

## EXHIBIT SLATER 2

## 100000

11-12-70.

Extended meeting of CNS core committee Stark, Rathbur, Owen, Molloy, Slater + Fuller. Lato of talk esp. about interaction of drugs with approxipline - Elaid + auntyl subance graving hert DMI+ Tafrand do not · alar and as get una naliged. Stack felt that the

The idea of setting patternes and then typing to peter anique chods for divice seems fruitless to me unless climicians are more methicitie chant blue sky experiments.

· ())

3-14-72

Undlog & hotlibuen numeringed connect hato ~ 94939 \$- 0-0-0-0-0-00 Scots I do believe that we'll have an effective autidepresent with low well of ride effects (at odds of 1/5) There have been see so. nong failures here.

9-16-72

an fust BP experiments with 94939 has drown adder an increase in BP and a contraction of the MM after 0.3 +1. , mg Hy IV. Will try rearpinged cat today.

10/19/72

I presented a summary of datam 94939 to CNS munther onlolog + to Herr's staff today. Will recommend against mit hispe jo the best. If the compound is an antidepressant it abould have ferrer side affects.

2/2/73 Left pen at home. Jesterdag I begin a campaign & get \$2816, our splinfee SHT up take inhibition, with the dince evon. Wobody seemed ready to rush ( in Fully, Willow a stack.) I think our present date are sufficient for a gr- dicision. It additional negative would will negate decision, but a large body of additional data well be collected

4-10-73

82816 (11014) reduced "REM sleep in 546 cato The 6" had almost none to start (Losso 1.5+5 mg/ly). Recupice in nears P60 spikes.

· /

000007

......

6-11-73

p. Clamphetanine coused a long lesting (2-3 ms.) dicrease in brain servitain level. Et When 110140 is administered 300 alto 3, 6, 24 or +1 house after pCA the 5HT declared is reversel. But 110140 at put > days did not after the dicrease when nots were hilled ~ day 8. Could there he a carle of birding sets and would sift still be down at day 9, 10 etc? Julle nearlook with Hels. The mapin idea huis lay's, I merely suggested the 2013 day want. 6/12/73 Molley + Fulle want to see whether we can develop as central 5-HT blocher using the sleep in duced in 6 day old chieds by Winfection. I fuel Epi in one check sit is not very hard.

a second run using 5 mg / by of 5HT did give smething that looked like shep a woo different firm untial going the + 110170 gove shep equal to 5 of 5HT with the filling and all equal 6/25/73

10-11-73

Don Meyers reported abronnel EKU in dogs proted with 98938 and one dog with a following hepatitis. I kindle d an seeing some QT prolongation arggisting a delaw in repolarization in cito. Worrest

12-14-73

In dogs fronted with 94939, perpeted movelular + poly (?) infiltration associated with in rease pequinitation we initially called "fulninating" upatities which is abvious nonsense. more recent reports call it a non-drug related finding.

1)18/74 Increasingly of an concerned about winder retention with 110140. Dich More first noticed that our 2 4 hour cats after fail to winate over night. Tustreport that me new dog collected 215 ml in her bladder. Molloy suggested that Twatcheck this in rat. The last 5 hour new does not suggest return. When do eys to praves:

8-21-

2/094 was thought to be a very active inhibitor of 5-HT up take with his specificity then 110140. It turns out that the original sample come from a miss-habelled battle and was a Dupenet chd. with a fund-8 mendand wig. Clinical field my Seistion showed it to be in active as on anti-depressant. It does have "ample tamine libe "activity. What has this men in relation for 110140?

toam allo again. a few week agr we knowed that the rats on high dores of 110143 show form alls in the henge a fending we had with L-27 di Cl amphetanine. Allo phenteranine which is stell on the market in the US but may be off in Europe Murray Dubnick of warnet-familiant warned Ray Fuller that we might suppulmany hairs & 110140. Now much disuldire wary?

9-25-74

110140 Dens to cance form all in the hungs of rato like 32635 (63,4-dichlorodoplat.] and?? Norone see untry 1 to 260. do this a lipid relable day apert a does it white to 5-HT. Should are infree 5-HT in wit?

astra has initiated a clinical terel of Go-c=cH-cHINC a specific 5-HT aler induces pleos pleole pidosis They feel that the form cello in rite, which accurs after CPZ, Italoperidol + many atter cpds, indicates a special but wielwant s ku Vi are still trying tofend whether 110140

10-13-75 94939 Cene pt. had a "spontanous" lifting of depression at 40 mg doze had level. This had occurred before in this pt. The afleus had at lest modest improvement and each at 225-300 mg (day diveloped fremon Ita. and pt · E & familial history of fremon begun trembling at 25 mg Thing.

1-16-76.

99638 is a Cl substituted ceptralisterin with a spectrum and MIC's somewhat bether than aphalexin. Chemically it i difficult + expensive. Marleting is interested in a low dose, less expensive Keplex substitute nother than a higher-press Super-Keplex. an anormous effort is going with this project which I think is a mistake. Talked with Bennett Fully hollog + Rathberry yesterday about J. Small's study of 10 pets on 94939. We were all pugged by the high incidence of herror and the queation is whether some are extra-pyrounded lined - up possible investigator. It look like about 82000/pt for twee an one potrent, an absessive - compulsion showed vally enallable inprovement. But there all the patients while drug regrating -

6-16-76

Bennett went to Chicago It setup chunciel trist on 94939 + 109514. Btack + & an somewhat distussed to beam that he has initeated at \$56,000 Study in our normal subjects with high livel of anxiety in an opnant challegegs hasting from 9 Art to XPM. etc. the New Paid nothing regative to Bennett on news den hurt I did mention wy concern to Johnson where is infident that we phond want & see. In the meantime stack hences a vacation on June 21. and will not he back with July 12. Then the I meeting in New Orleans ( ting 16.19) then they school. I don't think very much good is gring to cause out of all thes

9-8=76

Talked with Warsden + Kiplinger about Bennett, 9×939 + report forms. The current delay results from performation of form which is essential for offerting. This I do not understand. Talked to Fuller to say that if Lemburger is not interested in acting as monitor for 100140 then he, " molegy or I will have to the over.

2-14-77

attempts to block HOIXO REA supposed with sitter methysergide on approhiptoduc hid not work. M call be some sich superinted \_ cato were hyperactive. What does this mean

4-13-77 in Manhesset on Fullino unging. This man gwi as pts. E obsersive unpulsion for 74939.

000023

5-13-77

10-10-18

Kay Fuller asked whether would be willing to take over the clinical monitored if of fluoretime when Bob Shulman have . I said that I would not voluntee but if abded I would.

10-26-78

Induced kind flick, would fluoretine enhance flicks on reduce dose?

000026

000027

Chen conversation about the needs for EV group was interrupted by a call fime Studden + then by an 8 AM appointment. I have a note agging that he'll be back in touch with me later the AM. I wonder "He returned to my office - not & dis case

11-30-78

50 situation, but to say that Shedden would like me to take over the monitorship of fluoretine Called Shedden and agreed to do 200. Then called Nick + Johnson in San deego av that they will talk a point about a clivical trial of theoretime for pain. 12/0/18 after a welk in Naples we had a poor lot on Kingfiele and marie bluest's house against fim Berry's affer to troke into Mead -Johnson. On experies affer to troke into Mead -Michegan House a letter firm Hathaway of Michegan House about Sal armogida's bet on Torpen that we have now agreed to bay. I cancelled my trip to transville and am typic to send hay in my place.

000029

12.21-78 as monitor, I have sent a protocal and will start on Moble. 40-1 Quer for fin Harley come by to tell me he us happy that I've going to happles and stoyed bother bother and the Bendush Hunter 12-22fluoretine is part way down the table and doesn't want to spend \$4528 for me fet 2 narchlipsy.

1-29-78. Have just returned from a trip to West coast + Houston to set up priato of proverine. The is willing to start vhesiting feating right away but that will have to wait until we have more nen a impatrent study suce he feels hus patients & HARD >20 all for sich to be above - most do not have a supportive family situation and mill intime dystonia studies with tess lab work and a modest hudget. wants to do 10 feelat pt. study for parin. I think we may get real data but I am not urtain. Why did abon - toeigy from off the EM! study Table will do an autpatient pilot. a strange but probably OK set up. 000031

/

2-15-79 I made a progress report on fluoretine to Nerr's stafflies AM. all went reasonably well except the analgesic part. among other things, Low Semberger maintained fliat with we had our veryMilinical data in arenals confirming outside report we had no bakes in 'science or morality for testing fluopetul as an analytic. I asked about naturand asthing. Should I call . Low + talk

2-21-79 Herr said he was sorry that I have draided to util ste, ile mentioned that Stip was impressed with my in thusiacun for fluoxe fine. Come up me prometor at exec. Commetter meeting Johnson Days 40:60 chance on raise Which Omay be abant night. I have now fald . flend that if aseful, I would carry fluoretime on a port time basis. That is an interesting possibulity. . at so hours / week / ie half time She weld full time the it would be very complicated

2-26-79 The clinical thirds of fluoxetue are moving slowly toward instation. Fasola after sitting a my rough draft for about a month deaded to cancel his appearance before the Institution Review Commettee, & Cretched to Lemberger, who told Marsden where was initially look but late seemed cooperative when told of the long delay. Will try to revese clinical Beochuce with Semburger tomorrow.

3-14-79. Deanna Kinght has accepted our job. Visited we gestenday. He is ready to start as som as we can supply forms which should he warch 28: If his study properses according to his aptimistic profections he should have , o pts. 

on dueg 200 by the end of april. This should give him some idea of officary by the ARDED meeting at the und of thay. He saip that if fluoretine ares not work in on open habil study there is not for much resour to believe that a double blind study. He also wondered whether the transcent improvements that we have had reported to us may represent in adquete dose. I mentioned in a recent telephone conversation that his not seeing as much change in platelet 5. HT uptake as Lemberger Inducte makes Sow angry ! ] and also that side affects in the potront's receiving 60-40 regimen are not that di Avent finn 30-20 Go - I would guess that augthing but he has promised to let me henow so that we can file amendments with FOA Vrn. I think I should suggest to Budush + Shedden that I'll manage the Phase II fluoxetine trial and howestly turn it off if open label phase is completely discouraging, but mention the tryptophan, L-SHTP and jourt study with northfigline should be insidered. I'll tell them + month

1 1 1

-0

3-8-79.

all protocol for fluoretue an now written. Will visit wext week. We should be able to get their start by april 1 and Fabre + get the ame time in april We may get to the double blend phase by July. Will start in the plabbeak for that Aton.

3-14-79

that I'll wind up my animal studie by Sept 15 and release worth goves and moore for atten studies. That if the clinical Phase I field is still in progress I'll take interrupted vacation at least thousagh Feb 15 to see it to an und on to phonett. I would when to go to MCDEV and. would, if they with, recruit another payidro phonica cologist.
4-12-79

The Jeponese signer de pupied at Stanford is still not ninferred while labering fluoritine. Runing priet weck dore was udured from 60 tos' because of lethougy hypotension. At and of second week, I wole in Sci 90 that his nauseated. Our on two user weeks and then ??. and this have gree by rind aparl was as of 3-27. Its hadd to sit still . I do hape that be'll consent to a spirial top.

4-26-79 budget come in . Ide was for the 6 patient pilet study . I tole hun there is no way. Benduchageed & this.

000041

and the second second

5-15-79. Weiting - Shedden + Bendush wentwell as did presentation at Herr's Staff. as of Joday we have programtangevidence of efficacy and we had beet be suit Roud is a year on two believed zimelidine fluvoranine and peroretice and Hunter be worthless.

5-18-79 Off to NODED why do fluoretue potients start well and then fade? Do we medi- 5HT7? Walloy says it should isst 2x1-DOPA and he almont as stable. I told Bendush that we need word perceptive upon table trials with drenga ze pine, fricylies ite. While at the NODEU meeting last 5/30/79 week I was impressed with the defecult. it would be to design and carry out a meaningfuel double blind study of fluoretine. I had loped to compare F with annihiptyline but me printed out that firm would be us double blund In addition & suspect that fluoxetur. anithip tyline. Finally it downed in us that my old feeling that our lust bet for a successful product will be a continuation of the intulator of NE

with F. I dis would this with Fuller, stack Monton, Raffey, Whole, Ostow and Sheddly the Mudical Directors. Clearly, we want first show the F alme has some activity This & AM, I am included to run Fagainst placebo for 3 weeks and of mothing happens go to antiptymer Then compose note of conferment of F v. plaubor and F+A and P+A. The ariental plugiciat plenned to respond quickly to the anithiptyhad after ferroxetine when in the port bud had not done will an uniproment. Que cat data ZREM I talked with by at at He has had experience with flurroxanine and would be willing to do studies with fluoxeture in 102 Would be , or his friend mi he the brind of investigator + give a some 6-9-79 his good results with me pt. frated & Los by LSHIP + Huoce ful better than before with 1200mg. Mow to deal à Kartzmil, chustien, Barnett de

6-18-79 Trying to enlest mon fluoxetine investigators. Not happy with Fabre-Wrote asting him to uturn pills, forms + 4/5 of grant.

6-29.79 Jabre has regard to a double blend trial, but wants a lettemore xperience + wants to go a line pranine. Mines was to have sind us pistocals but holling coul . Called to make a appt . in herewilly Willier ty the there there .

1-13.79 fiday! alled to fell me that a 26 year old female who has been on fluore five that a fulling WBC 4.1, 1.4, 3.9, 3.3 with a normal differential, Hunstoriel 4# 1 to 33 2 0:38, Refee. Platelity 225,000. Hematologic consult this M. I had been planning to visit + and possibly huning the week of ang. 6 hat dyness d'll wait before I buy a flelset. Visited to a 7-18. Pt's mother sometimes 7-20gives pt. Manodantin. We couldn't be suce. Her marrow on 7-13 was recovering " Count is rising slowly. Neither non Fabre seen concurred. Will meet with Project Team on manday 7-23. I am not entirely confor table and I'de suggest that this was fluoretic related Fabre says he is bearing about increased pane during men struction. I thought we had no young women but he says this are anounlatory on have tubal legation the

8-9-79. Uracted un 1 and in a Day that he can new a 20,40,60,80 mg. fluoxetine study but 4 sets of patients Started in Oct 1979 would take until July 1980 for completion. I expressed concerson that 4 subjects at which dose might not be sufficient. He seemed wifident that we would get answers. build be. We know flat 20 racely worlds

and that to couse lots of agetation so -I am going to recommend that we go ahrend + support the project guy. His associate Mr. Duned aware of I called and found that here died . Dr. called today and good good good Lilly would pay for hospitalization should a drug reaction occur. I called tim to say that Benduale will stand behind the physician ite. The mentioned that filly has his CV. since he had applied for a job . Will cluele Early

()00049

8-17-79. The false Double blind against placebr is ready to go if the grant is specould Hespatient 103 has some connel + importived baions as well as helder infituates that Folue says are probably vial in origin We know nor when he sees the patient again on aug. 20.

8-21-79 called yesterday to tell us that 1 bad taken both of his patients off fluoretine. One was a young man with suicedal risk and in alasmic thought disorders who probably should be classified as schipphience on schipp affective. He was started in mellauil. The other inst be a simple failure I have not heard femilie 2 un deveral weeks and have had no case reports Colled yesterday while he was out. He ded not return the call. We ded re- tabel and repachage the material for Fabre's study 000051

96-79

Toilay in R. Management Stoff Approved project them status for the methyl analog of nisorcture (? tolox etime) I have told both fuller t Raffey that I do not believe that the company will we recover research costs from profet by this one. I do think that the fluoretime well be far enough alread so that we can fudge whether level opening is a characteristic of the class or first of the methody derivative

9-25-79

Teld in a letter that his filme to file use reports is what may prevent his patients from reaving fluoretime

D-1-79 Paul Stork will be taking over fluoretice. We visited to ver a sweek combunition Wel + I wanted to ver a sweek combunition i) Pruled fluoretice livel. 2) swhod 5 HTP + curbin dopo + 3) \* Stop fluoreticie 4). merican dou of SHTP - contridopa. Stork was dubiois, as was Fuller + Studden + aid "bao", another 8-12 month down the drain

Neuronhammaulogi Vol 17 pp. 2x3 3x9 C. Pergumon Prov Lid 1974 Printed in Great Britain

1407%- THEAK THE CREDIT-133(835612 KR) KI

# INHIBITION OF REM SLEEP BY FLUOXETINE, A SPECIFIC INHIBITOR OF SEROTONIN UPTAKE\*

jun that

### I. H. SLATER, G. T. JONES and R. A. MOORE

The Lilly Research Laboratories. Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis. Indiana 46206. U.S.A.

#### (Accepted 3 Octoher 1977)

Summary—Fluoxetine, a specific inhibitor of serotonin uptake, suppressed REM sleep in cats. The onset of action was prompt and with doses of 2.5 mg/kg, (p.o.) the effect lasted a full 24 hr. After 2 or 3 weeks of daily dosing, the amount of REM sleep began to increase again. A small dose of fluoxetine added to a small dose of t-5-hydroxy-tryptophan caused a significant decrease in REM sleep whereas either treatment alone did not. Administered to cryptaw toole cats fluoxetine did not antagonize EEG desynchronization induced by the muscarinic stimulant arecoline, indicating the lack of a direct anticholinergic effect. These experiments indicate that REM sleep is suppressed when 5-HT accumulates at synapses as a consequence of fluoxetine administration. These data and a similar suppression of REM sleep that occurs when norepinephrine accumulates suggest that both NE and 5-HT can inhibit the cholinergic system that seems crucial for REM sleep. Non-REM sleep was usually increased in cats. In rats REM sleep was suppressed by fluoxetine but SWS did not increase.

Impairment of serotonergic mechanisms profoundly alters sleep patterns. Insomnia follows treatment with serotonin-depleting agents or destruction of serotonin-containing neurones in the median raphe (Jouvet, 1972). Depletion of monoamines by reserpine results in loss of slow wave sleep and bursts of pontogeniculo-orbital (PGO) spikes (Brooks and Gershon, 1977). The decrease in brain levels of serotonin (5-HT) that follows administration of p-chlorophenylalanine coincides with a decrease in slow-wave sleep (SWS). Administration of the serotonin precursor, 5-hydroxytryptophan (5-HTP), reinstates sleep that lasts only for the few hours during which 5-HT levels are restored. Early in the recovery from insomnia induced by p-chlorophenylalanine, cats display showers of PGO spikes (Jalire, Ruch-Monachon and Haefely, 1974). These spikes also appeared in cats treated with he monoamine-depleting benzoquinolizine, RO-1284. Administering 5-HTP to these cats decreases the number of spikes, indicating suppression by a serotonergic mechanism.

The consequences of decreased levels of 5-HT are clear and reproducible, but attempts to examine the effect of increased availability of 5-HT have been frustrated by lack of specific agents. The effects of tryptophan are modest (Hartmann, 1977). Though 5-HTP at high doses may increase sleep, the effects cannot be ascribed to increased activity of serotonin neurons since decarboxylation of 5-HTP can occur in other neurons us well. Monoamine. oxidase inhibitors, which decrease SWS and paradoxical sleep (REM)

elevate levels of catecholamines as well as 5-HT. Tricyclic antidepressants reduce REM sleep and usually increase SWS (Ritvo, Ornitz, LaFranchi and Walter, 1967) but these drugs generally inhibit re-uptake of both catecholamines and 5-HT. Although chlorimipramine itself selectively inhibits 5-HT uptake, its methylated metabolite inhibits norepinephrine (NE) uptake. Changes in sleep pattern after administration of this drug then become a consequence of an undetermined and mixed influence on both 5-HT and NE. Fluoxetine, (dl-N-methyl-3-phenyl-3-[x,x,x-trifluorop-tolylloxy] propylamine hydrochloride), and desmethyl fluoxetine are specific inhibitors of serotonin uptake that do not affect catecholamine uptake in civo (Wong, Horng, Bymaster, Hauser and Molloy, 1974). In the present study fluoxetine was used to enhance serotonergic nerve function and was found to suppress REM and usually increase light or slow wave sleen.

### METHODS

Sleep patterns were determined in male cats and rats carrying implanted electrodes. The animals were in sound-attenuated enclosures. One-minute segments of EEG were graded by the usual criteria (Slater, Jones and Moore, 1976) as awake, drowsy, light, lightto-deep slow-wave (SWS3), deep slow-wave (SWS4) and REM sleep in cats. Sleep patterns for each cat usually were reproducible from day to day over a period of a few weeks. The cats differed in age, time in the laboratory, temperament and, not surprisingly, in distribution of sleep stages. In rats, light sleep and both stages of slow-wave sleep were combined as SWS. Drugs were administered orally.

Cerveau isole cats were prepared under ether anaesthesia. After making a coronal slot posterior to the

EXHIBIT

SLATER 1

<sup>\*</sup> A preliminary report of these data was presented at the Spring meeting of the FASEB (Fedn Proc. Fedn Am. Soct exp. Biol. 33: 564, 1974).

Key words: REM sleep, serotonin, fluoxetine, cholinergic.

bony tentorium and opening the dura, the brain stem was divided at the level of the junction of the inferior and superior colliculi with a modified nickel spatula inserted at a  $46^{\circ}$  or  $50^{\circ}$  angle. Stainless-steel  $2 \times 56$  screws that reached, but did not penetrate, the dura served as surface leads. Bipolar insulated stainless-steel wire electrodes were placed in the lateral geniculate nucleus of the thalamus under stereotaxic control. The ether anaesthesia was stopped at least 1 hr before the experiment was begun. A dose of 0.1 mg kg of atropine methiodide was injected to block peripheral cholinergic receptors.

When the pattern of slow-wave activity with intermittent "sleep spindles" was well established.  $5 \mu g/kg$ of arecoline HCl. (i.v.) was injected. At 10-min intervals the dose was increased or decreased to determine how much arecoline was needed for induction of a desynchronized EEG. This threshold dose was determined again after intravenous injection of fluoxetine.

#### RESULTS

### Cats-sleep pattern: 5-day trial

Three cats received fluoxetine on 5 consecutive days that were preceded or separated by days on which water was administered (Fig. 1). Since fluoxetine has a long duration of action (Parli and Hicks, 1974), EEG's were recorded for 22.5 hr. Each day the cats received drug or placebo at 8:45 a.m. Recording began at 9:00 a.m. and continued until 7:30 a.m. the next day, when the cats were exercised and observed outside the recording enclosure.

During the first 5-day course the three doses 1, 2.5 and 5 mg/kg all caused significant suppression of REM sleep; the two higher doses causing almost complete suppression (Fig. 1). While receiving 2.5 mg/kg of fluoxetine for 5 days, cat 82 had 8 min of REM sleep on one day, 4 min on two days and none on the two remaining days. During the period when cat 75 received 5 mg/kg, the percentage of time in REM sleep fell from 11.88  $\pm$  0.88 to 0.18  $\pm$  0.32. Both light sleep and SWS3 increased in this cat, while the awake periods remained virtually unchanged. This cat had less than 1", of SWS4 during both control and drug treatment. Most of the lost REM sleep time for the cats receiving 1 or 2.5 mg/kg (#82 and 84) appeared as light sleep. While receiving only water, these two cats had 5.17 and 9.73"; levels of SWS4 during the first 24 hr of each day and a total of 1.13 and 4.22 for the 22.5 hr. During the first 3 days of fluoxetine treatment, SWS4 varied but was not detected in either cat at any time during the fourth and fifth day of drug treatment. Later in the week, SWS3 also decreased. The low level of REM sleep continued after cessation of drug administration returning to near control level in 9 days. Since the second 5 days of fluoxetine treatment was then begun, it was not known whether rebound would have occurred. In these and in subsequent experiments latency to SWS3 and REM sleep varied enormously



Fig. 1. Effect of fluoxetine on REM and light sleep in cats; EEG's were recorded for 22.5 hr each day. After a control period the cats received fluoxetine each morning for 5 days (cross-hatched interval). The clear areas indicate the days on which water was administered. Each bar equals one day. After the first drug period, there were 2 days of rest during which no recording was done. Slow-wave sleep and awake did not change. The dark line indicates minutes of light sleep and the thinner line. REM sleep. As indicated, cats 75 and 82 had days during the first drug period with no REM sleep at all.

between the cats during the control period. For any single cat, latency to SWS3 was usually about the same during control and drug periods, but the REM latency increased.

The second course of fluoxetine depressed REM sleep less than the first (Fig. 1). Cat 84 had more REM sleep while receiving 2.5 mg/kg than it had the previous week on 1 mg/kg. In contrast, cat 82 during the first drug trial had almost no REM sleep on 2.5 mg/kg of fluoxetine. The level of light sleep remained high but did not seem to change with changes in drug administration.

On the first day of the third week of drug treatment cat 75 lost its plug. Sleep patterns did not change during this which were Long-teri

formed dur istered on 2 days each ine the effetine since loosened example, v day of the cats to re observatio made.

> After th for a few dilated, b mydriasis day of di doses, wh growl and with care the drug clearly as became | week of drug tre friendly higher di the beha severe a highest i each day creased were stil 3 contro 2.5 mg/k recordet 2, a sta ance) d (SW54) and ver not sign in SWS sleep ti In a tinued during ing the illustr: mean . a give of sice in RE seen. sisted which raiser migh on th S.P. 17

during this week in the other two cats (82 and 84) which were receiving 0.5 mg/kg of fluoxetine.

Long-term trial. Additional experiments were performed during which doses of fluoxetine were administered on a daily basis but EEG's recorded on only 2 days each week. This procedure was used to examine the effects of long-term administration of fluoxetine since several weeks of daily recording often loosened the plug on a cat's head. Cat 75, for example, was lost to further recording on the 30th day of the preceding study. In addition, allowing the cats to remain in their home cages enabled better observation of their appearance and behaviour to be made.

After the cats had been receiving drug treatment for a few days, it was noticed that their pupils were dilated, but still responsive to light. The degree of mydriasis seemed to be dose-related. By the fourth day of drug treatment the cats receiving the larger doses, which had been friendly for years, began to rowl and hiss. They became distinctly unfriendly, but with careful handling it was possible to administer the drug in the usual way. The cats seemed to see clearly and did not seem to be hallucinating. They became less irritable toward the end of the second week of drug administration. After cessation of the drug treatment, the cats returned to their usual friendly behaviour in a week or two; those on the higher doses recovering more slowly. The severity of the behavioural change was dose-related being more severe and lasting longer in the cats receiving the highest dose. The cats treated with 0.5 mg/kg orally cach day showed only modest irritability, which decreased and virtually disappeared even while they were still receiving the drug. During the first trial after 3 control sessions cats received fluoxetine (0.5, 1 or 2.5 mg/kg) on 8 consecutive days. Sleep patterns were recorded on day 1, 6, 8 and 10. As shown in Figure 2. a statistically significant (2-way analysis of variance) decrease occurred in REM sleep. Deep sleep (SWS4) also decreased but because of the variability and very low levels in some cats, this change was not significant at all dose levels nor was the change in SWS3. Light sleep time did increase, but the total sleep time stayed about the same.

In another experiment, drug administration continued for 19 or 31 days with 6 or 10 recording days during drug treatment and 4 or 8 recording days during the recovery phase. The changes in the EEG are illustrated graphically in Figure 3. Each point is the mean of the percentage of time that two cats receiving a given uose of fluoxetine spent in the various phases of sleep on one day of recording. Again, the decrease in REM sleep and the increase in light sleep can be seen. In the cats treated with 2.5 mg/kg this effect persisted without much change for 6 recording sessions, which covered 19 days of treatment. The question was raised as to how a serotonin receptor antagonist might affect the altered pattern of sleep. Two cats on the high dose (2.5 mg/kg) received 1 mg/kg of

SF 176 4



Fig. 2. Effect of fluoxetine on sleep pattern. Cats received fluoxetine on 8 consecutive days. Sleep patterns were recorded three times before drug administration and on day 1, 6 and 8 of drug treatment. Each bar graph represents the mean of results for 2 cats. The number at the top indicates dose in mg/kg. (p.o.). Column C is the mean for 3 control days. Columns labelled 1, 6 or 8 are from recordings of the corresponding day of drug treatment. The stages from the top down are REM, sleep, SWS4, SWS3, light, drowsy and awake. The length of each segment indicates the portion of time spent in that stage of sleep. Total sleep time, indicated by the solid segments and the segments above it, did not change in any consistent way; REM sleep (the top clear area) and SWS4, (the next lightly stippled area) decreased. The P-values beside the bar graph indicate that the changes in REM sleep were significant (P < 0.01 by analysis of variance) but that changes in SWS4 occurring after 1 mg/kg were not.

methysergide on the 19th day. Both became agitated; they slept much less than before and REM sleep was completely absent. The day after this trial each of the cats appeared ill and all drugs were stopped. These two cats recovered slowly; return to the predrug pattern of sleep and behaviour took about two weeks. It was quite clear that methysergide, an agent known to block the effects of 5-HT on peripheral tissue receptors, did not restore a sleep pattern resembling the control.

The cats receiving 0.5 or 1.0 mg/kg of fluoxetine continued for a total of 31 days. Suppression of REM sleep had decreased by the fourth week of recording, and light sleep remained high. When the drug treatment was stopped in these cats, recovery occurred over a shorter period of time than with the larger dose. The amount of REM sleep did not increase over baseline. This absence of a REM-rebound may be a consequence of the long half-life of fluoxetine and its biologically active metabolite, desmethylfluoxetine (Parli et al., 1974).

I. H. SLATER, G. T. JONES and R. A. MOORE



386

Fig. 3. Each vertical set of points indicates the mean percentage of sleep stage on one day; EEG's were recorded twice each week but drug was administered every day; M] indicates the administration of I mg/kg. (p.o.) of methysergide which blocked SWS and REM sleep.

In a 5-hr experiment, 9 cats received water one day and 1 mg/kg of fluoxetine on the next day. The percentage of SWS increased from  $38.0 \pm 3.85$  (SE) to  $59.52 \pm 4.67$ , a difference significant at P < 0.01. Among the 9 cats, 69 periods of REM sleep with a median duration of 5.7 min occurred after control medication, and 35 periods with median duration of 6 min, after fluoxetine. Counting the number of PGO spikes, occurring during REM sleep periods that exceeded 3 min, did not reveal any obvious difference in density. Fluoxetine decreased the number of REM periods but did not affect the duration or the PGO density during REM sleep. The PGO spikes occurring in 3 cats during 3 min were counted, beginning 1 min after the onset of REM sleep periods of sufficient length. After control treatment, 125.9  $\pm$  6.37 (SE) spikes occurred in 20 periods of REM sleep and, after 1 mg/kg of fluoxetine, 131.44  $\pm$  4.55, during 9 periods.

Co-administration of fluoxetine and 5-HTP. One group of 6 cats previously used in these and other sleep experiments received a placebo oral dose of water at 8:30 a.m. on Tuesday and Wednesday on each of 3 weeks that were separated by 1 week without treatment. On Thursdays and Fridays, 2 cats received fluoxetine, 2 received S-HTP and 2 received both medications according to a cross-over design. Recording sessions lasted 5 hr. On the first drug day, 10 mg/kg of 5-HTP was administered either alone or in combination with fluoxetine to 4 cats. All these cats vomited and the sleep data from that day were not included. It was then found that doses of 2.5 mg/kg or 5.0 mg/kg of 5-HTP caused vomiting but 1 mg/kg did not. For the remaining 5 treatment days, the dose of 5-HTP was 0.5 mg/kg orally. The dose of fluoxetine was 0.5 mg/kg orally.

An analysis of variance revealed significant differences only in the amount of REM sleep (Table 1). The decreased REM sleep in cats treated with fluoxetine alone was not significant at the 95% level (Duncan Multiple Range Test). However, the cats that received both fluoxetine and 5-HTP had significantly less REM sleep than controls or cats treated with 5-HTP alone. This joint action suggests that the change in REM sleep was indeed a consequence of increased 5-HT at serotonergic synapses.

Cerceau isole. Electroencephalograms recorded from surface leads in normal cats vary in pattern. For several hours after transection of the brain stem at the ponto-mesencephalic junction, a single characteristic record predominates. The basic form consists

Table I. Ef	ect of 5-hydrox	ytryptophan a	and Sucrets	ne on the	sleep of ca	15
-------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	----

	π	AWK	SWS	REM
Control	18	29.42 ± 6.78	36.52 ± 6.48	14.70 ± 5.53*
5-HTP	6	28.32 ± 4.28	$38.25 \pm 5.10$	15.20 ± 5.21*
Fluoxetine	6	$25.41 \pm 14.98$	48.29 ± 15.36	8.74 ± 5.08"."
5-HTP + Fluoxetine	6	28.09 ± 10.10	44.24 ± 14.51	5.70 ± 3.19*

"All 6 cats received water by gavage on 2 consecutive days. The next 2 days, they received 5-HTP 0.5 mg/kg, fluoxetine 0.5 mg/kg or both 5-HTP and fluoxetine in random order. A week without treatment separated each trial.

\* In preparing the results for analysis, a mean was computed for control days and treatment days for each cat each week. Thus, the data in the table are the means of the 2-day means which were computed. The letter superscripts (a,b) indicate the results of a Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level of probability. Values with the same letter are not different from each. of 4-6 Hz of 10-12 brate car other wa the first tivity int without carinic t or areco high fro zation 1 cat vara small a crease i (Rathba a sensit the mu-Thre at the defined in the s of 10 EEG 1 were t (i.v.), 1 Rar-Si Fou ing a · two ci next 1 tine; | obser of RI fluox treats Tal D (m) 2

1

\* dos

2 d

stat

dal

is t

da

Fn

cal

of 10-12 Hz activity of higher voltage. When decerebrate cats are kept alive for several days or weeks other wave forms will emerge (Jouver, 1972). During the first few hours, however, the pattern of slow activity interrupted by sleep spindles usually continues without change. After intravenous injection of muscurinic cholinergic stimulants such as phyostigmine or arecoline, the EEG changes to one of low voltage high frequency. The threshold dose for desynchronization by arecoline of the EEG in the certeau isole cut varies between 2.5-50 µg/kg. Doses of atropine as small us 0.1 mg/kg. (i.v.), will cause a substantial increase in this dose and may block the effect entirely (Rathbun and Slater, 1963). The response is, therefore, a sensitive test for central anticholinergic activity of the muscarinic type.

Three of 4 cats, in which the brain was divided at the ponto-mesencephalic junction, showed welldefined slow wave activity with intermittent spindling in the surface EEG. In these 3 cats, doses of arecoline of 10 or 20  $\mu$ g/kg (i.v.) converted the synchronized EEG to a desynchronized pattern. When these cats were treated with 1 and then 3 mg/kg of fluoxetine, (i.v.), the threshold dose of arecoline did not change.

#### Rut-Sleep Pattern

Four rats received various doses of fluoxetine during a series of range finding experiments. On the first two consecutive days they received water and on the next two, either water, or 2.5, 5 or 10 mg/kg of fluoxetine; EEG's were recorded for 7.5 hr. The consistent observation from these trials was a decreased amount of REM sleep in rats treated with 5 or 10 mg/kg of fluoxetine (Table 2). Although one of the 2 rats treated with 10 mg kg was awake for most of the first

Table 2. Effect of fluosetine on sleep pattern of rats

Dose (merket		Percentage	of sleep st SWS	ate (7.5 hr) REM
1		10000		
Ð	4	45.8	45.6	8.61
0		47.7	44.1	7.67
0	2	43.6	52.1	4.4
2.5		55.1*	40.9	4.0
0	2	46.4	42.5	11.1
25		45.1	44.3	10.6
0	4	42.2	46.9	10.9
5		47.0	48.0	5.0*
0	$2 \times 2t$	39.9	48.3	11.7
5	1000	45.3	48.2	6.5*
0	2	48.6	43.4	8.0
10	10/254	67.8	30.8	1.5

Over a period of 3 months, 4 rats received various doses of fluoxetine on 2 consecutive days that followed 2 days on which they had received water by gavage. For statistical analysis, it was judged necessary to divide the data into compatible sets. The first number of each pair is the mean of the observations on Tuesday and Wednesday and the second number, the mean for Thursday and Friday. Differences marked with an asterisk were significant by an analysis of variance.

+ The same 2 rats run for 2 weeks.

of 4-6 Hz waves interrupted periodically by spindles of 10-12 Hz activity of higher voltage. When decerebrate cats are kept alive for several days or weeks other wave forms will emerge (Jouvet, 1972). During the first few hours, however, the pattern of slow ac-

### DISCUSSION

Fluoxetine selectively blocks uptake of serotonin by isolated synaptosomes (Wong et al., 1974). In the brain, re-uptake is a major factor in terminating the action of serotonin. Interference with this process should increase the amount of serotonin at synaptic clefts. The reduction of serotonin turnover in fluoxetine-treated rats provides biochemical evidence that this has occurred. The decreased firing rate of raphe neurones confirms this neurophysiologically (Clemens, Sawyer and Cerimele, 1977). Potentiation of ACTH secretion induced by 5-HTP adds a neuroendocrine parameter to indicate again that fluoxetine, by inhibiting neuronal re-uptake of 5-HT, enhances serotonergic mechanisms (Fuller, Snoddy and Molloy, 1976).

Fluoxetine is completely specific for blocking S-HT uptake in vivo without affecting norepinephrine uptake at well-tolerated doses. For example, fluoxetine in rats (Fuller, Perry and Molloy, 1974) and mice (Fuller, Perry, Snoddy and Molloy, 1974) prevents the depletion of 5-HT by p-chloroamphetamine but does not affect norepinephrine depletion by 6-hydroxydopamine. This specificity is also confirmed by a simple unpublished blood pressure experiment in which fluoxetine had little effect on the pressor response to tyramine or norepinephrine whereas nisoxetine, like the tricyclic antidepressants, blocked the effect of tyramine and increased the pressor effect of norepinephrine. The administration of fluoxetine can be used as a tool for studying the consequences of increased 5-HT at synaptic clefts.

Tricyclic antidepressants which suppress REM sleep and increase SWS, are relatively non-specific inhibitors of monoamine uptake usually inhibiting NE uptake more than 5-HT. Nisozetine is a NE uptake inhibitor chemically related to fluoxetine but without activity on 5-HT uptake at concentrations achieved after reasonable doses. Experiments with fluoxetine and nisoxetine, should help in the understanding of how NE and 5-HT affect sleep. Nisoxetine, like the tricyclic antedepressant, and fluoxetine clearly inhibited REM sleep (Slater er al., 1976).

Cats showed an unequivocal loss of REM sloep after receiving fluoxetine. The effect of a marginally effective dose of fluoxetine (0.5 mg/kg orally) was made statistically significant by the co-administration of a small non-emetic dose of 5-HTP (0.5 mg/kg). This demonstrates a serotonergic suppression of REM sleep and of the PGO spikes characteristic of this stage of sleep. Jalfre *et al.* (1974) suppressed PGO spikes with doses of 5-HTP in cats pretreated with pCPA. During the 24 hr following large, emetic doses

of 5-HTP or tryptophan. Ursin (1976) did not find a decrease in the time cats spent in stage REM sleep but she did report an increase in latency to REM sleep after both amino acids. These studies are difficult to interpret because of the vomiting, but they do seem to confirm the suppression of REM sleep and PGO spikes by 5-HT.

In the present study when cats were treated for several weeks with fluoxetine, REM sleep began to return and PGO spikes were seen during both wakefulness and during other stages of sleep. This emergence of REM sleep and PGO spikes in the presence of fluoxetine suggests that 5-HT modulates rather than controls the electroencephalographic signs. Cholinergic mechanisms are probably the final common pathway through which REM-related phenomenon are expressed (Situram, Mendelson, Wyatt and Gillin, 1977). Hobson and McCarley (1976) have suggested that this cholinergic process can be inhibited by either serotonergic or noradrenergic neurones. Since tricylic antidepressants (which interfere with monoamine uptake), misoaetine (a relatively specific inhibitor of norepinephrine uptake chemically similar to fluoxetine) and fluoxetine itself (a specific inhibitor of 5-HT uptake), all decrease the amount of REM sleep, dual monoamine mechanisms for suppression of REM sleep seems an attractive hypothesis.

In some of the present experiments, fluoxetine increased the amount of SWS on the first day but not on later days. Light sleep, which in this laboratory refers to an EEG pattern of mixed slow activity (4-6 Hz), occasional spindles (8-12 Hz) and some (less than one-third) fast activity, was usually increased in cats. This stage marks the border between wakefulness and asleep, between conscious and unconscious. In this sense, the present data fit with Jouvet's (1972) suggestion that increases in S-HT are concerned with the initiation of sleep and the present experiments fit the monoamine theory of sleep, but the suppression ot REM sleep has been more striking than any increase in SWS.

During the course of these experiments two unexpected findings were encountered. The present authors are at a loss to explain why cats receiving fluoxetine for several days began to hiss and growl or why this behaviour decreased with continued treatment. The subjects who received fluoxetine in a Phase I clinical trial (Lemberger, unpublished data) have not described any change in mood nor have observers noted any change in affect.

The mydriasis that occurred in cats treated with fluoxetine was also puzzling. There seems to be no neuroanatomical basis for mydriasis as a consequence of activation of serotonergic pathways. Pupillary dilation often is a sign of anticholinergic activity. This seemed an unlikely explanation since the EEG pattern of high-voltage slow-wave activity that occurs in cats treated with atropine or scopolamine was not seem. Fluoxetine did not affect the threshold dose of arccoline that induced EEG desynchronization in the cerveau isolé cat. Since small doses of atropine sulphate (0.1 mg/kg) either elevate the threshold dose or completely block arecoline-induced desynchronization, it was concluded that fluoxetine does not act as a central anticholinergic blocking drug. Unpublished data of Dr James Aiken on several isolated smooth muscle systems indicate that fluoxetine is not a cholinergic blocking agent peripherally. If fluoxetine suppresses REM sleep and PGO spikes through serotonergic inhibition of a cholinergic pathway, the mydriasis may also be a consequence of an analogous mechanism.

#### REFERENCES

- Brooks, D. C. and Gershon, M. D. (1977). Amine repletion in the reserpinized cat: Effect upon PGO waves and REM slown Electrometer fin Neuranius 27: 15-17
- REM sleep, Electroenceph, clin, Neurophysiol, 42: 35-47, Clemens, J. A., Sawyer, B. D. and Cerimele, B. (1977). Further evidence that serotonin is a neurotransmitter involved in the control prolactin secretion. Endocrinology 100: 692-698.
- Fuller, R. W., Perry, K. W. and Molloy, B. B. (1974). Effect of an uptake inhibitor on serotonin metabolism in rat brain: Studies with 3-(p-trifluoromethylphenoxy)-Nmethyl-3-phenylpropylamine (Lilly 110140). Life Sci. 15: 1161-1174.
- Fuller, R. W., Snoddy, H. D. and Molloy, B. B. (1976). Pharmacologic evidence for a serotonin neural pathway involved in hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal function in rats. Life Sci. 19: 337-346.
- Fullet, R. W., Perry, K. W., Snoddy, H. D. and Molloy, B. B. (1974). Comparison of the specificity of 3-(p-trifluoromethylphenoxy)-N-methyl-3-phenylpropylamine and chlorimipramine as amine uptake inhibitors in mice. Eur. J. Pharmac. 28: 233-236.
- Hartmann, E. (1977). L-Tryptophane: A rational hypnotic with clinical potential. Am. J. Psychiat. 34: 366-370.
- Hobson, J. A and McCarley, R. W. (1976). Location and discharge properties of midline tegmental neurons with diminished firing in desynchronized sleep (D-OH cells). *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Meeting APSS*, Cincinnati, p. 6.
- Jalfré, M., Ruch-Monachon, M. A. and Haefely, W. (1974). Methods for assessing the interaction of agents with 5-hydroxytryptamine neurons and receptors in the brain. In: Advances in Biochemical Psychopharmacology (Costa, E., Gessa, G. L. and Sandler, M., Eds), Vol. 10, pp. 121-134, Raven Press, New York.
- Jouvet, M. (1972) The role of monoamines and acetylcholine-containing neurons in the regulation of the sleepwaking cycle. Ergebn. Physiol. 64: 166-307.
- Parli, C. J. and Hicks, J. (1974). In viso demethylation of Lilly 110140: 3(p-trifluoromethylphenoxy)-N-methyl-3phenylpropylamine to an active metabolite-Lilly 103947. Fedn Proc. Fedn Am. Socs exp. Biol. 33: 560.
- Rathbun, R. C. and Slater, I. H. (1963). Amitriptyline and nortriptyline as antagonists of central and peripheral cholinergic activation. *Psychopharmacologia* 4: 114-125.
- Ritvo, E. R., Ornitz, E. M., LaFranchi, S. and Walter, R. D. (1967). Effects of imipramine on sleep-dream cycle: An EEG study in boys. Electroenceph. clin. Neurophysiol. 22: 465–468.
- Sitaram, N., Mendelson, W. B., Wyatt, R. J. and Gillin, J. C. (1977) The time-dependent induction of REM sleep and arousal by physostigmine infusion during normal human sleep. Brain Res. 122: 562-567.Slater, I. H., Jones, G. T. and Moore, R. A. (1976). Depres-
- Slater, I. H., Jones, G. T. and Moore, R. A. (1976). Depression of REM sleep in cats by nisoxetine, a potential antidepressant drug. Psychopharm. Communs 2: 181-188.

Ursin, R. (1976). The effects of 5-hydroxytryptophan and L-tryptophan on wakefulness and sleep patterns in the cat. Brain Ret. 106: 105-115. Wong, D. T., Horng, J. S., Bymaster, F. P., Hauser, K.

L and Molloy, B. B. (1974). A selective inhibitor of sero-tonin uptake: Lilly 110140, 3-(p-trifluoromethylphen-oxy)-N-methyl-3-phenylpropylamine. Life Sci. 15: 471-479.

11日

# CONFIDENTIAL

Minutes No. 79-1 Meeting of January 10, 1979 Clinical Research Plans Committee

2

Members Present - Dr. C. L. Bendush Dr. G. E. Gutowski Dr. I. S. Johnson Dr. L. Lemberger Dr. J. H. Marsdon Dr. F. B. Peck, J Dr. W. I. H. Shed Present For One or More Items Daniel M Hikulus Ahe Dr. Redman Dr. SCAT Other Recipients Aundson Dr E) A. A. Varnett 04 R. A. P. Burt Dr . G. Davis, Jr. W. Eastes E. H. Flynn Dr. Dr. R. H. Furman Dr. W. W. Hargrove Dr. E. B. Herr, Jr. Dr. G. V. Kaiser Dr. G. F. Kiplinger (Erl Wood) Dr. L. R. Levine Dr. D. H. Morton Dr. H. Murao (Kobe) Dr. C. W. Pettinga Dr. A. Pohland Mr. E. L. Step Dr. J. G. Whitney Dr. R. H. Williams Pz4002 1089 G. E. Gutowski 2061 EXHIBIT gw SLATER 6

Minutes No. 79-1 Meeting of January 10, 1979 Clinical Research Plans Committee

## Fluoxetine

Dr. I. H. Slater summarized the current clinical experience with functione before outlining the Plan A proposal.

Phase I clinical studies have established that fluoxetine is telefated at single doses up to 90 mg (the highest given). The desmethyl belabelit which possesses a pharmacologic provise similar to that of fluoxetipe, has a very long half-life and accounts for the ione duration of action of fluoxetine.

Phase II clinical trials in mintal depression are underway at four centers. So far, patients have been theater with 30 me daily far I days followed by 20 mg daily for 3 weeks or noral Approximately 20 patients have been treated according to this regimen, and must have failed to show improvement. One patient experiences a drawnic recovery and the positial. A few other an additional period and then discharged from the hospital. A few other patients have shown lesse degrees of response. No consistent side effects other than nauses, to which tolepance stors to develop, have been seen at these dosps. Single instances of frank paranole, a convulsion, a palpable thyroid, and a core of corneal pigennation (which later subsided) were also reported in those receiving fluorative. Some data on 5-hydroxyindolacetic acid lavels in corrections fluorative. Some data on 5-hydroxyindolacetic acid lavels in corrections fluorative. Some data on 5-hydroxyindolacetic acid lavels in corrections fluorative. Some data on 5-hydroxyindolacetic will be examined.

One study in dystonta mascalor in deformans has started. Two patients were treated with desse as bign as 70 mg daily. At the top dose in both patients, sleepiness and lethergy were observed. One patient treated at 60 mg of fluoxetine daily responded well and is being continued on treatment.

Clinical trial materials have been shipped for a study in postanoxic intention myoclonus but his study has not yet started. A protocol has been received for a study in pattents with narcolepsy/cataplexy. Another investigator has asked to study fluoxetine in patients with chronic pain, and this study will probably be ight if a satisfactory protocol can be worked out.

of clinical data are accumulated as anticipated, an NDA decision (mental depression) could be reached by December, 1979.

hr. J. H. Harsden felt that safety information was insufficient at this time to justify undertaking a study in obese patients (which may be mentally disturbed) at this time. CRPC agreed to postpone the proposed obesity study until more patient experience had been gained.

With this one exception, CRPC approved Plan A as proposed (estimated cost \$1 million)

24002 1090

Dr. L. Lemberger provided a partial proposal for Plan C. This was not circulated with the agenda. CRPC accepted this partial proposal with the stipulation that this will be expanded as more information from Plan A develops.

CRPC also directed that the proposed package insert section be deleted from Plan A.

## LILLY RESEARCH LABORATORIES

DIVISION OF ELI LILLY AND COMPANY . INDIANAFOLIS, INDIANA 48205 . TELEPHONE 13171 241-2000

June 14, 1979

Louis F. Fabre, Jr., M.D., Ph.D. Medical Director Research Testing Inc. 5503 Crawford Street Houston, Texas 77004

Dear Lou:

When we talked in Florida a couple of weeks for you introduced that the population of depressed patients available as The Houston Clinic was committed to other studies. We discussed the possibility of using people in Austin, and I asked that the normal boorstory slues for that clinic be sent to me so that I could amend the protocol of have not received this.

This study was to have been a prelove to a 40 patient double blind trial comparing fluoxetine with either placebo or some other agent. I know that you are involved in several clinical trials, and have been wondering if we are being realistic in our projections. We originally talked of completing the 5 patient pills in time for the NCDEU meeting and starting the double blind shortly thereafter. It really seems to me that you are not going to be in a postion to get on with the double blind phase of fluoxetine in the near officer and pobably are not justified in taking time to complete the spatient floot study.

I am inclined to appropriate that when inevitable and suggest that you abandon the fluoxetine taken now without further effort. You did complete one fifth of the agreement.

Would you be colling to return the medication, the forms and four fifths of the gran doney. I make this suggestion without bitterness or hard feelings. By aim is a complete 3 or 4 double blind studies that will indicate whether dowetine is an active antidepressant. I should be collecting data topard the soil and need the help of investigators ready to go now. If you are willing to drop out, I hope I can find other investigators are not as busy as you are now.

Sincerely,

I. H. Slater, M.D. Research Advisor

IHS:dk

bcc: Dr. H. A. Barnett Dr. C. N. Christensen



N

SECTOR SECT

Dr. R. W. Fuller Dr. L. Lemberger Dr. I. H. Slater

July 23, 1979

Food and Drug Administration Bureau of Drugs, HFD 120 Attention: Document Control Room 105 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, Maryland 20357

Gentlemens

Res IED 12274 - Compound LTT 0140 - (Finbustine Hydrochloride (Reychotropic Agent)

THED Protocol No. 13, which was schritted August 7, 1978, outlined a study by the state of in patients with primary major depressive disorders. The domage regimen was revised in accordence with our letter of December 11, 1978. It is again being revised, as indicated below.

During the first week of the study, each patient will be given one placebo capauls each morning. If at the end of the week the Bumilton score shows a decrease of 20% or falls below 20, placebo will be continued for another week. If the Hamilton score at the end of the second week again shows 20% decrease or falls below 20, the patient all not continue in the study. This revision necessitiates a change in Section 2.f.2. regarding severity of decreasion from "at least 15" to "at least 20."

The initial dose of flucestime will be one 20-mg capsule given in the morning of the first day. On days 2 and 3, a 20-mg capsule will be given both in the morning and at moon. On day is two 20-mg capsules will be given in the morning and one 20-mg capsule at moon. At the investigator's discretion, this dose may be continued for five weeks. It may be reduced if clinically indicated, and, in instances where the dose is reduced because of agitation, diazepan may be administered as mesded.

The protocol was amended March 16, 1979, to include patients with severe or disabling compulsive or obsessive

EXHIBIT SLATER 8

Pz 221 2274

Food and Drug Administration Page 2 July 23, 1979

symptoms. If such patients are enrolled in the future, the dosage regimen outlined above will be used.

to the top of top of the top of The soministration of chloral hydrate for sleep will not be restricted to only once a week as indicated in the

# Pz 221 2275

## LILLY RESEARCH LABORATORIES

BIVISION OF ELI LILLT AND COMPART . INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 48108 . TELEPHONE (317) 161-1000

August 3, 1979

Lawrence Gosenfeld, D.O. Brentwood VA Hospital Wilshire and Sawtelle Blvd. : Los Angeles, CA 90073

Dear Dr. Gosenfeld:

The enclosed protocol describes a double blind parallel study fluoxetime against imipramine. By using bottles labeled Morning Doses and Bedtime Dose we avoid giving patients a bunch of envelopes. I am submitting this draft to our Protocol Review Committee with a reasonable hope that they will not ask for major changes. I am discussing with our management methods by which we could extend the study to cover a period of 3-6 months. Please let me have your comments and suggestions.

If you think it appropriate, you may want to forward the protocol for approval by your Institutional Review Committee.

Sincerely,

I. H. Slater, M.D. Research Advisor

IHS:dk

Attachment



Part of Slater Ex 12

# IND 12274 IND PROTOCOL NUMBER 14

- 1

A CONTROLLED STUDY OF THE TREATHENT OF MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDERS WITH FLUOXETINE HC1 (110140)

Objectives: To evaluate the anti-depressive efficacy and safety of fluoxetine in outpatients with major depressive disorders.

1. Investigator:

Lawrence Gosenfeld, D.O. Brentwood Veterans Administration Hospital Wilshire and Sawtelle Bivd. Los Angeles; CA 90073

Study Design:

 a. This is a randomized double blind parallel study. The study group will consist of 40 patients with a major depressive disorder\_being treated as inpatients at Brentwood\_Veterans\_ Administration Hospital Medical Center.

Control Agents

Imipramine HCl

4.

Drug Names and Codes

CT	+	4468	Fluoxetine Capsules, 20 m	pa
ÇT		4469	Placebo Capsules	~
ĈΤ	-		Imipramine capsules, 25 m	ŋ
			Imipramine capsules, 50 t	pn

5. Selection of Treatment Groups:

a. Criteria for Inclusion

- Outpatients
- Either Sex See 5.b.1.
- 3. 21 65 years of age

Participation will be voluntary. The nature of the study will be fully explained to the patient and all questions regarding the study answered fully. Upon approval, the informed consent form will be signed and retained by the investigator. A blank copy of the consent form to be used will be provided to the sponsor. Patients should be warned that excessive depression may occur from concurrent use of alcohol, barbiturates or other depressant drugs, about possible sedation and cautioned about driving an automobile.

08/03/79

5,	Hamilton	Psychiatr i	c Rating Sc	ale for	Depression	(HAMD)
	score of	at least 2	0.			

- Raskin Depression Scale score to exceed Covi Anxiety Scale score.
- Each subject will have an educational level and degree of understanding so that he can communicate with doctor and nurse intelligently, read, understand and complete the symptom cneck lists (Zung SDS and Patient's Global Impressions).
- 8. Expected to attend OPD reliably at weekly intervals.
- Criteria for Exclusion (include all accepted contraindications to the use of imipramine since half of the patients will receive that drug)
  - 1. Women of childbearing potential
  - 2. Serious suicidal risk
  - Glaucoma
  - 4. History of uninary retention
  - Cardiovascular disease especially patients with conduction defects and hypertensive patients being treated with \_\_\_\_\_\_ guanethidine, clonidine or methyldopa
  - Significant other medical illnesses including hepatic, renal, respiratory, or hematological disease
  - 7. Organic brain disease or history of seizures
  - Schizophrenia and other psychotic states likely to be aggravated by imipramine
  - 9. Hyperthyroidism
  - History of severe allergies or multiple adverse drug reactions
  - 11. History of drug abuse including alcohol
    - 12. Inability to understand and complete self-rating scales
    - Concurrent administration of other psychoactive drugs including lithium
    - 14. Use of monoamine oxidase innibitors immediately before study
    - Improvement during washout period, e.g., Hamilton Depression score of more than 20% or below 20

Family History of "Failure to Thrive" or phospholipidoses
Study Procedures

- a. Diagnostic Criteria
  - Research Diagnostic Criteria will be used (Appendix A). All patients will satisfy criteria for major depressive disorder and will be further classified if possible, as:
    - a. Primary Major Depressive Disorcer
    - b. Recurrent Unipolar Major Depressive Disorder

t,

08/03/79

1.8

- c. Psychotic Major Depressive Disorder
- d. Incapacitating Major Depressive Disorder
- e. Endogenous Major Depressive Disorder
- f. Agitated Major Depressive Disorder
- g. Retarded Major Depressive D-sorder
- 2. Severity

All patients will be at least moderately depressed with Hamilton Depression score ratings of at least 20 at the time of starting active medication and will have had no more than 20% decrease in Hamilton Depression score during the placebo week.

- b. Clinical Examinations
  - Pre-therapy

a.\_\_ Psychiatric evaluations will be performed at the time of admission to the study. This will include

- completion of the following:
- 1. Modified Adult Personal Data Inventory
- Prior medication record
- 3. Record of pre-treatment symptoms
- 4. Hamilton Psychiatric Rating Scale for Depression
- 5. Clinical Global Impression Scale
- 6. Zung SDS Scale
- 7. Raskin Covi Scale
- b. Physical examination and medical history
  - c. Ophthalmologic Examination
  - During therapy
    - a. At least weekly during the study, the patient will be rated by the following:
      - 1. Hamilton Psychiatric Rating Scale for Depression
      - 2. Raskin Covi Scale
      - 3. Symptoms, Signs and Illness Form
      - 4. Clinical Global Impression scale
      - Zung SDS Scale at approximately the same time of day.
      - 6. Patient Global Impressions
      - Other rating scales may be used in addition to these, but will not be submitted to the sponsor.
    - b. Pulse and blood pressure will be obtained in sitting positions, during each visit.
    - c. Weight will be recorded weekly.

08/03/79

If significant improvement occurs, e.g., Hamilton Depression Score decrease 20% or falls below 20, the patient will receive placebo capsules during the second week. A bottle labeled 1b (for blank) containing placebo will be supplied with each set. At the end of a week during which a patient receives medication 1b (placebo) an unnumbered form should be used and labeled 2b. The bottle labeled 2a (for active) will be given to patients to be entered into the fluoxetine-imipramine study. If a patient improved while taking medication from bottle 1b, e.g., Hamilton score decreases 20% or falls below 20, that patient will not be entered into the fluoxetineplacebo comparison study. Extra sets of bottles labeled la and lp and sets of report forms will be supplied so that these patients can be replaced. A patient number will be assigned only to patients who will receive study drug , i.e., the non-responders to placebo.

Study Period 2.

Patients included in the study will receive 2 bottles of medication, one labeled Morning Doses, the other Bedtime Dose. The patient will be given written instruction on how to take medication. Schedule of number of capsules to be taken by patient:

	MA	Time of Day <u>Noon</u>	<u>H.S.</u>
Day 1 Day 2, 3	1	1	1
)ay 8-11 )ay 12-14 (eek 3	2222	0, 1 or 2 0, 1 or 2 0, 1 or 2	1 2 2-4

- b) The capsules for AM and noon dose will be in bottle labeled Morning Doses. The other bottle will be labeled Bectime Dose.
- c) Fluoxetine--Morning Doses will contain capsules fluoxetine 20 mg and Beotime Dose will contain capsules placebo.
- Imipramine -- Morning Doses will contain capsules imipramine 25 mg and <u>Beotime Dose</u> will contain capsules imipramine 50 mg.

08/03/79

ه.

- 6 -
- e) This schedule of capsule taking will result in the following doses:

	Fluoxetine	Imipramine
Day 1	20-	75
Day 2, 3	40	100
Day 4-7	60	125
Day 8-11	40-80	100-150
Day 12-14	40-80	150-200
Week 3	40-80	150-300

- f) At the investigator's discretion dose can be adjusted by manipulating the noon dose first, then the morning dose or bedtime\_dose.
- 4) a) If in the investigator's opinion, it is necessary, chloral hydrate 0.5 g or 1.0 g may be given for sleep. Administration of chloral hydrate will be recorded in the case report form.
  - b) If a patient complains of agitation, the dose of study. drug should be reduced and the patient may receive diazepam at the investigator's discretion. This should be entered in the case report form.

## 7. Evaluability Criteria

Determination of clinical effectiveness will be based on the overall evaluation of changes in the scores of observer and self-rating scales (see section 6b for specific scales to be used). When possible, all ratings for a patient will be done by the same individual who will be experienced in the use of the scales being used. If ratings for the same patient are done by different persons, evidence of inter-rater reliability will be furnished to the sponsor.

- 8. Monitoring Adverse Drug Reaction
  - a. All data from SMA 12/60 and hematological examinations will be reported on the form supplied. Values outside the normal range for the laboratory will be circled. When clinically significant changes that the investigator does not regard as serious and requiring immediate notification of the sponsor occur, the test or tests will be repeated at the patient's next visit.
  - b. Serious reactions are to be reported to the sponsor immediately by telephone with follow-up written report.
  - c. A Symptoms, Signs and Illness Form will be completed at end of each week to elicit behavioral and subjective side effects.
  - Range of normal laboratory values are to be furnished to the sponsor.

08/03/79

- 9. Criteria for Discontinuing Drug
  - a. Any patient who develops a serious adverse effect.
  - b. Patients may be removed from the study upon their own request.
  - c. Upon termination the investigator may, at nis discretion, cautiously institute other forms of treatment, excluding for at least 2 weeks MAOL.
  - Drug may be discontinued if serious risk of suicide develops.
- 10. Estimated Duration of Study

6 months

11. Report Forms

Every patient admitted to the study who receives study medication must have a Lilly case report submitted. A packet of report forms for the initial examination, weekly progress examinations and the final report will be supplied for each patient.

Patients in an outpatient study may want to visit with their physician, the investigator, at times other than the prearranged weekly visit. Extra unnumbered report forms will be supplied. The portion of the form relevant to the patient's visit should be completed but the data will not be included in the overall statistical evaluation unless medication is stopped immediately as a ponsequence of this visit, in which case the final report form should be filed.

12. Statistical Analysis Plans

The various rating scales will serve as the data base for evaluation of results. Data will be analyzed by appropriate statistical methods by Eli Lilly and Company.

13. Reports of Sponsor to Investigator

- Sponsor will notify each investigator whenever a serious adverse reaction report is received.
- b. Update of the clinical brochure will be supplied periodically.
- c. The investigator will be provided any results of statistical analysis of his data made by Eli Lilly. In addition, the investigator will be kept informed of results received from him whether they are favorable or unfavorable.

08/03/79

				a 2
	FLOW SHEET FOR	-LUOXETINE STUDY		
10NS: Study dru Significan No Change	H means fluoxerine or the medic At Improvement (SI) - HAMD decr (NC) - HAMD is at least 20 and	arion with which it is being comparates 25% or falls below 20 in has not decreased 25%	ared. contrast to -	
MEEK 1	WEEK 2	HEEK 3	HEEK 4	8
	If (NC) - Study Drug (2a) use Visit 3 forms at end of week	Continue Study Drug for total period of 5 weeks		
lents placebo it 2 forms at this week	If (SI) - Placebo (lb) use extra forms and number week (2b)	<pre>If (NC) - Study Drug (2a) use Visit 3 forms at end of week If (SI) - Drop from study and replace with new patient. Do not submit forms to sponsor.</pre>	Continue study drug for total period of 5 weeks	
6				

i

•

## LILLY RESEARCH LABORATORIES

DIVISION OF ELI LILLT AND COMPANY + INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 48205 + TELEPHONE 317 261-2000

August 15, 1979

Sec. 31.16

3 Torne Dear

B. C.o. C. S. As you know, we have two investigators who have been treating depressed outpatients with fluoxetine using 60 mg/day during the first week and usually 40 mg/day for four additional weeks. A third investigator using the same schedule of doses the treating recently admitted inpatients. All three of these investigators have reported lifting of the depression during the first week in the of their patients. Some patients have become agitated while a few complete of excessive sleepiness.

alle a

I am anxious to contrare to collect data on the use of fluoxetine as a treatment for major sepression disorders. It has been some time since we received a case report from your unit. Is there any hope that you can continue the stores you started some time ago?

Sincerely Research Advi IHS:dk



## LILLY RESEARCH LABORATORIES

DIVISION OF ELI LILLY AND COMPANY . INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46208 . TELEPHONE (317) 636-2211

September 6, 1979

Dear

The toxicology experiment with fluoxetine 1-5HTP and carbidopa has started. Final results, including pathology, should be available by year end, and we can then file an addendum to the protocol. Have you done anything with the revised protocol that I sent on August 16?

It has been some time since I have had any word about your fluoxetine studies. I do not know whether the relapsed while on placebo, whether the marrow culture experiment any the added new patients or even how the marrow culture experiment any turned out.

The pharmaceutical industry and clinical research are both regulated industries. The FDA is correct with the responsibility of overseeing both activities and we, both or and I, must make a reasonable effort to comply with regulations. You and Dr. Shoman filed with the FDA a Research Protocol that described an experiment to test whether fluoxetine would benefit patients with intention myoclonus. That protocol specified a mechanism for you to supply data to us, failure to comply with the procedures described in the protocol places abooth in topardy. There is nothing I need less at this stage of my life than an investigation by the FDA; I will be retiring as of December 31, this year.

For some the 1 have been under pressure from Regulatory Affairs in the company to co something about your study. My colleagues there have urged me to terministic your that since they believe that your failure to supply us with data is not help with the clinical evaluation of flucxetine and may sooner or later get Lilly into troble with the FDA. Can you make some effort to comply with the protocol of that the study can continue?

I have supported your stempt to help patients with intention myoclonus, but I will not be here next year and I would guess that if you send no data, Lilly will send no drug.

Sincerely,

I. H. Slater, H.D. Research Advisor

IHS:dk

CC: Dr. H. A. Barnett Regulatory Affairs


September 19, 1979 g

I.H. Slater, M.D. Research Advisor Lilly Research Laboratories Division of Eli Lilly and Company Indianapolis, Ind: 46206

Dear Dr. Slater:

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO

The protocol which you sent we on August 16 looks all right with the exception that you had mentioned that the ophthamalogical exam could be carried out by myself of include just the external exam and fundercopic.

As you probably have realized, the money that was initially given to me by Lilly for this, budy is not adequate and in fact recently the study has been carried out with the funds I have been able to raise. I know this is standard procedure with orphan diseases as I have ancountered the same problems with other drug companies and myoclonus, since the same problems with other drug companies and myoclonus, since the barmaceutical industry. Unfortunately, this affects the number of laborests, clinic visits and other procedures which should this to you a number of months ago and you thought you could be of some assistance in obtaining a similar degree of funding as for a fixed the study in mental depression.

I believe the threats of your last letter that fluoxetine may not be made available to replatients with myoclonus is unfair since they should be entitled of the same therapeutic advantage of modern medical science as patients with more profitable diseases. Therefore, if Lilly is unable to the name the continuation of this study, I will attempt to raise the money myself, as best I can, in order that we may continue to study the therapeutic use of fluoxetine in patients with myoclonus.

In response to your letter of September 6, 1979, I am sorry that you thought that I have been purposely unresponsive to your letters. As you know, I was away on vacation during much of August and did



598

## I.H. Slater, M.D.

12

not arrive back to work until after Labor Day. There is little to report as no patient of mine has taken any fluoretine during the last 2 months. The last white cell count on the patient with leukopenia was 3900 and weekly white counts since hospitalization have been around this level. The bone marrow culture from the revealed a low normal growth with one colony and 2 clusters. There is inadequate growth to evaluate the effect of adding fluoretine and furadantin in vitro.

Thank you for the information from chemical abstracts and the update on your animal toxicology with 5HTP I will be wost interested in further progress reports on this.

Pz

520

599

- Congratulations on your pending retiremen

Contraction of the states

Mr. Dan G. Russell cc: Dr. I. H. Slater

Re:'

and a state of the second state of the

Noved 21, 150 No I recently visited with outpatient research nurse, concerning their Elboxetine study in outpatients. It was learned that they had enroyed four patients, however two of these patients never returned after the first visit. One other patient completed seven visto out had only minimal improvement and experienced excessive stimulation as a Gide effect. Another patient discontinued the study at visit three secause of lack of efficacy. The doctor had also indicated that extreme agitation was a side effect in this patient.

It was my perfession from reviewing the case reports that they were very sloppily filled but and very incomplete.

Based op the slowess with which this study has progressed, this would appear to be a last minute effort to enroll an additional five patients in other to obtain full payment. It is my impression that this study mill never to completed satisfactorily.

٠î

Mr. T. H. Bratten, Jr. Clinical Research Coordinator

> EXHIBIT SLATER 10

Pz 517 1206

Section Constructs

THB;djr

## CONFIDENTIAL

Minutes No. 79-8 Meeting of November 28, 1979 CLINICAL RESEARCH PLANS COMMITTEE



Minutes No. 79-8 Meeting of November 28, 1979 CLINICAL RESEARCE PLANS COMMITTEE

1 .

## Fluoxetine [LY110140] - Revised Plan A

Dr. I. H. Slater reviewed the status of fluoxetine clinical trias as a background to proposed revisions in the original (approved) Plan A. The primary thrust of ofiniteal studies continues to be directed to the treatment of depression. Trials is essential by pertension, pain, obesity, and narcolepsy will continue their deferred status.

Open-label studies in the treatment of depression continues with somewhat improved responses when dose levels have been increased. Pilot experiments for double-blind trials are in arogress. Comparator drugs are imipramine and antiriptyline. Question was raised as to the need for no positive control drugs make the FDA likely would require comparative data only against the control, the other was added for the sake of in-house confort

Dr. Sloter indicated that he had hoped to have sufficient antidepressant data to reach a NDA decision within six months. Responding to a point which questioned the necessity of additional dose-ranging studies (Dr. Ban) CBTC decided not to elter plans at this time, but retained the option of rejecting the grant request when finally submitted.

or. W. A. H. Sheddan summarized CRPC's position on fluoxetine studies

in effective tope appears to have been established through double blind studies.

 The goal now is to consolidate fluoxetine's position first depression, proceeding as rapidly as possible. This will mercessitate maintaining close contacts with investigators.

SRPS approved the modifications proposed for fluoxetine's Plan A, subject to the provisions noted above.

December 24, 1979

I. H. Slater, M.D. Lilly Research Laboratories Division of Eli Lilly and Company Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

Dear Dr. Slater:

And Contract of the contraction Enclosed find the data on the lass patient frested on the fluoxetine study. As the records indicate, this patient experienced psychotic worsening on active drug which improved somewhat after dras discontinued.

SUBRECTED

In review of the eleven regients treated with fluoxetine we were not impressed with the antidepressant clivity of the drug. There were two patients that entered remission on the study but in both cases we question whether this was drug related. In the others were was either no change or clinical worsening. Side effects were minimal garany of the doseage regimens.

I have received the final mayment in support of the study. It has been a pleasure collaboration with you

Sincere

Professor of Psychistr

Enclosure/



517 1096

. . . .