1	T. Caughey
2	Schoolcraft was put on restricted duty?
3	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
4	A. I believe it was the day that he
5	was put on restricted duty.
6	Q. How did you find out about that?
7	A. I believe health services called
8	the precinct and I spoke to health services
9	that day. Did they call me, I don't know.
10	Q. What did they tell you?
11	A. That he was placed on restricted
12	duty.
13	Q. Did they tell you anything else?
14	A. I don't recall it. I don't recall
15	the conversation.
16	Q. Do you recall who you spoke to at
17	health services?
18	A. I don't.
19	Q. Did you ever speak with Lieutenant
20	Lee about Schoolcraft?
21	A. Lieutenant Lee, yes.
22	Q. How many times did you speak with
23	Lieutenant Lee?
2 4	A. I don't know how many times.

Who is Lieutenant Lee?

Q.

1	T. Caughey
2	A. I believe he was from early
3	intervention.
4	Q. What is that?
5	A. It's a unit that it's supposed to
6	help the police officers that are having
7	personal problems or problems with their
8	department.
9	Q. What kind of problems?
10	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
11	A. I could almost say any problems
12	that early intervention feels they could be
13	of assistance with.
14	Q. Will they help with psychological
15	problems?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Would they help with emotional
18	problems?
19	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
20	A. I don't know.
21	Q. Where is early intervention?
22	A. I believe it's at One Police Plaza.
23	Q. What did you discuss with Lee about
24	Schoolcraft?
25	A. I don't recall the conversation

1	T. Caughey
2	with Lieutenant Lee.
3	Q. Do you recall anything about your
4	conversation with Lee?
5	A. If I did recall, Lieutenant Lee
6	telling me Officer Schoolcraft has to take
7	the first step and call him. So then I
8	relayed that message to Officer Schoolcraft.
9	MR. SHAFFER: Can we open a door or
10	window possibly.
11	Q. So you called Lee
12	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
13	Q is that right?
14	A. I believe I did, but I'm not
15	100 percent accurate on that.
16	Q. And can you tell me approximately
17	when you called him?
18	A. I can't.
19	Q. Did you call him before or after
20	Officer Schoolcraft went on restricted
21	status?
22	A. I'm not sure of the time frame, so
23	I can't answer that.
24	Q. Was it in 2009 that you spoke to
25	Lee?

1	T. Caughey
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. Did anybody tell you to call Lee
4	about Schoolcraft?
5	A. Again, I don't know. It was a long
6	time ago.
7	Q. Do you recall anything else about
8	your discussions with Lee, other than the
9	fact that Lee told you Schoolcraft has to
10	call him first?
11	A. That's all I recall.
12	Q. Did you relay that information to
13	Schoolcraft?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. What did he say?
16	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
17	A. Again, I don't recall what Officer
18	Schoolcraft's response was.
19	Q. Do you have any knowledge about
2 0	whether or not he did contact early
21	intervention or Lieutenant Lee?
22	A. I don't know if he did.
23	Q. So as far as you were concerned,
2 4	the matter dropped right there?

I don't know if the matter dropped.

1	T. Caughey
2	I don't know if he made that phone call or
3	not.
4	Q. Did you suggest to him he make the
5	phone call?
6	A. I don't recall the conversations.
7	I don't know.
8	Q. Why did you believe that it would
9	be a good idea for Schoolcraft to contact
10	early intervention?
11	A. I don't know the time frame of when
12	Lieutenant Lee was contacted, so I don't know
13	what the situation around that was.
1 4	Q. So, sitting here today, you have no
15	recollection about why you were contacting
16	early intervention, right?
17	A. I could say that Officer
18	Schoolcraft was having a problem. What kind
19	of problem, I don't know.
2 0	Q. So you have no idea what kind of
21	problem he was having in your mind?
2 2	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
2 3	A. I don't recall what situation
2 4	Officer Schoolcraft had at that time that

would have me call Lieutenant Lee.

1	
2	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

T. Caughey

- Q. Did you ever have any discussions with Weiss about Schoolcraft going to or contacting early intervention?
- A. I don't recall the conversations.

 I can't put my handle on the time frame of when Lieutenant Lee was called.
- Q. I'm not talking about when. Did you discuss with Weiss the idea that Schoolcraft should contact early intervention or Lieutenant Lee?
- A. I don't recall because I don't recall if Sargent Weiss was my assistant at that time.
- Q. I turn your attention to page 58 of your transcript of your --

MR. SHAFFER: Once again, we are discussing a confidential document so I ask this portion of the transcript be made confidential.

- Q. Page 58, Bates stamp 10,284. Do that you have page, sir?
- A. Yes.
- Q. There's a reference on line 15 to Weiss being your assistant ICO from January

1	T. Caughey
2	of '08 to April of 2009.
3	Do you see that?
4	A. I do.
5	Q. Does that refresh your recollection
6	that's when Weiss was the ICO assistant?
7	A. A general time frame, yes.
8	Q. All right. So that's accurate,
9	right
10	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
11	Q to the best of your
12	understanding?
13	A. I'm not saying it's accurate.
14	Q. What are you saying?
15	A. I'm saying I don't recall what the
16	time frame was at that time. You are talking
17	about 2009, which is a long time ago. And
18	when Sergeant Weiss was my assistant, I'm
19	just not sure.
20	Q. All right. Turn to page 72.
21	There's a question starting on line 11 about
22	thoughts of early intervention for
23	Schoolcraft.
24	Do you see that, sir, on line 11?
25	A. I do.

1	T. Caughey
2	Q. All right. And early intervention,
3	that was something that was offered to
4	Schoolcraft at the evaluation meetings you
5	attended; is that right?
6	A. I don't recall that.
7	Q. Look at your testimony in PG and
8	tell me if that refreshes your recollection.
9	A. It does not.
10	Q. Have you read the whole discussion
11	on this page and the following page?
12	A. I have not.
13	Q. Please do so find out whether or
14	not that refreshes your recollection about
15	discussions about.
16	A. Page 72 and 73?
17	Q. Yes. It goes from line 11 on page
18	72 through the middle of the next page.
19	Please read that and tell me
20	whether or not that refreshes your
21	recollection of any discussions that you had
22	about early intervention with respect to

A. Does refresh my memory on one

Schoolcraft.

aspect of this.

23

24

T. Caughey

2

3

memory about --

4

5

6

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Okay. What does refresh your Q.

Α. The time frame again I'm not sure One point in Officer Schoolcraft's career he wanted to resign. When that was, I don't know. I think I was the administrative lieutenant at that time so we can say 2004, 2005, maybe 2006. I believe it was within that time frame.

He was having trouble I believe at the time with his father. He was a caretaker or caregiver and his father was sick. There was something there like that. He was going through problems at home related to that. think he wanted to retire and we might have called Lieutenant Lee at that time.

Well, this part of your PG talks Q. about discussing early intervention with Schoolcraft at the meeting with all of the supervisors in early 2009.

What I want to know is whether or not reading this testimony refreshes your recollection about discussions about early intervention then.

1	T. Caughey
2	A. It does not.
3	Q. Do you have any reason to believe
4	that the testimony or the statements that you
5	made as reflected on page 72, lines 11
6	through page 73, line 10 are incorrect?
7	A. There's it appears to me there's
8	two time frames here. I'm unfamiliar with
9	the meeting, what was said at the meeting. I
10	just don't recall. And if you look at line
11	19, somebody called early intervention.
12	There's a whole program here. I believe that
13	is when he wanted to resign, in '04, '05 or
14	'06. He came back to the department, he was
15	looking to resign then.
16	Q. Well, all right. If you look at
17	page 72, line 11 there's a question put to
18	you, sir, and it says:
19	"Was there any thought to early
20	intervention?
21	ANSWER: It was offered to him. At
22	the meeting it was offered to him."
23	Do you see that?
24	A. I do see that.
25	Q. And then it goes on: "The

1	T. Caughey
2	evaluation meeting period, the evaluation
3	meeting actually I called up and I spoke to I
4	believe it was Lieutenant Lee from early
5	intervention because he dealt with him too
6	this two years before."
7	Do you see that reference?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. So am I correct that the subject of
10	early intervention came up at the meeting you
11	attended with the other senior officers at
12	the 81st about Schoolcraft's performance
13	evaluation?
14	A. I don't recall.
15	Q. Do you have any reason to believe
16	that the statements that you made from page
17	72, line 11 to 17 are false or incorrect?
18	A. They appear to be scrambled. We
19	are going from the meeting of his evaluation
2 0	to a couple of years earlier. I don't know.
21	MR. SHAFFER: The words written on
22	that page, do you have reason to believe
23	they are incorrect?
24	THE WITNESS: I would say

confusing, they are confusing.

"He

1		
_	•	

T. Caughey

- 2
- Q. And why are they confusing to you?

is from many years ago bouncing back from the

time he wanted to resign to that meeting day,

looks like I'm talking about both of them in

did go down. And what time frame was that,"

a question, Did he go down? And answer:

is the question after that and then your

Is that accurate?

answer is, "After the evaluation meeting."

can't grasp the time frame. Again, I'm not

grasping the time frame because it's so long

ago. Whether or not that's an accurate

accurate that Schoolcraft went to early

intervention after the meeting?

statement, I don't know.

Because in my memory, which again

Turn to the next page, 73. There's

I don't know if that's accurate.

Putting aside the aircraft, is it

I don't know when he went to early

- 3
- 4

Α.

Q.

Α.

Α.

intervention.

the same paragraph.

- 5
- 6
- ١
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 2 4
- 25
- Q. When was the first time you met
- Officer Schoolcraft?

1	T. Caughey	
2	Q. Turn to page 14 of your PG.	
3	MR. SHAFFER: 40 or 14?	
4	4 MR. SMITH: 14. It's actually	
5	5 10,240 or page 14.	
6	6 MR. SHAFFER: We are referring	to a
7	7 confidential document. I ask that	
8	8 confidential portions of the transcr	ipt
9	9 be marked as such.	
10	Q. If you need to read before, you	ıcan
11	1 read before. Did you look at that?	
12	2 A. I have.	
13	Q. Was there a reference to Maurie	11o
14	4 observing Schoolcraft sitting in a restau	ırant
15	for three hours and you issuing to	
16	6 Schoolcraft a command discipline for that	:?
17	7 Do you have any recollection of	.
18	8 that at all?	
19	9 A. Yes.	
20	Q. What do you recall?	
21	A. Inspector Mauriello went to the)
22	2 borough, which is our next highest comman	ıd.
23	3 Upon him returning to the command, he to	ld me
2 4	4 that he observed Officer Schoolcraft at	he

borough three -- two or three hours, four

1	T. Caughey
2	hours, I don't recall what it is, after a
3	meeting had ended with the personnel sergeant
4	at the borough. He then told me to issue a
5	command discipline to Officer Schoolcraft to
6	be an off post.
7	Q. So Mauriello told you about
8	something that he observed, is that what you
9	are saying?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And this was in 2009, sometime in
12	2009?
13	A. I don't know when it was.
1 4	Q. He told you that he saw Schoolcraft
15	sitting at a diner or restaurant after
16	Schoolcraft had had a meeting at the borough?
17	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
18	Q. Is that what you are saying
19	Mauriello told you?
2 0	A. No. I'm saying he observed Officer
21	Schoolcraft in the area of the borough about
2 2	three hours after the meeting had ended. I

When you say "the area of the

think he was standing in front of the borough

or to the side of the borough.

Q.

23

24

1	T. Caughey
2	borough," what do you mean?
3	A. 179, I think it was 179 Wilson
4	Avenue.
5	Q. And that's the officers for patrol
6	borough, Brooklyn North?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. So Mauriello is telling you he saw
9	Schoolcraft at the borough meeting with a
10	sergeant
11	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
12	MR. KRETZ: Objection.
13	Q is that correct?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Tell me how I'm wrong. Can you
16	tell me what it is that Mauriello told you
17	again?
18	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
19	A. Inspector Mauriello told me he
20	was
21	Q. Inspector Mauriello was at the
22	borough?
23	A. While he was there, he observed
24	Officer Schoolcraft at the borough. Be it to
25	the right of the borough, in front of the

1	Т.	Caughey
---	----	---------

- borough, saying at the borough is the general area. It was three hours -- he told me it was three hours after the meeting had ended with Schoolcraft and the personnel sergeant, three hours after that meeting.
- Q. Let me interrupt you so I understand. Was Mauriello at that meeting?

 MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you understand Mauriello to be saying he saw Schoolcraft at a meeting at the borough and then he saw Schoolcraft hanging around after the meeting?
- A. I'm not saying that. Officer
 Schoolcraft had an assigned meeting time at
 the borough with the personnel sergeant.
 What time it was, I don't know. Three hours
 after that meeting had ended, Officer
 Schoolcraft was observed in front of the
 borough.
- Q. What is your understanding how Mauriello knew Schoolcraft had a meeting at the borough?
 - MR. SHAFFER: Objection.

1	T. Caughey
2	A. It would have come through the
3	borough I don't have an answer. The most
4	logical answer would be it came from the
5	borough to roll down where Officer
6	Schoolcraft would have a post change to the
7	borough.
8	Q. So Mauriello told you to issue a
9	command discipline to Schoolcraft; is that
10	right?
11	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
12	A. He told me to issue a command
13	discipline.
14	Q. And you did that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do you know where the paperwork on
17	that is?
18	A. I don't.

A. I believe I did.

Q.

Q. And that CD would be in your file that you maintained, the command discipline, in your office, right?

for this three-hour off-post infraction?

to issue a command discipline to Schoolcraft

Did you ever generate any paperwork

19

20

1	T. Caughey
2	A. I don't know where that CD is.
3	Q. I understand that, but my question
4	is: The normal practice would be you can
5	locate that CD in the file that you maintain
6	at your office, right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And that CD also should be
9	reflected in the log at the sergeant's desk
10	at the 81st too; isn't that right?
11	A. Yes.
12	MR. SMITH: I will call for
13	production of that command discipline as
14	well as the log entry for it at the
15	sergeant's desk.
16	MR. SHAFFER: Please put it in
17	writing.
18	Q. Isn't it unusual for somebody to
19	tell another officer to issue a command
20	discipline for an infraction that the issuing
21	officer didn't observe?
22	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
23	A. No.
24	Q. That happens a lot?
25	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.

1	T. Caughey
2	A. Not a lot. It happens though, yes.
3	Q. Doesn't it strike you as improper
4	that one person would be telling another
5	person to issue a disciplinary charge against
6	an officer and not be signing the statement
7	as to what they saw?
8	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
9	A. No, that's a supervisor telling a
10	subordinate to issue a command discipline.
11	Q. What is wrong or, let me ask you
12	this, what Patrol Guide violation did
13	Schoolcraft engage in?
14	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
15	A. I don't have the command discipline
16	in front of me, so I don't know what the
17	violation was.
18	Q. Well, I mean, you were the
19	integrity control officer for three years.
20	You have 26 years on the force. You mean to
21	tell me you don't know what the violation is
22	if you are off post three hours?
23	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.

charge against Officer Schoolcraft was that

24

25

I can make an assumption what the

1	T. Caughey
2	break.
3	(Recess taken from 5:01 p.m. to
4	5:14 p.m.)
5	MR. SMITH: We are going back on
6	the record. It is 5:14.
7	Q. Mr. Caughey, I put in front of you
8	what has been marked as Exhibit 44. These
9	are entries from Officer Schoolcraft's memo
10	book, one of his memo books that were
11	produced to me by the law department in this
12	action.
13	Do you recognize some of the pages
14	here as being excepts from Officer
15	Schoolcraft's memo book?
16	A. I would say the first page
17	identifies it as Officer Schoolcraft's memo
18	book with his name on it.
19	Q. On the third page there's a
20	reference to a February 25, 2009 meeting. Do
21	you see that reference?
22	A. Wednesday, yes.
23	Q. Does that refresh your recollection
24	about the timing of this meeting?
25	A. It does not.

•	1	
	L	

T. Caughey

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. If you go further on in this exhibit to page 196, there's an entry with a date March 13, 2009, like I said on page 196, regarding Sergeant Weiss advising Schoolcraft he wasn't on his post.

Do you see that reference?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that the unusual entry that you testified to today about that you noticed when you scratched his book?

MR. SHAFFER: Objection.

MR. KRETZ: Objection.

A. I thought it was on the back page of this -- of this page. If it's not the one, it's similar. I thought it was on the back page and it's written on the front of the page.

You know what, I'm going to change that answer. I'm going to say no, I don't believe that was the entry I saw that day.

- Q. What made you upon reading that entry believe that this is not the entry?
- A. I believe it's entry that I

 saw -- again, we are talking about a long

_
1
_

T. Caughey

time ago, but I believe the entry that I saw was on the back page of the memo book and it identified a conversation or an incident with Sergeant Weiss and Officer Schoolcraft at the desk area.

Q. Can you flip through the five pages are the back pages which are at the end of this document and tell me whether or not you see that reference there?

While you are flipping through that, I will mark another set of Officer Schoolcraft's memo book entries as Exhibit 45. This is Bates stamp number NYC 8614 through 8655.

(Excerpt from Adrian Schoolcraft's memo book bearing production Nos. NYC 8614 through NYC 8655 marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 45 for identification, as of this date.)

- A. I don't see it, no.
- Q. If you turn to page 197, there's a reference in Officer Schoolcraft's memo book to Sergeant Weiss requesting his activity log and berating him and they gave him an

1	T. Caughey
2	unprofessional conduct in looking at that
3	entry.
4	Does that refresh your recollection
5	that this was the unusual entry that you
6	noticed in Schoolcraft's memo book?
7	A. I believe that is the one, yes.
8	Q. What was unusual about this entry
9	in the memo book?
١٥	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
11	A. That he describes Sergeant Weiss
12	belittling him.
13	Q. Was it unusual that Sergeant Weiss
L 4	was belittling somebody or was it unusual
15	that Officer Schoolcraft would be making a
L 6	note of that in his memo book?
L 7	A. Unusual that he would make that
L 8	entry in his memo book.
L 9	Q. Why is that unusual?
2 0	A. Because it's not because it's
21	not usual. I haven't seen that many times in
22	my career.
23	Q. Did you photocopy this entry in
24	Schoolcraft's memo book?

I don't recall if I did.

Α.

1	T. Caughey
2	Q. Did you ever photocopy any entries
3	in Schoolcraft's memo books?
4	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. When did you do that?
7	A. October 31st.
8	Q. 2009?
9	A. I believe it was 2009.
10	Q. Does looking at this entry refresh
11	your recollection of any discussions that you
12	had with Weiss about the entry in the memo
13	book?
14	A. I'm going say that I assume we
15	talked about it, but I don't recall speaking
16	to Sergeant Weiss about this.
17	Q. Does looking at that entry refresh
18	your recollection of any discussions with
19	anybody else about the entry?
20	A. Say that one more time, please.
21	Q. I asked you earlier if you remember
22	talking with anybody else other than Weiss
23	about the memo book entry that you thought
24	was unusual and you said no, I don't remember

discussing it with anybody else except for

1	T. Caughey
2	Weiss.
3	My question is: Now after looking
4	at the actual entry, does that trigger a
5	recollection on your part that you discussed
6	the memo book entry with anybody else other
7	than Weiss?
8	A. I believe
9	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
10	Go ahead.
11	A. I believe this was the night that
12	he was off post when I saw this at the bodega
13	and then we I brought the memo book back
14	to the precinct.
15	Q. Why did you take Officer
۱6	Schoolcraft's memo book back to the precinct?
L 7	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
L 8	A. I believe I was going to make
19	copies of it.
2 0	Q. Did you make copies of it?
21	A. I don't recall if I did.
22	Q. Why did you want to make copies of
23	it?
24	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
25	A. It was an unusual entry.

1	T. Caughey
2	Q. Did you enter this unusual entry in
3	any of your logs for that day or reports for
4	that day?
5	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
6	A. I don't recall.
7	Q. How long did you keep Officer
8	Schoolcraft's memo book?
9	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
10	A. I don't recall.
11	Q. Did you give it back to him later
12	that day?
13	A. Again, I don't recall.
14	Q. Wouldn't Officer Schoolcraft need
15	his memo book in order to make entries in it?
16	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
17	A. To make entries in his memo book he
18	would need the memo book, yes.
19	Q. Was it unusual for a superior
20	officer to take a subordinate officer's memo
21	book for a sustained period of time?
22	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
23	A. No.
2 4	Q. Do you recall being told by
25	Lauterborn to give Schoolcraft his memo book?

	-
1	T. Caughey
2	A. By Captain Lauterborn?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. I don't recall if Lauterborn told
5	me to give the captain his book or give it.
6	Q. Do you remember having a
7	conversation with Lauterborn about
8	Schoolcraft's memo book?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What do you recall about that?
11	A. I recall driving back to the
12	stationhouse and on the radio hearing Officer
13	Schoolcraft request the duty captain. And it
14	was just about that time I was talking to
15	Captain Lauterborn?
16	Q. And what do you recall happened
17	next?
18	A. Well, I believe Captain Lauterborn
19	directed a sergeant to go pick up Officer
20	Schoolcraft off his post.
21	Q. Who was the sergeant?
22	A. I don't recall.
23	Q. And did the sergeant bring
2 4	Schoolcraft back to the 81st Precinct?

Α.

early

25

Schoolcraft did come back to the

1	T. Caughey
2	precinct, yes.
3	Q. And you were at the 81st Precinct
4	when Schoolcraft was brought back?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And what happened next?
7	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
8	A. Captain Lauterborn told myself and
9	Sergeant Weiss to leave his office.
10	Q. So the sergeant who was sent to
11	retrieve Schoolcraft, retrieved Schoolcraft
12	and brought him to Captain Lauterborn's
13	office at the 81st?
1 4	A. I don't know how Schoolcraft came
15	back to the
16	Q. There came a time when Schoolcraft
17	was in Lauterborn's office that evening or
18	that day, correct?
19	A. Yes.
2 0	Q. And that is the same as a
21	commanding officer's office?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And when Officer Schoolcraft was in
2 4	that room with Captain Lauterborn, you and

Weiss were also in the room?

•	j
1	T. Caughey
2	Q. Do you remember what you were
3	wearing on October 31st?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Were you carrying a weapon on
6	October 31st?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. How many weapons were you carrying?
9	A. One weapon.
10	Q. How many weapons were you
11	authorized to carry?
12	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
13	A. Two.
14	Q. What was the weapon that you were
15	carrying and what was the other weapon?
16	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
17	A. The weapon I was carrying was a
18	Smith & Wesson off-duty revolver. The other
19	weapon I owned was a four-wrench
20	Smith & Wesson M&P 10, I believe it is.
21	Q. Where did you carry the one you had
22	with you on October 31st or how did you carry
23	it?
24	A. On a belt holster.

Are you right-handed or

Q.

Α.

Q.

No.

24

25

Why do you no longer have it in

1	T. Caughey
2	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
3	A. For the unusual entry that he made
4	in it.
5	Q. What did you do with that
6	photocopy?
7	A. I placed a copy I made two
8	copies of the memo book. I believe I put one
9	in my office and I put another copy into the
10	inspector's office.
11	Q. When you say you put another copy
12	in the inspector's office, what do you mean?
13	A. I went into his office with the
14	copies in a manila envelope. I put it in his
15	drawer of his desk.
16	Q. Did you write a note in the manila
17	envelope or on the manila envelope?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did Mauriello know you were making
20	copies of the memo book at the time you were
21	making them?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Did you tell Inspector Mauriello
24	after making the copies that you made copies

and put a copy in his desk drawer?

1	T. Caughey
2	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
3	A. I told Inspector Mauriello about
4	the copies on the day I returned to work.
5	Q. When was that?
6	A. I'm not sure of the date I
7	returned. October 31st I believe was a
8	Saturday. It was either Monday or Tuesday.
9	Q. Did you discuss the entries in
10	Officer Schoolcraft's memo book with anybody?
11	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
12	A. What time frame?
13	Q. Any time after making the
14	photocopies.
15	A. First time I discussed those
16	photocopies was the day I returned to work.
17	Q. What about the entry; did you
18	discuss the entries with anybody in the memo
19	book after making the copies?
20	A. Again, the first time I discussed
21	the contents of the memo book was the day I
22	returned to work.
23	Q. And who did you discuss that with?
24	A. Inspector Mauriello.
25	Q. What did you tell him?

	Page 130
1	T. Caughey
2	A. How the memo book came up into the
3	conversation, I'm not sure. He told me about
4	the incident with Schoolcraft on Halloween.
5	Q. And what did you tell him about the
6	memo book?
7	A. That I made copies of the memo
8	because back there were unusual entries in
9	the memo book.
10	Q. What did he say?
11	A. I don't recall.
12	Q. Did it appear to you that Mauriello
13	was unaware of the entries in the memo book
14	when you told him about them?
15	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
16	A. I don't recall.
17	Q. Having gone through Exhibit 45 in
18	more detail, can you tell me whether or not
19	this is the photocopy or a photocopy of the
20	memo book that you took from Officer
21	Schoolcraft's memo book on October 31, 2009?
22	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
23	A. I cannot.
24	Q. Do you know what happened to the

copy that you put in your files?

Q. Do you know what happened to the

1	T. Caughey
2	when you took Officer Schoolcraft's book and
3	made copies for evidence, do you remember
4	folding down any of the pages or earmarking
5	or dog-earring any of the pages to the book?
6	A. I don't, no.
7	Q. And so your recollection is that
8	you photocopied the whole book, right?
9	A. I believe I did, yes.
LO	Q. And during that day, did you at all
L1	act in an unusual manner with respect to
L 2	Schoolcraft?
L3	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
L 4	A. No.
L 5	Q. Did you brandish your weapon to him
L 6	at any time that day?
L 7	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
L 8	A. No.
L 9	Q. Did you come within several feet of
2 0	him during that day?
21	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. On how many occasions did you come
24	within several feet of him that day?
2.5	MR. SHAFFER: Objection

1	T. Caughey
2	A. I don't know how many times.
3	Q. Did you have any kind of physical
4	contact with him that day at all?
5	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
6	A. No.
7	Q. Have you ever listened to any
8	recordings about that day?
9	MR. SHAFFER: Objection.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What recordings have you listened
12	to?
13	A. I believe it was the Village Voice
1 4	had a weapon site connection to tapes.
15	Q. And what tape did you listen to
16	pertaining to that day?
17	A. I don't recall.
18	Q. Did it have to do with your
19	activities with respect to Schoolcraft or
2 0	somebody else's activities?
21	A. I don't recall what was on the
2 2	tapes.
23	Q. You just recall it had something to
2 4	do with October 31st?

I will go back to change that

Α.