1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	you were the psychologist that had seen
3	Schoolcraft when he called?
4	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
5	Objection.
6	A. I don't believe he did. What
7	happens is they call the sick desk
8	supervisor, who looks up and sees who is on
9	duty and they call whoever is on duty.
10	Q. So on October 31, 2009, you
11	happened to be on pager duty?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. So Captain Lauterborn called the
14	sick desk and he was looking for somebody
15	from the psychological evaluation services?
16	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:
17	Objection.
18	A. Psychological evaluation
19	section. Although, the psychological
20	services section, which does pre-employment
21	screening, they also do pager duty. He was
22	looking for a department psychologist to
23	give him a call to consult about the
24	situation.
25	Q. Did you tell Captain Lauterborn

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	you had evaluated and met with Schoolcraft?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And told him that during the
5	conversation that you had with him on
6	October 31st?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What else did you tell Captain
9	Lauterborn?
10	A. He was asking me if there was
11	any reason to be concerned about the fact
12	that he went AWOL and that he seemed to be
13	upset and said he had stomach pains and
14	should they be concerned, do they need to go
15	look for him, make sure he's okay.
16	Typically, in that situation they do. He
17	said he wasn't sure they wanted to suspend
18	him, because they thought this was more of a
19	psychological problem as opposed to a
20	disciplinary one and so he wanted to consult
21	with me.
22	I told him that as of the last
23	time I saw him, which was a few days
24	earlier, I had no reason to think he was a

danger to himself or others. Never

1	C. LAM	STEIN-REISS,	M.D.
---	--------	--------------	------

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expressed thoughts of suicide. It didn't seem to be anything that serious that would lead me to be concerned. However, he had also never acted like that before. He never went AWOL, leaving even though he was told to stay and was now saying he had stomach pains, while being visibly upset. So I did not know if that meant something new happened that led him to be so upset that he was acting in a different manner going AWOL and that kind of stuff and led to a reoccurrence of stomach pains badly enough that he did that or maybe the stomach pains never went away to begin with and I wasn't sure and that my evaluation is -- even though, I was not saying this person is suicidal, he's had these thoughts, you must -- it was nothing like that. I had no reason to think he was, except my evaluation was only as good as the last time I saw them.

So if something happened since then or they're acting different since then, that may be different. And so I thought he

- C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
- absolutely did need to find him and make
 sure that he was okay.
 - Q. Was your sharing of information about Schoolcraft with Lauterborn a violation of Schoolcraft's privacy?

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

Objection.

A. No. This is -- they're not treatment records. Whenever they come to our office before they -- before I allow them to open their mouth on all, I make sure that they know that the interview is on the record only within the department and only on a need to know basis, so within that it is on the record.

So in this case, someone is AWOL and they're upset and they leave and they say their stomach hurts and they're acting in that manner, I deemed there was a need to know, for him to know some basic information about why he was on restricted duty. Not information like, you know, whether or not his father used -- had any kind of drug problem, whether or not he's had sex in the

1 C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.I

- 2 last few years. I mean, like that's not his
- 3 business. He doesn't need to know that.
- 4 That does not relate to the situation at
- 5 hand.
- What did relate was issues of do
- 7 we need to be concerned about this guy and
- 8 so I released information that I deemed
- 9 pertinent to that, while keeping everything
- 10 else as confidential. Like I said, even
- 11 though it's on the record within the
- 12 department, it's an NYPD evaluation. It's
- 13 not private treatment records. Not
- 14 everything needs to be known -- to be given
- 15 out rather.
- 16 Q. The entry here says that Captain
- 17 Lauterborn kept you informed throughout the
- 18 night; is that right, he did that?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Did he tell you that he spoke
- 21 with Schoolcraft's father?
- 22 A. I would have to reference my
- 23 notes, but I believe he did. Yes, he
- 24 definitely did.
- Q. Did he tell you that

- C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
- Schoolcraft's father told Lauterborn that he knew Schoolcraft was fine?
 - A. I believe the words were that there was nothing to worry about or something, yeah, to that effect.
 - Q. Lauterborn did report to you that he had a conversation with the father and the father was not concerned about the son?

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

Objection.

A. The father was not concerned, but I don't know that he had spoken with his son that day or that he even knew everything going on. It seemed the father didn't know why he was on restricted duty. And it seemed to me that maybe Officer Schoolcraft, at the time, it seemed to me that he maybe just didn't want his father to know why he was on restricted duty. That was my theory at the time. So the fact that the father didn't know that, I didn't know if the father knew he went to a hospital with heart symptoms that were stress related. I didn't

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	know if the father knew he'd been prescribed
3	Seroquel. I didn't know. It seemed like he
4	was not telling his father this stuff.
5	Q. What I want to know is what did
6	Captain Lauterborn tell you about his
7	conversation with the father?
8	A. My recollection I would have
9	to review my notes, but my recollection is
10	that the father said he was not concerned at
11	all, but he was explaining to the father the
12	reasons they were concerned and the reason
13	they were looking for him.
14	Q. Okay. If you want to look at
15	your notes, I would appreciate that.
16	A. It's from the notes of October
17	31, 2009 the time of that conversation was
18	20:15 hours noted in the left-hand column of
19	the page, 20:15.
20	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: That is
21	on D283.
22	Q. Do you have the first page of
23	your 10/31/09 notes in front of you?
24	A. Which page is that.
25	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: I

1	C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.
2	believe you're 2899 and 282, Mr. Smith?
3	MR. SMITH: I'm actually
4	referring to 2901, with the ledger and
5	pager.
6	MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: It is
7	D282, it is but 2901.
8	Q. So is there a rather long entry
9	for 10/31 in your file, Doctor?
10	A. I don't know what you consider
11	rather long, but it's
12	Q. Four pages?
13	A. One, two, three, four and a
14	third, yes.
15	Q. All right, can you just read
16	that into the record.
17	A. Sure. Pager duties regarding
18	P.O. Adrian Schoolcraft, 10/31/09, on left
19	of the page I noted that I was on at 17:40
20	hours. Page number 455 refers to the sick
21	desk log of my being put on duty. I noted
22	below that that I was off duty at 21:40
23	hours. Back to the main text in the body.
2 4	10/31/09. Telephone contact with sick desk
25	Sergeant Kloos

1	C. LA	MSTEIN-REISS, M	. D	
---	-------	-----------------	-----	--

MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM:

K-1-0-0-s.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe that's the Yes. spelling. It's possible I'm wrong about the spelling. MOS was at work today. slammed sick report on the sergeant's desk and said he was going out sick. Sergeant told him to stick around. He refused and left. Didn't follow procedure. Typically, they called sick desk and get authorization and wait for command to arrange coverage. MOS was working on the telephone switchboard. MOS did not go straight home. Cops are at his home waiting for his They called MOS on his cell phone. arrival. They think he picked up and then hung up. Since then no answer. They are thinking of suspending him, but they suspect it is more of psych problem. XO of MOS's command, the 81 Precinct, is Captain Lauterborn and requests response from PES and I signed my name.

received from Sergeant Kloos from the sick

The is information that you

0.

C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D.

2 desk?

- A. Correct.
- Q. All right, please continue.
 - A. It will be more clear as I'm reading through the notes, but it's possible that the part about possibly not suspending him because they thought it might be more of a psych problem, that may have come secondhand through Sergeant Kloos. If it came directly, it would be the rest the notes.

Lauterborn. MOS doing a 7 to 3 day tour today at TS all day, meaning telephone switchboard all day. All was fine. He typically keeps to self and doesn't converse much with other officer and did same today. Nothing seemed out of ordinary. 2:00 p.m., he went down to locker room, changed and then put a sick report on sergeant's desk and said going sick. He wrote that he had stomach pain. Sergeant tried to stop him, but he left anyway. Underlying issues. MOS has made allegations against others.

1		С.	LAMSTEIN-REISS,	M.D.
---	--	----	-----------------	------

Department's investigation of these allegations picked up this week and it snowballed from there. This week about four P.O.'s and two civilian people were called down for questioning. MOS goes up to them and asked about it. Notifications are in telephone message log, so he knows who is going. When they return, he tries to intercept them and get information from them about what he was asked -- about -- it should have been what they were asked. that thought the person was a he. Anyway, that's what it says what he was asked. Today was first tour back after RDOs. sure what happened today that triggered him to leave like that.

Delegates, peers, sergeants and Captain Lauterborn all left him messages and asked him to go back to command. A lieutenant is at him home. His car is there. Landlord said MOS may have been there earlier. Can usually hear MOS's footsteps when home. MOS not home.

Next entry, I left a message on

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	С.	LAMSTEIN-REISS,	M . I)

MOS's cell phone. I gave my cell number and Captain Lauterborn's cell phone. I told him that the Captain said he could just return to his home if didn't want to go to the command. I urged him to go home or call his captain, so this could be resolved quickly and easily without need for a city-wide mobilization to search for him or disciplinary action, like suspension. Much easier to just resolve it quickly and easily now. I explained that everyone is just concerned for his safety and they want to make sure everyone is okay.

Next entry, telephone contact with Captain Lauterborn. I informed captain that I left message on MOS's cell phone as described above. I suggested that captain call MOS's father because that's the person he is closest to and the person who is most likely to know his whereabouts. Captain will call undersigned when locates or hears from MOS, signed my name.

Next entry at 20:15 hours.

Telephone contact with Captain Lauterborn.

1 C. LAMSTEIN-REISS, M.D. 2 Still no word from MOS. Captain called MOS's 3 father, who also hadn't heard from him. Father, quote, had some issues, end quote, 4 5 over the phone -- over phone, but eventually 6 understood captain's point of view and 7 confirmed. Hoping father will call MOS and encourage him to go home. Captain will go 8 to MOS's home. It's possible he's home, but 9 10 not answering phone. I asked if the 11 landlord has a spare key. He said yes and 12 captain has it, but legal issues with using. 13 Have to have cause. Hoping to avoid going 14 that route. 15 What were those legal issues? Q. 16 Α. I didn't ask. I don't know. 17 MS. PUBLICKER METTHAM: 18 Objection. 19 All right, go ahead? 20 And I signed my name. 21 hours the next entry -- I'm sorry 21:40 22 hours is the next entry. Telephone contact with Sergeant Kloos. Sick desk off duty 23

since not known when MOS might be located

and I signed my name.

24