any records that would rell l211 you when Mrs. Hala was first admitred to a [22] psychiatric hospital?
[23] A:I don't have any information here that [2e] would tell me that.
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11) Q: Do you have any information before you (2) that would tell you when Mrs. Hala was first Bi prescribed Prozac?
|+1 A: No, I do not.
3: $\mathrm{Q}:$ So you wrote a case report summ: ary of (6) this patient not knowing her psychiatric history [7] and not knowing when fluoxetine was started?
(8) A: Wait a second. You said do I have before on me any material. You didn't say ifI had any thon material or if I've seen any material. You asked In basically if thave any material before me. |12 I don't have any material before me. I have seen, tr3t I have reviewed marerial, I did provide that [14 1 information.
I19: Q: I didn't mean to cut you off, Doctor [10] What have you seen or revicered with respect to IIH Mrs. Hala's
care that you have not brought with you T141 today?
(191 A:I had been pronided material by Atrorney $120 \mid$ Finz regarding Rhouda Hala's medical histor:
21: Q: So you have seen before is it fair to 2: 1ssumte sir the psychiatric admission discharge :23, summary of Mrs. Hala to Brunswick Hospital Center 124) on July 24.' 88 , through September 14. 88? Can
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ti) you recall whether you have seen that hefore is my 12 question.
is A: t don't specifically recall secing this (t) document. I have documents. Whether this is one is] of them, doesn't look farmiliar.
(6) Q: Well, sir, can you tell by looking at [7] that document - Serike that, I am purting before (i) you a record from the Brunswick Hospital Center isl entitled medication and treatment record for (10) Mrs. Hala, and I ask you to look at the entry where [t1] it says Prozac, Doctor.
I12) MR. GREENWALD: While he's doing 1131 that, can we go off the record?
[14) (Discussion off the record.)
[15] BY MS, GUSSACK:
(15) Q: Doctor, have you had a chance to review in the medication and treatment record from Brunswick ros Hospital Center for Mrs. Hala?
Is A: I see what you've handed me, yes. $[\mathrm{FO} \mathrm{Q}$ : And yousee that that record in the 121) left-hand corner has a date stamped July 24, 1988?
[2स) A: Correct.
123 Q: Sir, calling upon your expertise as a $[24]$ psychiatrist and one who sees patients in an
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(1) in-hospital serting can you tell me looking at [2] this record when Prozac was first prescribed on 133 this record from Mrs. Hala?
[4] A: This record dated 7/24 would indicate is! that Prozac was prescribed from $7 / 28$ to 7/31.161 cannottell if it was prescribed at any point || carlier than that.
13: MR. GREENWALD: Was that three days?
g THE WITNESS: That's four days but 120j that's all that's on this particular sheet, and [tn] I don't see any sheets that are dated earlier than 112 that.

## 1131 BY MS, GUSSACK:

(14) Q: Did Mr. Finz or Mrs. Hala tell you that [15] when she was admitted to the Brunswick Hospital 116 even before she was prescribed Prozac, Doctor, she [17] was on a suicide and assault watch from the [ns beginning of her admission?
[197 A: That was not any part of the history that [200 she relared to me, and the material that was [211 provided to me by Attorney Finz did not reflect pzy that.
123 Q: Doctor, did the material provided to you [z4: by plaintiff's counsel Mr. Finz or Mrs. Hala
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i1) contain -
121 A: Now, wait. can we go back up one second?
is O: Sure.
*i A: Do you have specific documentation of 151 suicide or assault precautions? Because I know (6) that in most hospitalizations patients who come in p are routinely placed on those for no reason during lai the initial observation period, so that that is $i D 1$ often hospital policy, that until a parient is nos known those precautions arc instituted and bave [aif nothing to do with her care and treatment.
${ }_{\text {|t }} \mid$ Q: Do you know that to be true of Hrunswick \{i3! Hospital?
[14] A: No, no. I just know that that'sa [15] standard operating policy in many hospitals, 50 [16 I would like to see some documentation as to 117 whether there were reasons given.
Iz Q : Sir, what psychiatric hospitals do you is1 have privileges at other than McLean?
1201 A: I have worked at a number of other [an psychiatric hospitals.
[22] Q: That wasn't my question. What hospitals 1231 do you have privileges at presently other than 124 Mclean?
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(1) A: 1 imagine Mass, General Hospital.

12 Q: Because it is affiliared with McLean?
(3) A: Yes.
14) Q: Any others?
(5) A: Not to my knowledge.

161 Q: Have you ever practiced medicine outside [7] the commonwealth of Massachusetts?
1s: A: No, I have not.
19 Q: Doctor, did Mrs. Hala or Mr. Finz provide nom you with information about Mrs. Hala's family (11] history of psy chiatric illness?
112) A: I don't recall.
(13) Q: Do you know that her father was diagnosed $[14]$ as having a bipolar disorder?
[15] A: I don't -
(16) MR. GREENWALD: He just said he 17 ) didn't recall.
[18j MS. GUSSACK:Sometimes a more [19] precise question will cause a re-

## collection.

rsor MR. GREENWALD:Are you insinuating [2! that you asked a more precise question?
22: MS. GUSSACK:As I go along. IR3: Tomorrow I'II be really good.
zel MR. GREENWALD: Yeah. but
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(1) unfortunately you'tl be looking in the mirror.
(1) BY MS, GUSSACK:

31 Q: Now, Doctor, what information do you have it in your office about Mrs. Hala that you have not is produced to us? Can you describe it by category?
161 A: I was sent information and records [7] regarding Ms. Hala but I haven't looked at them in mirec, maybe four years, maybe longer, so 1 don't pi have a clear recollection of what specifically the nop caregories are.
[11] Q: And these would be medical records [13 provided to you by Mr. Finz?
113 A: lies.
(14) MS. GUSSACK: Now, Doctor, if you [1s) give mea two-minute break, I'll see ifI have any [16] other questions for you.
[I7 MR. GREENWALD: Good, because I need (1) one myself.
t1s1 (In recess 6:22 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)
(20) ( Teicher Deposition Exhibit 45 marked [2] for identification.)
[22] BY MS. GUSSACK:
[2] Q: Doctor, a few final questions and then (24) I think you can be on your way.
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(1) Generally speaking about borderline [2] personalities, would you say that these are people Br who feel that out of sight is out of mind?
141 A: Often, yes.
(5) Q: That they have great feelings of (b) alienation and isolation if people in their lives $m$ are not sufficiently present and atrentive to them?
iss MR. GREENWALD: I'm sorry. You're is off all of the questions about 6 ?
no! MS, GUSSACK: When I say 6 I m going III to wake you up.
II2 MR. GREENWALD:Look, my client will I13 think I was asleep when you say that! I would move [14] to strike all of the 6 questions as they relate to [15] the administrative hearing and the board and the $\{16$ malpractice case consistent with my continuing [17 objection that I had to all those questions. Go tis ahead. 119 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can I hear 1201 your question again just to be specific?
[21) BY MS. GUSSACK:
I22 Q: Tell me more what you mean by
borderline 1231 personalities feel that out of sight is out of $12+1$ mind.
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tit A: What we talk about with bor derline i2 personaliry disorder is object permanence or object is impermanence. What it means is that theyoften . need continual assurances that people care about is them, are interested in them, are still their 16 friends, have positive regard for them, and that F : sometimes after a period of absence when they in haven't heard from somebody: they can lose their lof positive feelings: they can sart to think 1 m, negatively of the individual. They can start to [11] feel that that person doesn't care, 50 forth.
(12] Q: Now, sir, that would be true of a [13] borderline personality's relationship with their (14) therapist as well. Correct?
[15] A: Yes.
[16] Q: And you have to be concerned with a [17] borderline personality patient that if they feel [18: they are losing connection with the therapist, this 1121 could increase their sense of emptiness or 1201 aloneness. Correct?
(21) A: Yes.
[22] Q: And that they may also feel by losing r23) connection with the therapist that they become more [25 desperate and in fact even suicidal. Correct?
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(1) A: They can, yes.
(2) Q: Now, sir, did you consider that about [3] patient number 6 when you cvaluated her suicidal [f] acr involving a gun while you were out of rown?
(5) A: Yes, I did.
(6) Q: And did you find it to be significant (7) that patient number 6 made a suicida! artempt by isi placing a gun to her head,I think you described in til the article -
[10] MR. GREENWALD: Is that in the [11] document?
t11 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's in the IIM document.
[14] Q : - when you were out of town?
11s) A: We thought about it, we discussed it. It [16] was my conclusion and the coauthors agreed that in since I had been out of town on many other [Is] occasions and this hadn't happened, that it was not [191 a response to my being out of town. Plus, I did $\{20 \mid$ come back that evening.
121 Q: And, Doctor, was everything the same in [22] the patient's life in terms of their life stressors 123 at the time that patient tumber 6 made a suicide [24] attempt as there were on previous occasions when
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(2) A: I think it would be bard to say that [3] things were identical or the same. I think that |H| what's fair to say is that there didn'tseemto be tsianappreciable difference.
(io) Q: Doctor, you have identified in a ietrer in to the editor in the American Journal of Psychiatry ta and I believe in your expert report in this case ig what you called a transient imbalance theory of how thof Prozac affects serotonin neurotransmitters. III Correct?
(1) A: Yes.
ias) $Q$ : Doctor, is thete any human clinical data Ilit to suppor your theory of transient imbalance (is) caused by the administration of fluoxetine?
(1G A: 1 would have to check. 1 believe there 177 may be. 1 believe there is.
[18) Q: What are you thinking of
(191) A: I'm referring to data on time course of roo changes of CSF 5 HHAA.
I $31 \mathrm{Q}: 1$ just want to make sure 1 understand 122 your testimony, Doctor. Is it your view that the i31 data that you just referred to is supportive of 1241 your views with regard to the effect of fluoxetine
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(11) on serotonergic transmission as described in your 121 September'91 leterto the editor in the American BI Journal of Psychiatry?
(1) A: Could you show me the letter so I can see (s) it once again?
(6) Q: Yes. I want to direct your attention to me the second page of that where 1 believe you posit [8] your hypothesis about the effects of fluoxetine on 191 serotonergic transmission both excessively and the nof decrease of neurotransmission. Correct? (Pause) [1H Do you have my question in mind, sir?
(ii) A: Yes, I do. I have your question in mind $[13$ ) and I just had to read this to figure out what f14 I was saying in 1991. [15) Q: Is the data that you referred to [16] previously the data that you believe is evidence in 1 th ctinical experience supportive of the theory you nsp identify in the September 1991 letter?
1191 A: I believe that there is human data that rove is supportive of this. The data is derived t21 predominantly from animal studies. The human 1221 studies available, to date suggest that the animal [23] studies are valid to exurapolate to humans.
1241 Q : And my question is, could you just
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11) identify for me what human data you're referring pa to?
t31 A: As 1 mentioned, F m referring to data on wif the time course of the 5 HIAA in the CSF.
is) Q: Give me an author?
15: A: I can't off the top of my head.
In Q: Is it with you today?
IS: A: It may be, it may not be. Do you want to li: go through all this stuffThere's a whole bunch trot of articles here.

- t1, Q: If it is here today it is in the tt: collection of articles that you brought with you?
iis $A$ : Yes.
(15) O; And you beliere it is an article that (15) refers to human experience?
ine A: Yes.
I17 Q: Is there anyother human data you believe tisi you have that supports the animal experience that nig you refer to with transient imbalance?
200 A: I would have to check. Off the top of my (21) head I can't think of any additional, but there may (22) be. I would have to check.
DY MS, GUSSACK:Let me make a request (24) for the record, which is if you can identify this,
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In because it apparently is of significance to your [2l opinion, sir, I ask that you identify it to 33 Artorney Greenwald so that he can provide it to us. (4) Okzy?
(9) THE WITNESS: Sure.
15. MS. GUSSACK:I want to make one ${ }^{\text {P }}$ further statement for the record before we til conclude, which has a couple of points to it. One igl is that I understand that Dr. Teicher today top declined to answerquestions on the advice of hisim counsel Mr. Daley, who is not counsel of record in [12] this matter. He didnot assern a privilege for not [13) answering. He did not assert a Fifth Amendment (1f) right. He simply declined to answer on the ISs: suggestion of counsel representing him in a 116 professional disciplinary proceeding before the at] Board of Regisuration.
${ }^{n} 1281 \mathrm{I}$ am suspending this deposition, not IIS) concluding it, for two reasons. One is for the rool reasons I have previously stated, because I intend n2y to go before Judge Penn seeking an order compelling tz21 Dr. Teicher to answer these ques rions. And also 1231 because of anagreement berween counsel that is $[24]$ contained in correspondence between plaintiff's.
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In counsel arid myself confirming that in the event pit that Lilly is successful in the pending motion to (3) compel the production of process records and tif clinial records and other material reporting on 131 patients, we will be taking Dr. Teicher's 60 deposition again.
[7 And furthermore, as contained in a $|8|$
lerrer that 1 have written to Attorney Grecnwald. |9) we have also reserved our right to seek another day (10) of deposition from Dr. Teicher with respect to in) materials that were identified to us for the first 't time on Friday: October 25. With that statement is I conclude my questioning.
in, MR, GREENWALD:! would just tike to isi observe that for two days solid you have been 26: deposing Dr. Teicher. The record is abundantly inf clear with respect to the innumerablequestionsus! that have been asked about his 1990 article. In tio; fact. I would say the overwhelming percentage of $[20$ ques tions asked yepterday and today dealt with that 1211990 article.
i2a Mr. Pavsner I believe has responded Izy to your reservation, and we all know that [2] reservations don't always get you
rooms. So the
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ill fact that you reserve doesn't necessarily mean that [2] Dr. Teicher will be deposed. I believe you haves more than amply covered all of the issues, I won't 14 say ad nauseam but pretty close. I do know there (5) was an agreement you have with Mr, Pavsner with 19 respect to
the other issue.
[7] I just have two questions I would [s; like to ask Dr. Teicherat this point before we run l9) out the door.
thel EXAMINATION
(II) BY MR. GREENWALD:
112) Q: The first question is, Doctor, your (13) reports -
(14) MR. GREENWALD:His reports are tis exhibits to the deposition?
tio MS. GUSSACK:Yes,
[1] BY MR. GREENWALD:
[13] Q:I am pretry sure this is in your report, [19] Doctor. Bur the opinions you ve rendered in those [20] reports, do you hold those with reasotable medical Pil certainty?
[21 A: Yes.
ITs Q: And, secondly, yesterday in answerto 2 i2 +1 question you discussed four reasons why you felt
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[1) that the warnings on Prozac in 1990 werc ia insufficient and inadequate. Do you remember you BI were asked questions about that?
(1) A: Yes.

15 MS. GUSSACK: Objection.
10) BY MR. GREENWALD:
I. Q: Based upon that, Doctor, do you have an (8) opinion with reasonable medical certainty as to (9) whether or not based on the warnings that exired topy the information disseminated in 1990 ,
whetherin Prozac was an unteasomably dangerous medication?
[121 MS, GUSSACK: Objection.
1131 MR. GREENWALD: You can answer.
(19) A: Yies. I hold that opinion.
(15) Q:And is that with reasonable medical Iff certainty?
IF A: Yes.
(t8) Q: And is it based onthe material you gave 199 yesterday in discussing the inadequacy of the $\{20\}$ warnings?
123 A: Yes.
$\therefore 2$ MR. GREENWALD: That's all I have.
IzM MS. GUSSACK: Thank you, Doctor. 1241 (Deposition concluded at 6:45 p.m.)
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